Six-year change in youth physical activity & effect on fasting insulin & HOMA

R. Jago ^{1§}, N. Wedderkopp ², P. L. Kristensen ², N.C. Moller ², L. B. Andersen ^{2, 3}, A. R. Cooper ¹ & K. Froberg ²

[§]Corresponding author

¹ Department of Exercise, Nutrition & Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

² Institute of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark,

Odense, Denmark

³ Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway

Corresponding author:

Russell Jago

ABSTRACT

Background: There is a shortage of longitudinal data analyzing associations between physical activity and indicators of insulin resistance among children and adolescents after accounting for adiposity change. To guide future prevention efforts we used data from the Danish arm of the European Youth Heart Study (EYHS) to examine these issues. **Methods:** Participants were 384, 9th grade students (15 years of age) from the Municipality of Odense (Denmark) who participated in surveys in 1997 and 2003. Physical activity was monitored for at least 3 days by accelerometer and mean counts per minute (CPM) and minutes > 3000 counts per minute (minutes > 3000 CPM) per day obtained. Blood samples were collected and fasting insulin, glucose, and homeostatic level of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) obtained. Data were analysed in 2008.

Results: Physical activity declined from 45 minutes > 3000 CPM in 1997 to 35 minutes in 2003. Longitudinal regression analyses showed that change in minutes >3000 CPM was negatively associated with fasting insulin levels (z = -2.47, p= 0.014) and HOMA-IR (z = -2.31, p = 0.021) in 2003. Similar findings were found when CPM was used as the physical activity variable. Results demonstrated that six-year decline physical activity was associated with higher insulin and HOMA-IR levels.

Conclusions: Six-year change in the volume of physical activity in which 15 year old adolescents engaged were negatively associated with fasting insulin and HOMA-IR. Preventing the age-related decline in physical activity may be an effective means of preventing youth insulin resistance.

Key words: Glucose, waist circumference, cohort, children, Type 2 diabetes, prevention

-

Six-year change in youth physical activity & effect on fasting insulin & HOMA

R. Jago ^{1§}, N. Wedderkopp ², P. L. Kristensen ², N.C. Moller ², L. B. Andersen ^{2, 3}, A. R. Cooper ¹ & K. Froberg ²

[§]Corresponding author

¹ Department of Exercise, Nutrition & Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

² Institute of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark,

Odense, Denmark

³ Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway

Corresponding author:

Russell Jago

Department of Exercise, Nutrition & Health Sciences

University of Bristol

Tyndall Avenue

Bristol, BS8 1TP, England, UK.

Email: Russ.Jago@bris.ac.uk

Word count: Manuscript = 2944 Abstract = 245

- -

ABSTRACT

Background: There is a shortage of longitudinal data analyzing associations between physical activity and indicators of insulin resistance among children and adolescents after accounting for adiposity change. To guide future prevention efforts we used data from the Danish arm of the European Youth Heart Study (EYHS) to examine these issues. **Methods:** Participants were 384, 9th grade students (15 years of age) from the Municipality of Odense (Denmark) who participated in surveys in 1997 and 2003. Physical activity was monitored for at least 3 days by accelerometer and mean counts per minute (CPM) and minutes > 3000 counts per minute (minutes > 3000 CPM) per day obtained. Blood samples were collected and fasting insulin, glucose, and homeostatic level of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) obtained. Data were analysed in 2008.

Results: Physical activity declined from 45 minutes > 3000 CPM in 1997 to 35 minutes in 2003. Longitudinal regression analyses showed that change in minutes >3000 CPM was negatively associated with fasting insulin levels (z = -2.47, p = 0.014) and HOMA-IR (z = -2.31, p = 0.021) in 2003. Similar findings were found when CPM was used as the physical activity variable. Results demonstrated that six-year decline physical activity was associated with higher insulin and HOMA-IR levels.

Conclusions: Six-year change in the volume of physical activity in which 15 year old adolescents engaged were negatively associated with fasting insulin and HOMA-IR. Preventing the age-related decline in physical activity may be an effective means of preventing youth insulin resistance.

