

Caspersen, C., Stensrud, T., Storebø, M. L., Thorsen, E. (2013). Exhaled nitric oxide and lung function after moderate normobaric hyperoxic exposure. *Undersea & Hyperbaric Medicine*, 40, 7-13.

## Exhaled nitric oxide and lung function after moderate normobaric hyperoxic exposure

Cecilie Caspersen<sup>1</sup>, Trine Stensrud<sup>2</sup>, Michael Storebø<sup>1</sup>, Einar Thorsen<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway;

<sup>2</sup> Department of Sports Medicine, The Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway;

<sup>3</sup> Department of Occupational Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Einar Thorsen – [einart.horsen@helse-bergen.no](mailto:einart.horsen@helse-bergen.no)

---

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Pulmonary oxygen toxicity is associated with inflammatory responses in the airways and alveoli. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether the changes in exhaled nitric oxide (FE<sub>NO</sub>) after exposure to normobaric hyperoxia (NBO), 100% oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) at 1 atmosphere absolute (atm abs) for 90 minutes, are associated with changes in lung function.

**Methods:** Eighteen healthy non-smoking subjects were exposed to NBO breathing 100% oxygen and to breathing ambient air, both for 90 minutes on separate days and in random order. Dynamic and static lung volumes, maximal expiratory flow rates, distribution of ventilation including closing volume and slope of phase III of the nitrogen washout

curve ( $\Delta N_2$ ), diffusion capacity (D<sub>L</sub>CO) and FE<sub>NO</sub> were measured before and after the exposures.

**Results:** The mean reduction in FE<sub>NO</sub> was 20% (SD=20) after the NBO exposure ( $p<0.001$ ). Static and dynamic lung volumes, maximal expiratory flow rates, D<sub>L</sub>CO and distribution of ventilation were unchanged. No association was found between the changes in the lung function variables and the change in FE<sub>NO</sub>.

**Discussion:** Unchanged indices of distribution of ventilation and maximal expiratory flow rates indicate no small airways' dysfunction, and unchanged D<sub>L</sub>CO suggests preserved gas transfer in the lung despite a significant reduction in FE<sub>NO</sub>. FE<sub>NO</sub> might be an index of oxygen exposure, but further studies over a wide range of oxygen exposures are necessary to establish the role of FE<sub>NO</sub> as a marker of pulmonary oxygen toxicity.

---

### INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary oxygen toxicity is associated with inflammatory responses in the airways and alveoli [1,2]. There is a dose-response relationship between oxygen exposure measured as unit pulmonary toxic dose (UPTD) and the decrease in vital capacity (VC) [3]. However, reductions in maximal expiratory flow rates and diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (D<sub>L</sub>CO) have been demonstrated after exposures to hyperoxia where changes in VC were neither found nor predicted [4, 5, 6], and symptoms like chest tightness often precede a reduction in VC.

Nitric oxide (NO) in exhaled gas is a marker of some inflammatory processes in the lung. An increased fraction of nitric oxide in exhaled gas (FE<sub>NO</sub>) is associated with the eosinophilic inflammation characteristic of atopic asthma and with some viral infections [7]. FE<sub>NO</sub> is not generally increased in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), which is associated with a neutrophilic

inflammation, but may be increased during exacerbations [8]. FE<sub>NO</sub> is generally lower in smokers compared with non-smokers [9]. Exposures to normobaric and hyperbaric hyperoxia have been shown to reduce the FE<sub>NO</sub> by ~20-70% [10,11,12] in an apparently dose-dependent manner. Partitioning FE<sub>NO</sub> into its flow-independent components indicate no change in the alveolar nitric oxide concentration, but a reduction in the bronchial nitric oxide flux [11,13]. The reduction in FE<sub>NO</sub> in these studies was not accompanied by reductions in forced vital capacity (FVC) or forced expired volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>). It is not known whether it is associated with changes in other lung function variables, in particular indices of small airways function and gas transfer.

