



Draft manuscript for review

Bone marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells in a Hyaluronan Scaffold for Treatment of an Osteochondral Defect in a Rabbit Model

Journal:	<i>Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy</i>
Manuscript ID:	KSSTA-07-0448.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Løken, Sverre; Ullevål University Hospital and Medical School, Orthopaedic Centre; Rikshospitalet Medical Center and University of Oslo, Institute of Surgical Research; Norwegian University of Sport and Physical Education, Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center; University of Oslo, Faculty of Medicine Jakobsen, Rune; Rikshospitalet Medical Centre and University of Oslo, Institute of Immunology Årøen, Asbjørn; Ullevål University Hospital and Medical School, Orthopaedic Centre; Rikshospitalet Medical Center and University of Oslo, Institute of Surgical Research; Norwegian University of Sport and Physical Education, Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center; University of Oslo, Faculty of Medicine Heir, Stig; Martina Hansens Hospital Shahdadfar, Aboulghassem; Rikshospitalet Medical Centre and University of Oslo, Institute of Immunology Brinchmann, Jan; Rikshospitalet Medical Centre and University of Oslo, Institute of Immunology Engebretsen, Lars; Ullevål University Hospital and Medical School, Orthopaedic Centre; Norwegian University of Sport and Physical Education, Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center; University of Oslo, Faculty of Medicine Reinholt, Finn; University of Oslo, Institute of Pathology; Rikshospitalet Medical Centre, The Pathology Clinic
Keywords:	Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Autologous Transplantation, Articular Cartilage , Hyaluronic acid, Tissue Engineering, Surgery, Knee, Rabbits



1
2
3 **Bone marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells in a Hyaluronan Scaffold for Treatment of an**
4 **Osteochondral Defect in a Rabbit Model**
5
6
7

8
9 Løken S^{1,2,3}, Jakobsen RB⁴, Årøen A^{1,2,3}, Heir S^{2,3,5}, Shahdadfar A⁴, Brinchmann JE⁴,
10
11 Engebretsen L^{1,3}, Reinholt FP⁶
12
13
14
15

16 ¹Orthopaedic Centre, Ullevål University Hospital and Medical School, Oslo and Faculty of
17 **Medicine University of Oslo, Norway**
18
19

20 ²Institute of Surgical Research, Rikshospitalet Medical Centre and University of Oslo, Oslo,
21
22 Norway
23

24 ³Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center, Norwegian University of Sport and Physical Education,
25
26 Oslo, Norway
27
28

29 ⁴Institute of Immunology, Rikshospitalet Medical Centre, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.
30
31

32 ⁵Martina Hansens Hospital, Bærum, Norway
33
34

35 ⁶Institute of Pathology, University of Oslo; and the Pathology Clinic, Rikshospitalet Medical
36
37 Centre, Oslo, Norway
38
39

40 Correspondence to: Sverre Løken (Telephone: (+47) 91 79 68 66; Fax: (+47) 22 11 72 22. E-
41
42 mail: s-loek@online.no
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficiency of using mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) in a hyaluronan scaffold for repair of an osteochondral defect in rabbit knee. Bone marrow was harvested from the posterior iliac crest in 11 New Zealand White rabbits. MSC were isolated and cultured in autologous serum for 28 days and transferred to a hyaluronan scaffold 24 hours prior to implantation. A 4 mm diameter and 1.5mm deep defect was created in the medial femoral condyle of both knees and the scaffold with MSC was implanted in one knee while an empty scaffold was implanted in the contra-lateral knee. After 24 weeks the rabbits were killed and histological sections were subjected to semiquantitative and quantitative evaluation by observers blinded regarding treatment modality. High degree of filling was obtained, but there was no statistically significant difference between the two treatments. However, there was a tendency for a better quality of repair in the MSC treated knees. No hypertrophy was observed by either method. MSC in a hyaluronan scaffold may be a promising treatment approach, but further studies are needed to determine the best combination of scaffold and cells.

Key words: Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Autologous Transplantation, Articular Cartilage, Hyaluronic Acid, Tissue Engineering, Surgery, Knee, Rabbits.

Introduction

Focal cartilage and osteochondral injuries are common [3] and injured articular cartilage has limited capacity for complete spontaneous healing. With the aim of increasing the healing potential, autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) was introduced, and the first clinical results using this approach in treatment of human knees were published in 1994 [5]. In this so called first generation chondrocyte implantation procedure, the defect is covered by a periosteum flap sutured to the rim of the defect and the chondrocytes as a cell suspension are implanted

1
2
3 under the flap. The second generation chondrocyte implantation procedure involves the use of
4 autologous chondrocytes implanted in scaffolds [4, 29]. With both first and second generation
5 approaches the chondrocytes are harvested from the joint and then expanded *in vitro*. In this
6 process the cells dedifferentiate and lose their ability to produce collagen type II, the major
7 collagen component of normal hyaline cartilage. Before implantation the cells are transferred to
8 the scaffold which provides the cells with a three dimensional framework for growth. *In vitro*
9 studies have shown that growth in such an environment allows the cells to redifferentiate and
10 resume synthesis of collagen type II [12]. Different kinds of scaffolds have been developed, of
11 which one is based on hyaluronic acid [6] [30], a component of normal cartilage. A commercially
12 available scaffold based on hyaluronan is HYAFF-11[®] (Fidia Advanced Biopolymers, Abano
13 Terme, Italy). Promising clinical results have been published using chondrocytes added to this
14 polymer [23].