Key words: Glucose, waist circumference, cohort, children, Type 2 diabetes, prevention

-

1 BACKGROUND

2	It has been estimated that in 2006, 22 million children in the European Union (EU) were
3	overweight and of these 5 million children were obese. ¹ It is also estimated that over 27,000
4	European children have type 2 diabetes and over 400,000 have impaired glucose levels. ² As
5	the prevalence of childhood obesity within the EU is expected to rise by over a million cases
6	per year ¹ , the number of insulin resistant youths is also likely to increase. To prevent future
7	type 2 diabetes we need to develop effective means of limiting the number of youths who
8	become insulin resistant.
9	
10	Physical activity is important in the prevention of insulin resistance because it burns calories,
11	leading to decreased body weight and increasing metabolic rate. ³⁻⁵ Physical activity also
12	impacts directly on insulin resistance by leading to short term activation of the GLUT-4
13	receptors to improve glucose uptake and stimulating the alpha-adrenergic receptors of the beta
14	cells, which leads to a decrease in circulating insulin levels. ⁶⁻⁹
15	
16	In previous research physical activity has been cross-sectionally associated with adiposity and
17	insulin resistance (IR) among both children and adolescents, thereby supporting physical
18	activity as a means of preventing the development of insulin resistance. ^{10, 11} However, the
19	cross-sectional design of these studies prevents an examination of whether associations
20	change as youth age. Moreover, although adiposity has been associated with IR,
21	current research has not examined if the associations between activity and IR are maintained
22	after controlling for the potential confounding effect of a change in adiposity. Finally, current
23	research provides few clues on whether it is the volume or intensity of physical activity that is
24	important for the prevention of IR. This information is needed to provide better guidance on
25	the type of activity that future intervention programs should promote. This paper uses data

- -

26 from a cohort of Danish adolescents, who were first studied at age nine and then again at 27 fifteen, to examine the extent to which physical activity is associated with indicators of 28 insulin resistance after accounting for adiposity.

29

30 METHODS

31 **Participants**

32 Data are from the Danish arm of the European Youth Heart Study (EYHS). The sampling

33 frame has been discussed in detail elsewhere, but in 1997 3rd grade students were recruited

34 from a sample of schools in the Municipality of Odense.^{12, 13} The sample was stratified by the

35 socio-economic status of the area. Data were initially collected on 590, 3rd grade students (310

36 female) in 1997. In 2003, a second survey was completed in which all of the original

37 participants, now 9th grade students, were invited to participate in the study again. Data were

analysed in 2008. As the baseline results have been reported in detail elsewhere, the sample

39 for this paper was limited to the 384 participants (214 female) who took part in both

40 assessments.^{11, 13, 14} A Chi-square test indicated no significant difference in the household

41 education of participants who took part in both surveys when compared with those who only

42 took part in 2003 (p>.05). Analysis of variance indicated no significant difference in the

43 fasting insulin, glucose or waist circumference of the two groups, but the mean BMI (1997) of

44 participants who took part in both surveys was significantly lower (f=4.42, p =.038) than that

45 of the participants who took just took part in the 1997 survey (17.15 vs. 17.59 kg/m²). For the

46 384 participants with data at both time-points, we report cross-sectional associations at the 9th

47 grade as well as longitudinal changes from 3^{rd} to 9^{th} grade. The study was approved by the

48 ethics committee of Vejle and Funen. Written parental and verbal child consent was obtained

-

49 for all participants.

50

51 **Physical activity assessment**

52	Physical activity assessment has been reported elsewhere. ^{15, 16} Briefly, participants wore an
53	accelerometer (Actigraph model 7164, Manufacturing Technologies Inc) programmed to
54	record physical activity in one minute epochs for at least 4 days (including two weekend
55	days), except when swimming or bathing, on their hip. As there is no standard criteria for
56	defining when monitors were not worn, we applied the previously published EYHS criteria
57	whereby periods in which 10 or more minutes of zero counts were obtained were interpreted
58	as time that the monitor was not worn and these periods were removed from the analysis. ¹⁷
59	Each day of accelerometer data was considered valid if data were obtained for at least 600
60	minutes. Participants were included in the cross-sectional analyses if they possessed 3 days of
61	valid data in 2003. Participants were included in the longitudinal analyses if they possessed 3
62	days of valid data in 1997 and 2003. Mean counts per minute (CPM), an indication of the
63	volume of activity in which participants engaged, was averaged across valid days. The mean
64	number of minutes engaged in activity that resulted in greater than 3000 CPM was obtained
65	for each participant and treated as minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA)
66	per day. ¹⁸ While there is controversy over accelerometer cutpoints, the 3000 CPM is based on
67	a field validation among adolescents ¹⁸ and is comparable to the 3200 CPM value obtained
68	from laboratory calorimetery among 6 to 16 year old US youth, thereby providing a value that
69	is appropriate for our participants. ¹⁹
70	
71	Insulin resistance (IR) and blood samples