The production of NO is influenced by the partial pressure of oxygen (pO<sub>2</sub>). NO production is decreased in hypoxia, and lower NO concentration contributes to pulmonary hypoxic vasoconstriction and high altitude pulmonary edema [14]. An increase in NO production

**TABLE 1 – Subjects' characteristics**

	Female (n = 10)	Male (n = 8)	Total (n = 18)
Age (years)	24 (1)	24 (2)	24 (2)
Weight (kg)	59 (7)	78 (6)	67 (11)
Height (cm)	170 (5)	184 (6)	176 (9)
FVC (% predicted)	96 (9)	99 (10)	97 (10)
FEV <sub>1</sub> (% predicted)	98 (12)	93 (10)	96 (11)

**Table 1:** Descriptive data and lung function measured by forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expired volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) in the subjects (mean (SD)).

has not been demonstrated in hyperoxia, but there is an upregulation of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) [15]. NO reacting with superoxide-anion forming peroxynitrite is thought to contribute to the toxic effects of hyperoxia [16]. Neurogenic NO-dependent mechanisms appear to be involved in development of severe pulmonary oxygen toxicity at partial pressures of oxygen higher than 250 kPa, with pulmonary edema and respiratory failure [17].

The VC is apparently not sufficiently sensitive to identify the early development of pulmonary oxygen toxicity as far as other lung function variables may be reduced without an accompanying reduction in VC. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether a hyperoxic exposure known to result in a 20-25% reduction in FE<sub>NO</sub> [13] is associated with changes in established lung function variables, including D<sub>L</sub>CO and indices of distribution of ventilation.

## METHODS

### Subjects

Eighteen healthy subjects (eight males) exposed to normobaric hyperoxia, 100% O<sub>2</sub> at normal atmospheric pressure (NBO) for 90 minutes were included. Their mean age was 24 years (range 22-28). All subjects were non-smokers and functioned as their own control, breathing ambient air. The subjects' descriptive data are given in Table 1. The study was a randomized crossover design approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, and written informed consent was given by all subjects.

### Protocol

The subjects sat passively with a nose clip breathing 100% oxygen for 90 minutes at normal atmospheric pressure through a low-resistance two-way non-rebreathing T-shape™ valve (Hans Rudolph, Inc. Kansas City,

USA). The oxygen was provided from a compressed source. In the control exposure for 90 minutes the subjects were breathing air directly from the atmosphere. NBO exposure and control exposure were on different days and in random order. The pre-exposure measurements started at least one hour after the last meal between 3 and 6 p.m. and drinking water only was allowed until all tests were finished. The lung function measurements were done in the same sequence each time

starting with FE<sub>NO</sub>, and then the single-breath oxygen test, D<sub>L</sub>CO, the multiple-breath nitrogen washout test and, finally, dynamic lung volumes. The lung function tests were conducted in this order to prevent – as much as possible – each test from affecting one another. It is known that a forced expiratory maneuver may influence FE<sub>NO</sub>, which is why measurement of dynamic lung volumes was the last test. During the single-breath oxygen test and intra-breath D<sub>L</sub>CO test the subjects had a three- to four-minute break between each maneuver. The measurements were done within 30 minutes before the exposure and starting with FE<sub>NO</sub> measurements 15 minutes after exposure. A pre-test was performed for practice purposes one week before, and subjects with FE<sub>NO</sub> >50 ppb (parts per billion) or atopic diseases were not included in the study.

### Exhaled nitric oxide

FE<sub>NO</sub> was measured at an expiratory flow rate of 50 mL·s<sup>-1</sup> with an online chemiluminescence analyzer (Eco Medics AG, Duernten, Switzerland). The mean of three measurements one minute apart with a variation of less than 10% was accepted. All measurements were performed before and after exposure according to recommendations specified by the European Respiratory Society and the American Thoracic Society [18].