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) are multipotent progenitor cells that may differentiate into
35 several cell lineages including chondrocytes. MSC have theoretical advantages compared to
36 chondrocytes when it comes to potential for healing. Such cells have the ability to proliferate
37 without losing their ability to differentiate into mature chondrocytes producing collagen II and
38 aggrecan, or osteoblasts producing osteoid. MSC thus may induce repair of both bone and
39 cartilage in an osteochondral defect. One concern using ACI is a re-arthroscopy rate of 20-25%
40 during the first 1-2 years [16, 20] where in most cases hypertrophy of the repair tissue is found.
41 This is believed to be a hypertrophy of the periosteum flap, although hypertrophy has also been
42 reported with the use of a collagen membrane [4]. This may be associated with pain and catching
43 symptoms and is trimmed down when detected at arthroscopy. Another concern is donor site
44 morbidity, which is the term used when pain and functional impairment is believed to be a result

1
2
3 of the cartilage biopsy procedure itself. Seven of 10 patients treated with ACI in the ankle, with
4
5 cartilage harvest from an asymptomatic knee, suffered sustained knee pain (reflected by a 15
6
7 point reduction in Lysholm score) after 12 months [33]. With the use of MSC, donor site
8
9 morbidity from the joint for cartilage harvest will be avoided. MSC may be isolated in humans
10
11 from sources with little donor site morbidity, such as bone marrow, adipose or synovial tissue
12
13 [35].
14
15
16
17

18
19
20 The purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that expanded bone marrow derived MSC in
21
22 a hyaluronan scaffold will improve healing compared to the same scaffold without cells in an
23
24 established model of an osteochondral injury in the rabbit knee.
25
26
27
28

29 **Methods**

30 **Animal care**

31
32
33 Twelve New Zealand White rabbits were initially included in the study. One died during
34
35 anaesthesia at the bone marrow harvesting procedure. The remaining 11 rabbits completed the
36
37 study. Animal environment, diet, anaesthesia, analgesia and the procedure of sacrifice were the
38
39 same as in previous studies [1, 2]. At the time of bone marrow harvesting the rabbits weighed
40
41 3087 (SD 140)g. At the time of implantation of cells and biomaterials, at 24 weeks of age, the
42
43 mean weight was 3333 (SD 191) g, and when killed at 24 weeks post implantation the rabbits
44
45 weighed 3903 (SD 394) g. The animals were allowed to move freely in their cages immediately
46
47 after surgery, and most animals were immediately able to bear weight on both extremities. The
48
49 experiment was performed according to the guidelines for animal research at the University of
50
51 Oslo and approved by the Norwegian Government Committee for Experimental Animal Care.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Obtaining bone marrow and serum

A 2 cm skin incision was made over the posterior iliac crest under sterile conditions. A small piece of the cortical bone from the posterior iliac crest was removed and 1 mL bone marrow was aspirated. The skin was closed using resorbable suture. 30 mL of blood was drawn from the ear-vein for the preparation of autologous serum (AS) and repeated after 2 weeks to get a sufficient volume of serum. The blood was drained into 10ml Vacutainer tubes without anti-coagulants (BD, Plymouth, UK) and allowed to clot for 4 hours at 4-8° C. Subsequently, the blood was centrifuged at 1800g at 4° C for 15 minutes. Serum was collected and filtered through a 0.2 µm membrane (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). Aliquots of sterile AS were stored at -20° C.

Isolation and expansion of mesenchymal stem cells

The bone marrow was diluted immediately in 10 mL DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco, Paisley, UK) containing 100 units/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 2.5 µg/mL amphotericin B (Sigma, St Louis, MO) and stored for 1-2 hours at 4-8° C. Diluted bone marrow was then supplemented with 2.4 mL AS (20%), seeded in 25 cm² flask (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) and cultured at 37° C with 5% humidified CO₂ for 24 hours. At that time non-adherent cells were discarded, adherent cells were washed with PBS (Gibco) to isolate the MSC and subsequently cultured in DMEM/F12 medium with antibiotics, amphotericin B, and 20% AS. The culture medium was replaced every 3-4 days. At approximately 50% confluence the cells were detached from plastic adherence using trypsin- EDTA (Gibco)) and replated at about 1000 cells/cm² in 75 cm³ flask (Nunc). After the first passage, amphotericin B was removed and 10% instead of 20% AS was used for further cell cultures.