71 Insulin resistance (IR) and blood samples

72 We used three indicators of insulin resistance: fasting insulin, fasting glucose, and the

- 73 homeostatis model of assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). HOMA-IR is a simple
- 74 method of assessing insulin resistance based on fasting insulin and glucose levels.^{20, 21} A

75 recent study highlighted that HOMA-IR provided a better indication of clustered

76 cardiovascular risk factors among young adults than an oral glucose tolerance test, indicating

77 that HOMA-IR is likely to provide a better indication of insulin resistance until beta cell

function is reduced.²² 78

79

80 Fasting intravenous blood samples were taken in the morning from the antecubital vein of 81 children who did not have a current diagnosis of diabetes one hour after the application of an 82 anesthetic cream (lidocaine/prilocaine – EMLA cream, Astra). Blood samples were aliquoted 83 and separated within 30 minutes of veni-puncture and stored at -80°C until transported to a 84 WHO certified lab for analysis. In 1997, blood samples were measured at the University of 85 Bristol. Glucose was measured by the hexokinase method on an Olympus AU600 86 autoanalyser (Olympus Diagnostica, Hamburg, Germany). Insulin was measured by enzyme 87 immunoassay (microtitre plate format – Dako Diagnostics Ltd, Ely, England). In 2003, blood 88 samples were analysed at the University of Cambridge. Plasma specific insulin was 89 determined by two-site immunometric assays with either 125I or alkaline phosphatase labels. 90 Between laboratories correlations for 30 randomly selected samples analyzed at Cambridge and Bristol were 0.94 to 0.98. HOMA-IR was computed (Glucose*Insulin / 22.5).^{20, 23} Self-91 92 reported non-fasting participants were excluded from analyses. 93

94 **Body composition assessment**

95 Height was assessed to the nearest 0.5cm using a Harpenden stadiometer. Weight was

96 assessed to the nearest 0.1kg using a Seca beam scale. Body mass index (BMI = kg/m²) was

97 calculated and converted into representative Danish age and gender specific BMI z-scores that

-

were previously developed using the Cole LMS method.²⁴ Waist circumference was 98

- measured midway between the lower rib and iliac crest after gentle expiration. Puberty was
 assessed using Tanner Scales.²⁵
- 101
- 102 Statistics
- 103 Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables for each assessment period, histograms
- 104 plotted and the skewness (<2.0) and kurtosis (<5.0) checked to ensure that the data
- 105 approximated normality. All variables except the physical activity variables, which were
- 106 positively skewed, approximated normality. Independent sample t-test's were used to examine
- 107 whether there were any gender differences in the participants' BMI, waist circumference,
- 108 insulin, glucose, HOMA-IR, and both indicators of physical activity. As there was a
- significant drop in physical activity between 1997 and 2003 (Table 1) and we hypothesised
- 110 that the change in physical activity was likely to be an important predictor of insulin
- 111 resistance, physical activity change variables (2003 1997) were computed for CPM and
- 112 minutes above 3000 CPM.
- 113
- 114 All analyses used linear regression models. As participants were recruited from schools,
- 115 models employed a hierarchical design in which participants were nested within schools using
- 116 the *xtreg* procedure in STATA (Version 9, College Station TX). In light of the clustered
- 117 nature of the data and the skewed physical activity variables, we also used robust standard
- 118 errors for all models. Robust standard errors apply a sandwich estimate of the variance
- 119 structure of the data that makes no assumptions about the variance structure of the data
- 120 (normal or otherwise).²⁶
- 121
- 122 Cross-sectional models were run to examine the extent to which fasting insulin, glucose and
- 123 HOMA-IR values were predicted by physical activity when the participants were in the 9th

124 grade. Fasting insulin, glucose, and HOMA-IR values were the dependent variables with

125 gender, parental education, BMI, waist circumference, and either mean minutes above

126 3000CPM or mean CPM as independent variables.