### Lung function measurements

All lung function tests were performed on a Vmax Encore 229 (Viasys Healthcare Inc., Yorba Linda, Calif. USA), which measures flow using a mass flow meter and integrates flow to get volume. The single-breath oxygen test measures closing volume (CV) and the slope of phase III of the nitrogen washout curve ( $\Delta N_2$ ), which are indices of distribution of ventilation and are related to small airways function. The intra-breath method was

used to measure  $D_LCO$  as a measure of pulmonary gas transfer function and pulmonary blood flow. The multiple-breath nitrogen washout was used for measurement of static lung volumes, and additional information related to distribution of ventilation can be derived from the nitrogen washout curve. All lung function tests were performed with a nose clip in a sitting position. All indices were calculated with the algorithms supplied with the software from the manufacturer. Volume and test gas calibrations were done before each test.

#### **Distribution of ventilation – single-breath oxygen test**

CV was measured with the single-breath oxygen test after a single inhalation of 100% oxygen from residual volume (RV) to total lung capacity (TLC).  $\Delta N_2$  was calculated over the mid-expiratory range.

#### **Diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide ( $D_LCO$ )**

$D_LCO$  was measured with the intra-breath method [19]. The subjects inhaled the test gas with 0.3% CO, 0.3% methane, 0.3% acetylene and 21% oxygen in nitrogen from RV to TLC. Without any breath-hold at TLC, exhalation was at a rate of  $0.5 \text{ L}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  and the concentration of the test gases were measured during the exhalation.  $D_LCO$  was not corrected for hemoglobin concentration. Effective alveolar volume ( $V_A$ ) was calculated based on the dilution and washout of methane, and  $D_LCO$  and pulmonary blood flow ( $Q_{pulm}$ ) based on the rate of change of CO and acetylene concentration. The diffusion coefficient for carbon monoxide ( $K_{CO}$ ) was calculated as  $D_LCO \cdot V_A^{-1}$ .

#### **Static lung volumes**

The multiple-breath nitrogen washout method was used to measure functional residual capacity (FRC). When a stable end-expiratory volume was established, the subjects switched to breathing 100% oxygen until the end-tidal nitrogen concentration was less than 2%. After that the subjects did a full inspiration to TLC followed by a full expiration to residual volume (RV). TLC was calculated as the sum of FRC and inspiratory capacity and RV as the difference between FRC and expiratory reserve volume. The nitrogen washout time (NWT) was the time taken to bring end-tidal nitrogen concentration below 2%, and the lung clearance index (LCI) was the number of turnovers of FRC to bring it below 2%. NWT and LCI were considered as indices of distribution of ventilation. Poorly ventilated lung regions will need longer time for washing out the nitrogen and a larger volume must be ventilated to bring the end-tidal nitrogen concentration below 2%.

#### **Dynamic lung volumes and maximal expiratory flow rates**

Forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expired volume in one second ( $FEV_1$ ) and peak expiratory flow rate (PEF) were obtained from the highest values of at least three satisfactory forced expiratory maneuvers from TLC. Maximal expiratory flow rates at 25-, 50- and 75% of FVC expired ( $FEF_{25\%}$ ,  $FEF_{50\%}$ ,  $FEF_{75\%}$ ) and mean mid-expiratory flow rate ( $FEF_{25-75\%}$ ) were taken as the highest values from flow-volume loops where FVC was not less than 95% of the highest FVC [20].

#### **Statistical analysis**

Repeated measures ANOVA for group differences were used for comparison of  $FE_{NO}$  and the lung function variables pre- and post-exposure. The difference between pre-exposure values on different days was included in the analysis. Any relationships between the changes in  $FE_{NO}$  and changes in the lung function variables were analyzed by linear regression analysis. A  $p$ -value  $<0.05$  was considered significant. Data are expressed as mean (SD =).