Biomaterial and preparation of implants

The hyaluronan biomaterial (HYAFF-11[®], Fidia) was sterilized by the provider using γ -irradiation. Circular pieces of the scaffold biomaterial were cut using a 5 mm biopsy punch (Stiefel Laboratories Ltd., Ireland). The preparation of scaffolds with MSC and empty scaffolds were carried out according to Grigolo et al [13]. Twenty-four hours prior to seeding of cells in the scaffolds, the wells in 96-well culture plates were pre-coated with a 1% w/v sterile PolyHEMA/Ethanol solution (Sigma) to avoid adherent growth. Forty-eight hours prior to implantation cells were trypsinised and counted. After 27 days of culture (three passages) and 48 hours prior to implantation 2×10^6 MSC were resuspended in 35 μ L of cell culture medium and seeded into one piece of biomaterial giving a cell density of $10 \times 10^6/\text{cm}^2$. **Medium was changed three times before implantation. Pieces of HYAFF-11[®] for the control defects were immersed in cell culture medium immediately prior to implantation because a scaffold without cells disintegrates rapidly in medium.**

Creation and repair of the osteochondral defects

Four weeks after bone marrow harvest the 11 rabbits (now 24 weeks old) underwent surgery in both knees under sterile conditions. Through a medial parapatellar incision, a 4 mm diameter and 1.5 mm deep defect was created on the load bearing area of the medial femoral condyle so that the posterior border was approximately 1mm from the anterior horn of the medial meniscus with the knee maximally flexed (figure 1). A motorized drill with a 4 mm diameter bur with a 0.5 mm central tip was used. A sleeve around the bur secured the depth of 1.5 mm. The hyaluronan scaffold containing MSC was implanted in one knee (left and right side were alternated) and scaffold without cells was implanted in the opposite knee (figure 2). Thus, we treated 22 defects;

1
2
3 11 defects with scaffolds and cells and 11 defects with scaffold alone. After implantation, 50
4
5 passive flexion/extension repetitions of the knees were made. Subsequently we checked that the
6
7 implants were still in place. The joint capsule and skin were closed in layers using resorbable
8
9 suture. In three knees a postoperative partial rupture of the skin suture occurred with the joint
10
11 capsule still closed. These were resutured within the first postoperative days and no further
12
13 complications were seen. In two rabbits a luxation of the patella in one of the knees was treated
14
15 by closed reduction after 6 and 9 days, and no further relaxations were seen.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Sacrifice of animals and evaluation of the cartilage repair**

23
24
25 Twentyfour weeks after implantation the rabbits (now 48 weeks old) were killed. The femoral
26
27 condyles were dissected free, cut and immersed in phosphate-buffered 4% formaldehyde solution
28
29 for one week, and then decalcified using a 20 % formic acid solution for 3 weeks. The specimens
30
31 were then divided in two through the centre of the defect in random directions [14]. The
32
33 specimens were embedded in paraffin wax and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. Two or three
34
35 sections, 4-5 µm thick were made from each of the two parts, parallel to and as close as possible
36
37 to, the edge facing the center of the defect. Thus, the sections were all close to the center of the
38
39 defect. The sections from each of the halves judged to be technically best were subjected to
40
41 morphometry using point counting [14] and, consequently, each defect was represented by two
42
43 sections. Each section selected for analysis was photographed at 40x magnification using a
44
45 digital camera (Color View III, Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions®, Münster, Germany). Using a
46
47 computer program (Analysis Pro®, Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions ®, Münster, Germany), a
48
49 straight line was drawn between the tidemarks on either side of the defect. Ideally, this line
50
51 should have been curved parallel to the original surface of the cartilage. However, due to large
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 variation in the curvature in different planes of the condyle, such a line was not possible to
4 reconstruct reproducibly. A rectangle of 1 mm height below the line, and 0.6 mm height above
5 the line was drawn. As all sections were from near the centre of the defect the width of the
6 rectangles were close to 4 mm. Using the computer-program a grid with 200µm between test
7 lines was superimposed to the picture (figure 3). This resulted in a total of approximately 160 test
8 points (60 above and 100 below the tidemark line). Test points overlaying cartilage, bone or no
9 tissue, respectively, were recorded. The analyses were performed by two independent observers
10 (AÅ and SL) blinded to the surgical procedure. The two observers counted each specimen twice
11 and this was repeated after 3-4 weeks. The relative filling was expressed as the number of test
12 points overlaying cartilage and bone respectively relative to the total number of test points, and
13 was calculated for the area of the rectangle above and below the tidemark line, and for the total
14 area of the rectangle. The relative filling for each defect was expressed as the average calculated
15 from all countings for each defect. **Bone filling below our drawn tidemark line was used as an**
16 **estimate of the regeneration of the original bony part of the osteochondral defect, while cartilage**
17 **filling above the tidemark line was used as an estimate of the regeneration of the original**
18 **cartilaginous part.** To characterize the quality of the repair, selected parameters (modified from
19 the O'Driscoll score [24] - table 1) were given a score of 0 (lowest score), 1 or 2 (highest score):
20 The scores were reported for each parameter separately, without combining them to a total score.
21 The evaluation was performed by two observers (FPR and SL) together and repeated at two
22 occasions separated by 3 weeks. Again, the observers were blinded to the type of surgery
23 performed. If there was a discrepancy in the scoring for one parameter in a specimen, this
24 parameter was reevaluated by the same observers and a final consensus was made.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Statistics