127

128 Longitudinal models examined whether change (2003 – 1997) in physical activity predicted

129 2003 insulin, glucose, and HOMA-IR after controlling for gender and parental education. To

130 account for the possibility that change in activity could be influenced by change in adiposity

131 indicators, the models also controlled for change in BMI and waist circumference and the

relevant 1997 indicator of IR. Tanner stage data indicated that 82.6% of participants were

133 Tanner stage 1 or 2 in 1997 and 94.0% were Tanner stage 4 or 5 in 2003 and therefore given

134 the lack of variability in these values, Tanner stage was not included in the models. The

135 within school R^2 and the overall R^2 (analogous to a traditional R^2) were obtained for all

136 models. Alpha was set 0.05.

137 **RESULTS**

- 138 Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were 384 participants and of these 216
- 139 (56.3%) lived in a household with a university graduate. The mean BMI increased from 17.2
- 140 kg/m^2 in 1997 to 21.2 kg/m² in 2003. Mean minutes above 3000 CPM were 45.6 minutes in

141 1997 and 35.1 minutes in 2003. Independent sample t-tests indicated larger 2003 waist

142 circumferences among males (75.94 cm vs. 72.96 cm, t = -3.84, p <0.001), higher 2003

- 143 glucose levels among males (5.16 mmol/l vs. 4.89 mmol/l, t = -6.67, p < 0.001), higher
- 144 activity among males with more minutes >3000 cpm (42.73 vs 28.9, t = -5.69, p <0.001), and
- 145 more CPM (492.2 vs 397.9, t = -5.14, p < 0.001). The mean accelerometer counts for 9^{th} grade
- 146 males and females in 2003 were 496 and 397 counts per minute (CPM) respectively, which is
- similar to the 501 and 440 CPM that was previously reported for 9th grade adolescents from
- 148 the same schools in 1997 when our participants were in the 3^{rd} grade.¹⁶

150	Waist circumference was crossectionally associated with fasting insulin levels ($z = 2.05$, $p =$
151	0.040), while minutes of activity >3000 CPM was negatively associated ($z = -3.17 p = 0.002$).
152	The same pattern was evident when mean CPM were used as the physical activity variables.
153	Gender was associated with fasting glucose ($z = 3.76$, $p = 0.001$) and this was largely
154	unchanged when CPM was the physical activity variable. Minutes >3000 CPM was
155	negatively associated with HOMA-IR ($z = 2.69$, $p = 0.007$). When the model was re-run using
156	CPM, physical activity was negatively associated with HOMA-IR ($z = -2.57$, $p = 0.010$)
157	while BMI Z score was positively associated ($z = 2.02$, $p = 0.043$), (Table 2).
158	
159	Insulin in 1997 ($z = 2.79$, $p = 0.005$) and change in waist circumference ($z = 3.16$, $p = 0.002$)
160	were positively associated with insulin in 2003, while change in minutes >3000 CPM was
161	negatively associated ($z = -2.47$, $p = 0.014$). Patterns were similar when counts per minute
162	were used as the physical activity variable. Models accounted for 22-23% of the variance
163	within schools and 24% of the overall variance (Table 3). Inspection of the beta coefficients
164	indicated that if all other variables were held constant, the fasting insulin levels of participants
165	whose physical activity level declined by around 22 minutes >3000 CPM per day, or 198
166	counts per minute, between 1997 and 2003 would be 0.5 mmol/l higher than those whose
167	activity level did not decline.
168	
169	Glucose from 1997 ($z = 3.55$, p<0.001) and gender ($z = 3.17$, p = 0.002) were significant
170	predictors of glucose in 2003, indicating that glucose was higher among males. A comparable
171	pattern was evident when CPM was used in the model. HOMA-IR from 1997 was positively
172	associated with HOMA-IR in 2003 ($z = 2.74$, $P = 0.006$) with change in waist circumference
173	also associated with higher HOMA-IR levels ($z = 3.39$, $p = 0.001$), while change in minutes

- -

- 174 > 3000CPM was negatively associated (z = -2.31, p = 0.021). When change in counts per
- 175 minute was the physical activity variable all three variables remained significant. Beta values
- 176 indicated that after holding all other variables constant, a 20.6 minutes >3000 CPM per day
- 177 decline or a 171 count per minute decline in physical activity between 1997 and 2003 was
- 178 associated with HOMA-IR values that were on average 0.1 units higher. The variance
- accounted for within schools was 21-22% with the overall variance explained 23%.
- 180