#### **RESULTS**

There was a significant reduction in  $FE_{NO}$  from 19.5 (SD = 7.4) to 15.2 (SD = 5.8) ppb ( $p < 0.001$ ) after exposure to NBO, and a smaller reduction from 21.6 (SD = 8.8) to 20.1 (SD = 8.2) ppb ( $p < 0.05$ ) after the control when breathing ambient air. There were no changes in static and dynamic lung volumes, maximal expiratory flow rates,  $D_LCO$  or distribution of ventilation (Table 2). CV was not detectable in three subjects at any time of investigation. In four subjects who had a detectable CV before NBO exposure, it was not detectable after the exposure. In the 11 subjects with detectable CV before and after exposure there was no significant change. The subjects did not report any symptoms including coughing or chest tightness during or after exposure.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The reduction in  $FE_{NO}$  of 20% was consistent with the findings of previous studies with a comparable oxygen exposure [12,13]. The reduction in  $FE_{NO}$  after exposure to hyperoxia has been shown to be predominantly an airway effect with a reduction in bronchial nitric oxide flux without changes in alveolar NO concentration [11,13]. In this study the reduction in  $FE_{NO}$  was not associated with changes in maximal expiratory flow rates or indices of distribution of ventilation indicative of physiological small airways dysfunction. A reduction

**TABLE 2 - Lung volumes, diffusion capacity and indices of distribution of ventilation before and after exposure to normobaric hyperoxia (NBO) and when breathing ambient air for 90 minutes, mean (SD)**

	NBO ( <i>n</i> = 18)		AMBIENT AIR ( <i>n</i> = 18)	
	PRE	POST	PRE	POST
<b>DYNAMIC LUNG VOLUMES, MAXIMAL EXPIRATORY FLOW RATES</b>				
FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	4.01 (0.81)	4.09 (1.01)	4.01 (0.83)	4.06 (0.89)
FVC (L)	4.82 (1.14)	4.74 (1.12)	4.83 (1.20)	4.79 (1.12)
PEF (L • s <sup>-1</sup> )	9.52 (2.17)	9.86 ( 2.38)	10.1 (2.86)	10.0 (2.73)
FEF <sub>25-75%</sub> (L • s <sup>-1</sup> )	4.20 (0.95)	4.23 (0.89)	4.1 (0.88)	4.20 (0.84)
FEF <sub>25%</sub> (L • s <sup>-1</sup> )	8.27 (1.70)	8.50 (1.83)	8.72 (2.23)	8.73 (2.10)
FEF <sub>50%</sub> (L • s <sup>-1</sup> )	5.24 (1.23)	5.28 (1.17)	4.92 (1.14)	5.15 (1.00)
FEF <sub>75%</sub> (L • s <sup>-1</sup> )	2.24 (0.69)	2.26 (0.70)	2.23 (0.70)	2.25 (0.61)
<b>STATIC LUNG VOLUMES</b>				
VC (L)	4.84 (1.13)	4.78 (1.11)	4.76 (1.22)	4.71 (1.19)
TLC (L)	6.47 (1.19)	6.25 (1.19)	6.35 (1.31)	6.33 (1.21)
RV (L)	1.63 (0.39)	1.4 (0.36)	1.59 (0.39)	1.62 (0.42)
FRC (L)	3.36 (0.63)	3.12 (0.69)	3.31 (0.76)	3.28 (0.64)
<b>INTRA-BREATH DLCO</b>				
D <sub>L</sub> CO (mmol • m <sup>-1</sup> • kPa <sup>-1</sup> )	9.1 (2.8)	8.9 (2.4)	8.9 (2.5)	9.0 (2.5)
Q <sub>PULM</sub> (L • m <sup>-1</sup> )	4.7 (1.2)	4.1 (0.8)	4.5 (1.2)	4.2 (1.0)
V <sub>A</sub> (L)	5.74 (1.41)	5.66 (1.37)	5.69 (1.36)	5.65 (1.34)
<b>DISTRIBUTION INDICES</b>				
LCI	5.0 (0.5)	5.0 (0.4)	5.1 (0.4)	5.2 (0.4)
NWT (min)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.8)	2.2 (0.6)	2.4 (1.0)
Δ N <sub>2</sub> (%/L)	1.84 (0.73)	1.91 ( 0.74)	1.86 (0.77)	1.98 (0.83)
CV (%VC)	9.3 (2.6)	9.9 (2.6)	8.8 (2.0)	8.9 (2.3)