SPSS statistical package version 14 (Chicago, Illinois, USA, 2005) was used for statistical analysis. Preexperimental analysis using a power of 0.80 and a significance level of 0.05 and a standard deviation for the differences of less than 24 % indicated a need of 9 animals. Due to the risk of losing animals during the experiment, a decision to use 12 rabbits was made. Based on previous experimental studies [1] a filling difference of more than 25% was considered as a proper level to disregard the null hypothesis of no difference in filling between the two treatments. Each animal served as its own control, and thus a paired student's t-test could be used to compare degree of filling of cartilage and bone. The mean differences and standard deviation of the differences were used to assess the agreement between the two observers and between measurements at the two time points for each observer. Wilcoxon rank test for non parametric paired samples was used for comparison of the semiquantitative evaluation of the repair.

Results

Macroscopically, no signs of hypertrophy of the repair tissue, degenerative change or inflammation were observed in any of the knees. Quantitative histological evaluation showed a high degree of filling, but no significant difference in the degree of filling was observed between the two treatment modalities. This was the findings both for cartilage and bone filling in the entire defect, and the parts of the defect below and above tidemark (table 2). The differences in measured filling between each observer and between measured filling at two separate time points were small reflecting a satisfactory inter and intra observer variability (table 3). Semiquantitative data on the quality of the repair are given in table 4: There was a significantly higher score for

1
2
3 the degree of chondrocyte cluster formation (i.e. more clustering, $p=0.03$) in the defects treated
4 with MSC-loaded scaffolds compared to empty scaffolds. There were no significant difference in
5 score for the parameters hyaline like cartilage, integration to surrounding cartilage, amount of
6 necrosis and surface integrity. No traces of the biomaterial were seen.
7
8
9
10
11