181 DISCUSSION

- 182 The physical activity levels of Danish adolescents declined from nine to fifteen years of age
- and this decline was associated with the participants' fasting insulin and HOMA-IR levels at
- age fifteen. Estimations based on our data indicate that if mean accelerometer counts declined
- 185 by about 171 counts per minute or 20.6 minutes >3000CPM between 1997 and 2003, the
- 186 HOMA-IR levels of participants would be 0.1 units higher than those whose activity did not
- 187 decline. Similarly, the fasting insulin levels of participants whose physical activity declined
- 188 by about 22 minutes >3000CPM per day, or 198 accelerometer counts per minute, between
- 189 1997 and 2003 would be 0.5 mmol/l higher than the insulin levels of participants whose
- 190 activity levels did not decline. Cross-sectional analyses also indicated that physical activity
- 191 was consistently associated with fasting insulin and HOMA-IR levels at age fifteen. These
- 192 findings buttress previous studies among children and adolescents which have reported cross-
- 193 sectional associations between physical activity and indicators of insulin resistance by
- demonstrating that associations are maintained through childhood into adolescence.^{11, 27}
- 195 Findings therefore provide new longitudinal, support for the promotion of physical activity

-

among youth, as a means of maintaining desirable metabolic health.

197

198 Physical activity levels were lower at age 15 than at age nine. Comparison of the 9th grade

199 participants in this study with previously published data that was obtained from the same

200 schools in 1997 provided little evidence of the difference between the two groups. The

201 decline in activity from age 9 to 15 is therefore unlikely to be a function of a cultural shift in

202 physical activity. Findings are consistent with previous self-reported age related declines in

203 physical activity and cross-sectional studies reporting lower levels of physical activity among

204 older youth.²⁸⁻³⁰ The age related decline is important because youth physical activity

205 interventions have had limited, short-term effects.³¹⁻³⁵ The central premise of all youth

206 interventions has been the addition of physical activity into the participant's day and this

207 increase has required behaviour change.³² Given the low success of these programs,

208 investigators should consider re-examining the design of youth interventions as longitudinal

209 programs that focus on stemming age-related declines in physical activity may be more

210 effective for protection against insulin resistance.

211

212 There has been some debate as to whether the volume of physical activity (as indicated by 213 CPM) or the time spent in activity of a sufficient intensity (minutes > 3000 CPM) is of most benefit for health enhancement.^{36, 37} It has been suggested that the overall volume of physical 214 activity could be important for metabolic health, ³⁸ but bursts of moderate to vigorous 215 physical activity could be more important for cardiovascular health.^{39, 40} Our data suggests 216 217 that both the volume and intensity of physical activity are important for the prevention of 218 insulin resistance among adolescents. Therefore, strategies need to be encouraged to promote 219 all kinds of physical activity among youth.

220

Waist circumference was a significant predictor of insulin and HOMA-IR in both the cross sectional and longitudinal regression models. This finding is consistent with the data from the

- -

223 US National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Growth and Health Study which reported that 224 among girls waist circumference at age 10 was a strong independent predictor of developing the metabolic syndrome at age 18.⁴¹ The same study also showed that the tracking coefficient 225 226 for waist circumference was 0.83, indicating that girls with a large waist circumference at age 227 10 were likely to still have a large waist circumference at age 18.⁴¹ Collectively the findings 228 of this study and others suggest that routine waist circumference screening, either instead of 229 or as well as BMI, could be particularly important in identifying youth at risk of developing 230 insulin resistance.

231

232 Strengths and limitations

233 The major strength of this study is the physical activity and insulin resistance risk factor 234 information on a cohort of children and adolescents that was assessed at two time points six 235 years apart. The study is limited by the relatively small number of participants with complete 236 physical activity, body composition, blood, and parental education information at both time 237 points, which limited our power to detect relationships. Over 56% of participants resided in 238 homes where a parent was degree educated, which limits our ability to relate the findings to 239 wider socioeconomic groups. It is also important to acknowledge that accelerometers provide 240 poor assessments of cycling and, as they are not worn in water, cannot capture the physical 241 activity associated with swimming.