**Note:** Forced expired volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>), forced vital capacity (FVC), peak expiratory flow rate (PEF), mean mid-expiratory flow rate (FEF<sub>25-75%</sub>), maximal expiratory flow rates at 25-, 50- and 75% of FVC expired (FEF<sub>25%</sub>, FEF<sub>50%</sub>, FEF<sub>75%</sub>), vital capacity (VC), total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV), functional residual capacity (FRC), diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO), pulmonary blood flow (Q<sub>pulm</sub>), alveolar volume (V<sub>A</sub>), lung clearance index (LCI), nitrogen washout time (NWT), delta N<sub>2</sub> (Δ N<sub>2</sub>), closing volume (CV). There were no significant differences between measurements.

in maximal expiratory flow rates has been demonstrated after repeated hyperbaric oxygen treatment sessions at a  $pO_2$  of 240 kPa for 90 minutes [12] and 300 kPa for 3.5 hours [6] without accompanying changes in VC or  $FEV_1$ .

The method chosen for measuring static lung volumes was the multiple-breath nitrogen washout since it gives additional information on distribution of ventilation. During the oxygen exposure nitrogen being dissolved in tissues has been washed out and lower nitrogen content in the body would result in lower static lung volumes measured after NBO by this technique. The overestimation of FRC is normally  $\sim 200$  mL compared with the helium dilution method and will be less after breathing oxygen for 90 minutes. There was a 30- to 45-minute period breathing air with nitrogen wash-in before the post-exposure measurement uploading the nitrogen stores. This could explain a trend for a lower FRC of 240 mL after the NBO exposure. However, there were no changes in LCI and NWT, which would be expected to be lower if lower body stores of nitrogen contributed to a reduction in FRC; and the change was not statistically significant.

During NBO exposure the oxygen was provided from a compressed source, whereas in the control exposure the subjects were breathing air directly from the atmosphere and not compressed air through the low-resistance Hans-Rudolph T-valve. Breathing resistance and humidity have been different between the exposures, but with resting ventilation only, the added work of breathing and respiratory water loss have been low. These effects have probably not influenced the static lung volumes significantly; and they were not significantly different.

In the present study, no changes in  $D_LCO$ ,  $Q_{pulm}$  or effective alveolar volume were found after exposure to NBO for 90 minutes.  $D_LCO$  is a compound measure influenced by lung volume accessible for gas exchange, diffusion of gas over the alveolar-capillary membrane, pulmonary capillary blood flow and hemoglobin concentration. Partitioning  $FE_{NO}$  into its flow-independent components has shown unchanged alveolar NO concentration after a similar hyperoxic exposure [11,13]. Unchanged  $D_LCO$  supports the conclusion that alveolar integrity and gas exchange is preserved after a hyperoxic exposure of this magnitude. However, the results of  $D_LCO$  after exposure to hyperoxia are ambiguous. Subjects exposed to higher oxygen pressures ranging from 203-304 kPa for two-12 hours demonstrated similar results [21,22], whereas other studies have shown that  $D_LCO$  was decreased by 8-20% after prolonged exposures to a  $pO_2$  higher than 100 kPa for 3.5-13 hours [4,23].