12 13 14 15 **Discussion**

16
17 We did not find any difference in the degree of filling when using scaffolds with MSC compared
18 to scaffolds without cells as judged by morphometry. In the semiquantitative analysis there was
19 an increased cluster formation in the MSC treated defects, but no statistical difference in the other
20 parameters. Cluster formation is a sign of repair in early osteoarthritis [9], and in cartilage repair,
21 cluster formation may be interpreted as a positive phenomenon as cell proliferation is central to
22 new tissue formation. Consequently, cluster formation should be considered a positive sign and
23 indicating some additive effect of the MSCs in our study. In addition there was a trend towards
24 higher scores for all parameters in the cell treated defects, and if combined to a total score there is
25 a significant higher sum for the cell treated defects. However, the relevance of a sum score may
26 be questioned as the importance of each parameter in relation to the others is unknown. Our
27 approach is also in line with the Histology Endpoint Committee of the International Cartilage
28 Repair Society (ICRS) in their proposed scoring system for biopsies from cartilage repair tissue
29 in human [22].
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Thus, in this study there was a trend towards a positive effect of added MSC in the repair of an
52 osteochondral defect in a rabbit knee, but this effect appears to be limited with the current set up.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The reproducibility of semiquantitative histological scoring systems has been poor and, thus, the
4 validity is questionable [17]. We therefore chose to let our conclusions rest mainly on the results
5 of a quantitative measurement of filling [14] that turned out to be reproducible with very good
6 agreement between the observers and between the two time-points.
7
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 Two previous studies have used hyaluronan scaffold with and without MSC in rabbits [19, 25].
16
17 Radice et al [25] did not find any difference between the hyaluronan scaffold with or without
18 MSC after observation periods of 8 and 16 weeks. Kayakabe [19] observed a better filling
19 compared to empty defects (with no scaffold) only when fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) was
20 added to the MSC-loaded hyaluronan scaffold. The current study supports previous findings with
21 relatively small differences when comparing hyaluronan scaffold with and without MSC in a
22 slightly different model. Both Radice [25] and Kayabe [19] studied trochlear defects, while we
23 studied medial femoral condyle defects. Cartilage stiffness and thickness varies between different
24 localizations in the joint [26], and the course and outcome of a repair may vary accordingly. We
25 chose to study defects on the medial femoral condyle as the majority of cartilage lesions in
26 humans are located there [3].
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 Our findings are also in accordance with a study by Solchaga et al. [30] who compared different
45 scaffolds without cells in a similar rabbit model: 3 mm diameter and 1.5 mm deep defects on the
46 medial femoral condyle were created and the scaffolds studied were HYAFF®-11, auto cross-
47 linked polysaccharide polymer (ACP) and two different polyester-based scaffolds: Poly(DL-
48 lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) and poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA). The filling of cartilage and
49 bone above and below tidemark was measured in this study, and the degree of filling at 20 weeks
50 seems to be in the same order of magnitude as in the current study, although a slightly different
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 calculation method was used. They also found that rapidly resolving scaffolds (ACP and PLGA)
4
5 resulted in a bone regeneration beyond the tidemark line, while the more slowly resolving
6
7 scaffolds (HYAFF®-11 and PLLA) resulted in an incomplete bone regeneration, but with an
8
9 increased filling from 12 to 20 weeks. On the other hand HYAFF® 11 resulted in more cartilage
10
11 formation than the other implants. In the present study we observed that approximately two
12
13 thirds of the bony part of the defect was filled with bone at 24 weeks. However, according to the
14
15 development from 12 to 20 weeks in the study of Solchaga et al, there may be a delayed bone
16
17 filling due to a slowly resolving scaffold. Thus, improved bone filling and reestablishment of the
18
19 original tidemark might have been obtained with a longer observation period.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 In an osteochondral lesion, cells from the bone marrow adjacent to the lesion may contribute to
28
29 the repair and partly outweigh the effect of the added cells. Interestingly, Gao et al [11] found
30
31 better healing of a 3 mm osteochondral defect in the rabbit with hyaluronan scaffold loaded with
32
33 mesenchymal progenitor cells compared to empty scaffolds in an experiment where the bony part
34
35 of the defects first were filled up with calcium phosphate. Hyaluronan scaffold loaded with
36
37 autologous chondrocytes showed better healing than empty scaffold and empty defects in the
38
39 rabbit when 6 x 5 mm pure chondral defects were created [13]. Thus, the effect of adding MSC or
40
41 chondrocytes may be more important when the access to cells from the bone marrow is limited.
42
43
44 A considerable component of spontaneous healing of the defects could explain the small
45
46 differences in the current study. A high degree of spontaneous healing is known to occur with
47
48 defect diameter up to 3 mm in a rabbit model with less spontaneous healing in larger defects [28].
49
50 To limit the impact of this confounding factor 4 mm defects were chosen as larger defects could
51
52 possibly exceed the width of the rabbit femoral condyle.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Other biomaterials have been loaded with or without MSC in studies of rabbit knees. Some
4 authors report better filling or higher score with cells in a scaffold than without, both for MSC
5 [15, 32] and for chondrocytes [10, 34]. Hybrid scaffolds combining hyaluronan with other
6 components have shown promising results [7, 8]. An injectable synthetic extracellular matrix
7 composed of chemically modified hyaluronic acid and collagen loaded with MSC induced
8 complete filling and superior integration in osteochondral defects in rabbits after 12 weeks [21].
9 According to the authors this matrix may be implanted arthroscopically in patients.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Growth factors may promote chondrocyte differentiation of MSC [18]. We did not supplement
23 growth factors in our study, and this may partly explain the limited effect of the added MSC.
24 However, in contrast to similar studies the cells were cultured in autologous serum known to
25 contain several growth factors [31]. Previous experiments from our group have also shown that
26 autologous serum induces a more rapid proliferation and a more stable gene expression of MSC
27 compared to foetal bovine serum [27].
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The current animal model was chosen because the rabbit knee is widely used in experimental
41 cartilage repair studies, and the model has been used previously by our group in experimental
42 cartilage surgery [2]. The thickness of the cartilage of the medial femoral condyle in these
43 animals varies from 0.3mm to 0.4mm [26] while the HYAFF-11[®] is approximately 1mm thick.
44 To ensure the containment of the implant in the defect we chose a depth of 1.5 mm of our
45 osteochondral defect. Radice et al [25] have shown that HYAFF-11[®] implanted in a trochlea
46 defect 3 mm diameter and 0.5 mm deep was still in place after one week.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In the present study MSC were seeded to the scaffold 48 hours prior to implantation. In the
4 commercial use of Hyaff-11 with chondrocytes the cells are cultured 14 days in the scaffold
5 before implantation [23]. In experimental studies with MSC in scaffolds the time from seeding to
6 implantation, when stated, is less than 48 hours [15, 19, 21, 32]. A reason to choose a relatively
7 short interval from seeding to implant is that differentiation of MSC into chondrocytes is
8 probably facilitated by local factors in the joint and in the cartilage.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18
19
20 Different time intervals have been used to study cartilage repair. In a clinical setting it is the long
21 term results that are of greatest interest. To obtain a sufficiently long observation time, and to
22 keep the number of animals needed to a reasonable level with maintained statistical power, we
23 opted for 24 weeks as the only time point.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 MSC in a hyaluronan scaffold may be a promising treatment approach, but further studies are
33 needed to establish the most suitable scaffold for MSC, to optimise the handling of the cells
34 before implantation and to increase hyaline cartilage synthesis following implantation of the
35 cells. If the MSC under such optimised conditions turn out to be superior to chondrocyte
36 implantation in experimental cartilage repair the procedure should be introduced to clinical
37 practise following well controlled randomised clinical trials.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Ingar Holme, PhD, for statistical advice and Bioengineer
49 Aileen Murdoch Larsen for technical assistance. We also thank Fidia Advanced Biopolymers,
50 Abano Terme, Italy for supplying the biomaterial. The study was supported by grants from the
51 EXTRA funds from the Norwegian Foundation for Health and Rehabilitation, Norwegian Center
52 for Stem Cell Research by the Research Council of Norway, Gidske og Peter Jacob Sørensen
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Foundation for the Promotion of Science and Oslo Sports Trauma Center (OSTRC). The center is financed by the Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority, the Royal Norwegian Ministry of Education and Research, the Norwegian Olympic Committee & Confederation of Sport & Norsk Tipping. No affiliations or conflicting interests declared.