242 CONCLUSIONS

243 This paper has provided further cross-sectional and new longitudinal data on the association

- between physical activity and indicators of insulin resistance among fifteen year old Danish
- adolescents. Reductions in physical activity between nine and fifteen years of age were
- associated with higher fasting insulin levels and HOMA-IR levels. New programs that focus

- -

- on stemming the age related decline in physical activity are likely to be an effective means of
- 248 preventing insulin resistance.

249

250 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 251 This study was funded by the Danish Ministry of the Interior and Health, The Danish Medical
- 252 Research Council and Kulturministerierts Udvalg for Idraetsforskning, and the Danish Heart
- 253 Association.

255 **REFERENCES**

256	1.	Jackson-Leach R, Lobstein T. Estimated burden of paediatric obesity and co-
257		morbidities in Europe. Part 1. The increase in the prevalence of child obesity in
258		Europe is itself increasing. Int J Pediatr Obes 2006; 1:26-32.
259	2.	Lobstein T, Jackson-Leach R. Estimated burden of paediatric obesity and co-
260		morbidities in Europe. Part 2. Numbers of children with indicators of obesity-related
261		disease. Int J Pediatr Obes 2006; 1:33-41.
262	3.	Rippe JM, Hess S. The role of physical activity in the prevention and management of
263		obesity. J Am Diet Assoc 1998; 98:S31-S38.
264	4.	Toth MJ, Poehlman ET. Resting metabolic rate and cardiovascular disease risk in
265		resistance and aerobic trained middle aged women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord
266		1995; 19 :691-8.
267	5.	Poehlman ET, Melby CL, Badylak SF. Resting metabolic rate and postprandial
268		thermogenesis in highly trained and untrained males. American Journal of Clinical
269		Nutrition 1988; 47 :793-798.
270	6.	Houmard JA, Egan PC, Neufer PD, Friedman JE, Wheeler JE, Israel RG, Dohm GL.
271		Elevated skeletal muscle glucose transporter levels in exercise-trained middle-aged
272		men. Am J Physiol `1991; 261 :E437-443.
273	7.	Roberts CK, Barnard RJ, Schelk SH. Exercise-stimulated glucose transport in skeletal
274		muscle is nitric oxide dependent. Am J Physiol 1997; 273:E220-225.

- -

275	8.	Mikines KJ, Sonne B, Farrell PA, Tronier B, Galbo H. Effects of physical exercise on
276		sensitivity and responsiveness to insulin in humans. Am J Physiol 1988; 254:E248-
277		259.
278	9.	Wasserman DH. Regulation of glucose fluxes during exercise in the post-absorptive
279		state. Annu Rev Physiol 1995; 57:191-218.
280	10.	Jago R, Baranowski T, Baranowski JC, Thompson D, Greaves KA. BMI from 3-6 y of
281		age is predicted by TV viewing and physical activity, not diet. Int J Obes Relat Metab
282		Disord 2005; 29 :557-64.
283	11.	Brage S, Wedderkopp N, Ekelund U, Franks PW, Wareham NJ, Andersen LB,
284		Froberg K. Objectively measured physical activity correlates with indices of insulin
285		resistance in Danish children. The European Youth Heart Study (EYHS). Int J Obes
286		<i>Relat Metab Disord</i> 2004; 28 :1503-8.
287	12.	Kristensen PL, Wedderkopp N, Moller NC, Andersen LB, Bai CN, Froberg K.
288		Tracking and prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors across socio-economic
289		classes: a longitudinal sub-study of the European Youth Heart Study. BMC Public
290		<i>Health</i> 2006; 6 :20.
291	13.	Riddoch C, Edwards D, Page A, Froberg K, Anderssen SA, Wedderkopp N, Brage S,
292		Cooper AR, Sardinha LB, Harro M, Klasson-Heggebo L, Van Mechelen W, Boreham
293		C, Ekelund U, Andersen LB. The European Youth Heart Study - Cardiovascular
294		disease risk factors in children: Rationale, Aims, Study Design, and Validation of
295		Methods. J Phys Act Health 2005; 2:115-129.
296	14.	Brage S, Wedderkopp N, Ekelund U, Franks PW, Wareham NJ, Anderson LB,
297		Froberg K. Features of the metabolic syndrome are associated with objectively