Fothergill and Gertner [11] measured  $FE_{NO}$  after six- and eight-hour exposures to a  $pO_2$  of 203 kPa and found a reduction in  $FE_{NO}$  of 60-70%. There were no statistically significant group mean changes in forced inspiratory VC and  $D_LCO$  adjusted for hemoglobin content, but there was a trend for a reduction in  $D_LCO$  the day after the exposure when  $FE_{NO}$  had normalized. This may indicate different time courses for changes in different lung function variables after exposure to hyperoxia and may explain the different results in different studies. In that study subjects with the lowest  $FE_{NO}$  after the exposure were the first to develop symptoms of pulmonary oxygen toxicity. Further, subjects with elevated baseline  $FE_{NO}$  were less disposed to pulmonary oxygen toxicity compared to subjects with low baseline  $FE_{NO}$ . Thus,  $FE_{NO}$  might be a useful marker of individual susceptibility to pulmonary oxygen toxicity. The results from the present study found no association between the 20% reduction in  $FE_{NO}$  and the lung function variables, but the hyperoxic exposure was low and no change in VC was predicted. Therefore,  $FE_{NO}$  might be its own index of oxygen exposure rather than a direct marker of pulmonary oxygen toxicity. VC is still probably the best marker of pulmonary oxygen toxicity, but it may have limitations since  $FE_{NO}$ ,  $D_LCO$  and maximal expiratory flow rates can be reduced before any reduction in VC occurs [5]. A limitation of this study was that only one oxygen exposure was performed. Extended studies with different oxygen exposures over a wide range may give more information about lung function variables and the possible relationship to  $FE_{NO}$ .

In the respiratory tract, NO is derived from various cellular sources such as neutrophils, endothelial and epithelial cells and airway and vascular smooth muscle cells [24]. Three distinct isoforms of NO synthase (NOS) have been identified: inducible NOS (iNOS), endothelial NOS (eNOS) and neuronal NOS (nNOS). The production of NO may aggravate or attenuate the toxic effects of oxygen [25]. Preserved endothelial function and endothelial NO production may be protective, but neurogenic NO-mediated mechanisms appears to be involved in the development of overt pulmonary edema at a  $pO_2$  higher than 250 kPa [17]. Cucchiario *et al.* [15] found that hyperoxia upregulates iNOS expression in the lungs of rats without increasing  $FE_{NO}$ . These findings suggest that NO may either be chemically scavenged in a hyperoxic environment or its synthesis may be inhibited. When tetrahydrobiopterin is oxidized to dihydrobiopterin it is no longer functional as a co-factor in the NO synthesis. It may then be speculated that exposure to high oxygen

pressures results in reduced NO production in all three isoforms of NOS influencing both vascular and neurogenic activity.

In conclusion, the reduction in FE<sub>NO</sub> after a 90-minute exposure to 100 % oxygen at normal atmospheric pressure was not associated with changes in lung function variables. FE<sub>NO</sub> might be its own index of oxygen exposure, but further studies over a wide range of oxygen exposures are necessary to establish the role of FE<sub>NO</sub> as a possible marker of pulmonary oxygen toxicity.

#### *Acknowledgments*

*This study was supported by Statoil, Gassco and ExxonMobil through the Competence program – Diving 2007-2011.*