For Peer Review

Literature Cited

1. Aroen A, Heir S, Loken S, Engebretsen L, Reinholt FP (2006) Healing of articular cartilage defects. An experimental study of vascular and minimal vascular microenvironment. *J Orthop Res* 24:1069-1077. [PM:16583448](#)
2. Aroen A, Heir S, Loken S, Reinholt FP, Engebretsen L (2005) Articular cartilage defects in a rabbit model, retention rate of periosteal flap cover. *Acta Orthop* 76:220-224. [PM:16097547](#)
3. Aroen A, Loken S, Heir S, Alvik E, Ekeland A, Granlund OG, Engebretsen L (2004) Articular Cartilage Lesions in 993 Consecutive Knee Arthroscopies. *Am J Sports Med* 32:211-215. [PM:14754746](#)
4. Bartlett W, Skinner JA, Gooding CR, Carrington RWJ, Flanagan AM, Briggs TWR, Bentley G (2005) Autologous chondrocyte implantation versus matrix-induced autologous chondrocyte implantation for osteochondral defects of the knee: A prospective randomised study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 87-B:640-645. [PM:15855365](#)
5. Brittberg M, Lindahl A, Nilsson A, Ohlsson C, Isaksson O, Peterson L (1994) Treatment of Deep Cartilage Defects in the Knee with Autologous Chondrocyte Transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 331:889-895. [PM:8078550](#)
6. Campoccia D, Doherty P, Radice M, Brun P, Abatangelo G, Williams DF (1998) Semisynthetic resorbable materials from hyaluronan esterification. *Biomaterials* 19:2101-2127. [PM:9884052](#)
7. Fan H, Hu Y, Zhang C, Li X, Lv R, Qin L, Zhu R (2006) Cartilage regeneration using mesenchymal stem cells and a PLGA-gelatin/chondroitin/hyaluronate hybrid scaffold. *Biomaterials* 27:4573-4580. [PM:16720040](#)
8. Frenkel SR, Bradica G, Brekke JH, Goldman SM, Ieska K, Issack P, Bong MR, Tian H, Gokhale J, Coutts RD, Kronengold RT (2005) Regeneration of articular cartilage--evaluation of osteochondral defect repair in the rabbit using multiphasic implants. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 13:798-807. [PM:15967685](#)
9. Frenkel SR, Di Cesare PE (1999) Degradation and repair of articular cartilage. *Front Biosci* 4:D671-D685. [PM:10525475](#)
10. Frenkel SR, Toolan B, Menche D, Pitman MI, Pachence JM (1997) Chondrocyte transplantation using a collagen bilayer matrix for cartilage repair. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 79:831-836. [PM:9331046](#)
11. Gao J, Dennis JE, Solchaga LA, Goldberg VM, Caplan AI (2002) Repair of osteochondral defect with tissue-engineered two-phase composite material of injectable calcium phosphate and hyaluronan sponge. *Tissue Eng* 8:827-837. [PM:12459061](#)

12. Grigolo B, Lisignoli G, Piacentini A, Fiorini M, Gobbi P, Mazzotti G, Duca M, Pavesio A, Facchini A (2002) Evidence for redifferentiation of human chondrocytes grown on a hyaluronan-based biomaterial (HYAff 11): molecular, immunohistochemical and ultrastructural analysis. *Biomaterials* 23:1187-1195. PM:11791922
13. Grigolo B, Roseti L, Fiorini M, Fini M, Giavaresi G, Aldini NN, Giardino R, Facchini A (2001) Transplantation of chondrocytes seeded on a hyaluronan derivative (hyaff-11) into cartilage defects in rabbits. *Biomaterials* 22:2417-2424. PM:11511039
14. Gundersen HJ, Bendtsen TF, Korbo L, Marcussen N, Moller A, Nielsen K, Nyengaard JR, Pakkenberg B, Sorensen FB, Vesterby A, . (1988) Some new, simple and efficient stereological methods and their use in pathological research and diagnosis. *APMIS* 96:379-394. PM:3288247
15. Guo X, Wang C, Zhang Y, Xia R, Hu M, Duan C, Zhao Q, Dong L, Lu J, Qing SY (2004) Repair of large articular cartilage defects with implants of autologous mesenchymal stem cells seeded into beta-tricalcium phosphate in a sheep model. *Tissue Eng* 10:1818-1829. PM:15684690
16. Henderson I, Gui J, Lavigne P (2006) Autologous chondrocyte implantation: natural history of postimplantation periosteal hypertrophy and effects of repair-site debridement on outcome. *Arthroscopy* 22:1318-1324. PM:17157731
17. Hyllested JL, Veje K, Ostergaard K (2002) Histochemical studies of the extracellular matrix of human articular cartilage--a review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 10:333-343. PM:12027534
18. Im GI, Jung NH, Tae SK (2006) Chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells isolated from patients in late adulthood: the optimal conditions of growth factors. *Tissue Eng* 12:527-536. PM:16579686
19. Kayakabe M, Tsutsumi S, Watanabe H, Kato Y, Takagishi K (2006) Transplantation of autologous rabbit BM-derived mesenchymal stromal cells embedded in hyaluronic acid gel sponge into osteochondral defects of the knee. *Cytherapy* 8:343-353. PM:16923610
20. Knutsen G, Engebretsen L, Ludvigsen TC, Drogset JO, Grontvedt T, Solheim E, Strand T, Roberts S, Isaksen V, Johansen O (2004) Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation Compared with Microfracture in the Knee. A Randomized Trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 86:455-464. [PM:14996869](#)
21. Liu Y, Shu XZ, Prestwich GD (2006) Osteochondral defect repair with autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in an injectable, in situ, cross-linked synthetic extracellular matrix. *Tissue Eng* 12:3405-3416. PM:17518677
22. Mainil-Varlet P, Aigner T, Brittberg M, Bullough P, Hollander A, Hunziker E, Kandel R, Nehrer S, Pritzker K, Roberts S, Stauffer E (2003) Histological assessment of cartilage repair: a report by the Histology Endpoint Committee of the International Cartilage Repair Society (ICRS). *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 85-A Suppl 2:45-57. PM:12721345

- 1
2
3 23. Marcacci M, Berruto M, Brocchetta D, Delcogliano A, Ghinelli D, Gobbi A, Kon E,
4 Pederzini L, Rosa D, Sacchetti GL, Stefani G, Zanasi S (2005) Articular cartilage
5 engineering with Hyalograft C: 3-year clinical results. Clin Orthop Relat Res96-105.
6 PM:15930926
7
8
- 9 24. O'Driscoll SW, Keeley FW, Salter RB (1988) Durability of regenerated articular cartilage
10 produced by free autogenous periosteal grafts in major full-thickness defects in joint
11 surfaces under the influence of continuous passive motion. A follow-up report at one
12 year. J Bone Joint Surg Am 70:595-606. PM:3356727
13
- 14 25. Radice M, Brun P, Cortivo R, Scapinelli R, Battaliard C, Abatangelo G (2000)
15 Hyaluronan-based biopolymers as delivery vehicles for bone-marrow-derived
16 mesenchymal progenitors. J Biomed Mater Res 50:101-109. PM:10679672
17
- 18 26. Rasanen T, Messner K (1996) Regional variations of indentation stiffness and thickness
19 of normal rabbit knee articular cartilage. J Biomed Mater Res 31:519-524. PM:8836849
20
- 21 27. Shahdadfar A, Fronsdal K, Haug T, Reinholt FP, Brinchmann JE (2005) In vitro
22 expansion of human mesenchymal stem cells: choice of serum is a determinant of cell
23 proliferation, differentiation, gene expression, and transcriptome stability. Stem Cells
24 23:1357-1366. PM:16081661
25
- 26 28. Shapiro F, Koide S, Glimcher MJ (1993) Cell origin and differentiation in the repair of
27 full-thickness defects of articular cartilage. J Bone Joint Surg Am 75:532-553.
28 PM:8478382
29
- 30 29. Solchaga LA, Dennis JE, Goldberg VM, Caplan AI (1999) Hyaluronic acid-based
31 polymers as cell carriers for tissue-engineered repair of bone and cartilage. J Orthop Res
32 17:205-213. PM:10221837
33
- 34 30. Solchaga LA, Temenoff JS, Gao J, Mikos AG, Caplan AI, Goldberg VM (2005) Repair of
35 osteochondral defects with hyaluronan- and polyester-based scaffolds. Osteoarthritis
36 Cartilage 13:297-309. PM:15780643
37
- 38 31. Tallheden T, van der LJ, Brantsing C, Mansson JE, Sjogren-Jansson E, Lindahl A (2005)
39 Human serum for culture of articular chondrocytes. Cell Transplant 14:469-479.
40 PM:16285255
41
- 42 32. Uematsu K, Hattori K, Ishimoto Y, Yamauchi J, Habata T, Takakura Y, Ohgushi H,
43 Fukuchi T, Sato M (2005) Cartilage regeneration using mesenchymal stem cells and a
44 three-dimensional poly-lactic-glycolic acid (PLGA) scaffold. Biomaterials 26:4273-4279.
45 PM:15683651
46
- 47 33. Whittaker JP, Smith G, Makwana N, Roberts S, Harrison PE, Laing P, Richardson JB
48 (2005) Early results of autologous chondrocyte implantation in the talus. J Bone Joint
49 Surg Br 87:179-183. PM:15736739
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 34. Willers C, Chen J, Wood D, Xu J, Zheng MH (2005) Autologous chondrocyte
4 implantation with collagen bioscaffold for the treatment of osteochondral defects in
5 rabbits. *Tissue Eng* 11:1065-1076. PM:16144442
6
7
8 35. Yoshimura H, Muneta T, Nimura A, Yokoyama A, Koga H, Sekiya I (2007) Comparison
9 of rat mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow, synovium, periosteum, adipose
10 tissue, and muscle. *Cell Tissue Res* 327:449-462. PM:17053900
11
12
13