#### *Disclosures*

*The authors have no conflicts of interest or financial ties to disclose.*




---

## REFERENCES

1. Royston BD, Webster NR, Nunn JF. Time course of changes in lung permeability and edema in the rat exposed to 100% oxygen. *J Appl Physiol* 1990; 69:1532-1537.
2. Crapo JD, Barry BE, Foscue HA, Shelburn J. Structural and biochemical changes in rat lungs occurring during exposures to lethal and adaptive doses of oxygen. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1980; 122:123-143.
3. Clark JM. Pulmonary limits of oxygen tolerance in man. *Exp Lung Res* 1988; 14:897-912.
4. Caldwell PR, Lee WL, Jr, Schildkraut HS, Archibald ER. Changes in lung volume, diffusing capacity, and blood gases in men breathing oxygen. *J Appl Physiol* 1966; 21:1477-1483.
5. Thorsen E, Segadal K, Reed JW, Elliott C, Gulsvik A, Hjelle JO. Contribution of hyperoxia to reduced pulmonary function after deep saturation dives. *J Appl Physiol* 1993; 75:657-662.
6. Clark JM, Jackson RM, Lambertsen CJ, Gelfand R, Hiller WD, Unger M. Pulmonary function in men after oxygen breathing at 3.0 ATA for 3.5 h. *J Appl Physiol* 1991; 71:878-885.
7. Kharitonov SA, Barnes PJ. Clinical aspects of exhaled nitric oxide. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16:781-792.
8. Maziak W, Loukides S, Culpitt S, Sullivan P, Kharitonov S, Barnes P. Exhaled nitric oxide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998; 157:998-1002.
9. Kharitonov SA, Robbins RA, Yates D, Keatings V, Barnes PJ. Acute and chronic effects of cigarette smoking on exhaled nitric oxide. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 152:609-612.
10. Puthuchery ZA, Liu J, Bennett M, Trytko B, Chow S, Thomas PS. Exhaled nitric oxide is decreased by exposure to the hyperbaric oxygen therapy environment. *Mediators Inflamm* 2006; 2006:1-6.
11. Fothergill DM, Gertner J. Exhaled nitric oxide measurements as a noninvasive marker of pulmonary oxygen toxicity susceptibility in humans. In: Ross JAS (ed) Proceedings of 35th annual meeting of European Underwater Baromedical Society. European Underwater Baromedical Society, Aberdeen 2009: 94-99.
12. Taraldsøy T, Bolann B, Thorsen E. Reduced nitric oxide concentration in exhaled gas after exposure to hyperbaric hyperoxia. *Undersea Hyperb Med* 2007; 34:321-327.
13. Caspersen C, Stensrud T, Thorsen E. Bronchial nitric oxide flux and alveolar nitric oxide concentration after exposure to hyperoxia. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 2011; 82:946-950.
14. Busch T, Bartsch P, Pappert D et al. Hypoxia decreases exhaled nitric oxide in mountaineers susceptible to high-altitude pulmonary edema. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 163:368-373.
15. Cucchiari G, Tatum AH, Brown MC, Camporesi EM, Daucher JW, Hakim TS. Inducible nitric oxide synthase in the lung and exhaled nitric oxide after hyperoxia. *Am J Physiol* 1999; 277:636-644.
16. Carlsson LM, Jonsson J, Edlund T, Marklund SL. Mice lacking extracellular superoxide dismutase are more sensitive to hyperoxia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 1995; 92:6264-6268.
17. Demchenko IT, Atochin DN, Gutsaeva DR et al. Contributions of nitric oxide synthase isoforms to pulmonary oxygen toxicity, local vs. mediated effects. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2008; 294:L984-L990.
18. ATS/ERS. Recommendations for standardized procedures for the online and offline measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171:912-930.
19. Wilson AF, Hearne J, Brenner M, Alfonso R. Measurement of transfer factor during constant exhalation. *Thorax* 1994; 49: 1121-1126.

20. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 26:319-338.
21. Clark JM, Lambertsen CJ. Rate of development of pulmonary O<sub>2</sub> toxicity in man during O<sub>2</sub> breathing at 2.0 ATA. *J Appl Physiol* 1971; 30:739-752.
22. Rosenberg E, MacLean LD. Effect of high oxygen tensions on diffusing capacity for CO and Krogh's K. *J Appl Physiol* 1967; 23:11-17.
23. Clark JM, Lambertsen CJ, Gelfand R et al. Effects of prolonged oxygen exposure at 1.5, 2.0, or 2.5 ATA on pulmonary function in men (Predictive Studies V). *J Appl Physiol* 1999; 86:243-259.
24. Singh S, Evans TW. Nitric oxide, the biological mediator of the decade: fact or fiction? *Eur Respir J* 1997; 10:699-707.
25. Allen BW, Demchenko IT, Piantadosi CA. Two faces of nitric oxide: implications for cellular mechanisms of oxygen toxicity. *J Appl Physiol* 2009; 106:662-667. ◆