14 **Figure legends:**
15

16 Figure 1: The hyaluronan scaffold ready to be implanted in a 4 mm diameter and 1.5 mm deep
17 defect created on the medial femoral condyle.
18
19

20
21
22
23 Figure 2: The hyaluronan scaffold implanted in the defect on the medial femoral condyle.
24
25

26
27
28 Figure 3. A rectangle of 1 mm height below, and 0.6 mm height above a line between the
29 tidemarks on either side of the defect defined the regions of interest. A grid with 200µm between
30 test lines was superimposed to the picture and the amount of tissue inside each frame was
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Figure 4. Selected histology samples. a and b from medial femoral condyle defects treated with scaffold filled with MSC. c and d are from defects treated with empty scaffold.

Table 1 Definition of the qualitative score (modified from O'Driscoll [24])

Hyaline cartilage	
> 60%	2
40-60%	1
< 40%	0
Surface regularity	
Smooth and intact	2
Fissures 25–100% of the thickness	1
Severe disruption, including fibrillation	0
Necrosis:	
Normal cellularity	2
Moderate cell loss	1
Severe cell loss	0
Integration at borders	
Bonded at both sides	2
Bonded at one side, or partially at both sides	1
Not bonded	0
Chondrocyte clustering	
25–100% of the cells	2
25% of the cells	1
No clusters	0

Table 2. Filling of the defects (mean [SD]) expressed as the proportion of filling of the superimposed rectangle for cartilage and bone. Students T-test for paired samples

	Cartilage filling whole rectangle	Bone filling whole rectangle	Cartilage filling – part of rectangle above tidemark	Cartilage Filling – part of rectangle below tidemark	Bone filling – part of rectangle below tidemark
HYAFF-11 [®] with MSC	0.36(0.13)	0.45 (0.12)	0.49 (0.15)	0.28 (0.15)	0.70(0.17)
Empty HYAFF-11 [®]	0.31 (0.21)	0.47(0.18)	0.43 (0.20)	0.24 (0.23)	0.72(0.25)
p-value	0.45	0.73	0.47	0.53	0,80
Confidence interval	[-0.08-0.18]	[-0.10-0.08]	[-0.13-0.26]	[-0.08-0.14]	[-0,15-0.11]


Table 3: Agreement between measurements of relative filling (mean difference between observers and between two time points for each observer [SD])

	Cartilage	Bone
Observer 1 vs observer 2	0.002 (0.06)	0.01 (0.06)
Time 1 vs time 2 observer 1	0.007 (0.03)	0.008 (0.04)
Time 1 vs time 2 observer 2	0.0005 (0.05)	0.012 (0.04)

Table 4. Qualitative analysis of the cartilage repair (mean[SD]). A score of 0, 1 or 2 were given for each parameter (see table 1). Wilcoxon rank test for paired samples used for statistical comparison


	Hyaline cartilage	Surface regularity	Necrosis	Integration at borders	Cluster formation
HYAFF-11 [®] with MSC	1.45 (0.47)	0.95 (0.52)	1.45 (0.56)	0.91 (0.66)	0.86 (0.51)
Empty HYAFF-11 [®]	1.05 (0.47)	0.77 (0.34)	1.05 (0.52)	0.50 (0.63)	0.36 (0.39)
p-value	0.06	0.34	0.09	0.16	0.03

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




The hyaluronan scaffold ready to be implanted in a 4 mm diameter and 1.5 mm deep defect created on the medial femoral condyle.
64x70mm (180 x 180 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



**The hyaluronan scaffold implanted in the defect on the medial femoral condyle.
67x64mm (180 x 180 DPI)**






A rectangle of 1 mm height below, and 0.6 mm height above a line between the tidemarks on either side of the defect defined the regions of interest. A grid with 200 μ m between test lines was superimposed to the picture and the amount of tissue inside each frame was quantified by point counting.

416x301mm (72 x 72 DPI)

view

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Selected histology samples. a and b from medial femoral condyle defects treated with scaffold filled with MSC. c and d are from defects treated with empty scaffold.
206x156mm (300 x 300 DPI)