298		measured physical activity and fitness in Danish children: the European Youth Heart
299		Study. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2004; 27 :2141-2148.
300	15.	Cooper AR, Andersen LB, Wedderkopp N, Page AS, Froberg K. Physical activity
301		levels of children who walk, cycle, or are driven to school. Am J Prev Med 2005;
302		29 :179-84.
303	16.	Cooper AR, Wedderkopp N, Wang H, Andersen LB, Froberg K, Page AS. Active
304		travel to school and cardiovascular fitness in Danish children and adolescents. Med Sci
305		Sports Exerc 2006; 38 :1724-31.
306	17.	Riddoch C, Andersen LB, Wedderkopp N, Harro M, Klasson-Heggebo L, Sardinha
307		LB, Cooper AR, Ekelund U. Physical activity levels and patterns of 9- and 15-yr-old
308		European children. Med. Sci. Sports. Exerc. 2004; 36:86-92.
309	18.	Schmitz KH, Treuth M, Hannan P, McMurray R, Ring KB, Catellier D, Pate R.
310		Predicting energy expenditure from accelerometry counts in adolescent girls. Med Sci
311		Sports Exerc 2005; 37 :155-61.
312	19.	Puyau MR, Adolph AL, Vohra FA, Butte NF. Validation and calibration of physical
313		activity monitors in children. Obes Res 2002; 10:150-157.
314	20.	Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC.
315		Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting
316		plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. <i>Diabetologia</i> 1985; 28:412-9.
317	21.	Lee JM, Okumura MJ, Davis MM, Herman WH, Gurney JG. Prevalence and
318		determinants of insulin resistance among U.S. adolescents: a population-based study.
319		<i>Diabetes Care</i> 2006; 29 :2427-32.

320	22.	Andersen LB, Boreham CA, Young IS, Davey Smith G, Gallagher AM, Murray L,
321		McCarron P. Insulin sensitivity and clustering of coronary heart disease risk factors in
322		young adults. The Northern Ireland Young Hearts Study. Prev Med 2006; 42:73-7.
323	23.	Duncan MH, Singh BM, Wise PH, Carter G, Alaghband-Zadeh J. A simple measure
324		of insulin resistance. Lancet 1995; 346:120-1.
325	24.	Cole TJ, Green PJ. Smoothing reference centile curves: the LMS method and
326		penalized likelihood. Stat Med 1992; 11:1305-19.
327	25.	Tanner JM. Growth at adolescence. Oxford: Blackwell, 1962.
328	26.	Kirkwood BR, Sterne AC. Essential Medical Statistics. London: Blackwell, 2006.
329	27.	Schmitz K, Jacobs DR, Hong CP, Steinberger J, Moran A, Sinaiko AR. Association of
330		physical activity with insulin sensitivity in children. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord
331		2002; 26 :1310-1316.
332	28.	Telama R, Yang W. Decline of physical activity from youth to young adulthood in
333		Finland. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000; 39 :1617-1622.
334	29.	Klasson-Heggebo L, Andersen SA. Gender and age differences in relation to the
335		recommendations of physical activity among Norwegian children and youth. Scand J
336		Med Sci Sports 2003; 13:293-298.
337	30.	Henning Brodersen N, Steptoe A, Boniface DR, Wardle J. Trends in physical activity
338		and sedentary behaviour in adolescence: ethnic and socio-economic differences. BrJ
339		Sports Med 2006.

- Jago R, Baranowski T. Non-curricular approaches for increasing physical activity in
 youth: a review. *Prev Med* 2004; **39**:157-63.
- 342 32. Thompson D, Baranowski T, Zakeri I, Jago R, J. D, Cullen K. Effectiveness of school-343 based environmental vs. individual approaches to diet, physical activity and sedentary 344 behvaior change among youth. In: Flamenbaum RK, ed. Childhood Obesity and 345 Health Research. Hauppauge, N. Y.: Nova Science Publishers, 2006:157-174. 346 33. Gortmaker SL, Peterson K, Wiecha J, Sobol AM, Dixit S, Fox MK, Laird N. 347 Reducing obesity via a school-based interdisciplinary intervention among youth. Arch 348 Pediatr Adolesc Med 1999; 153:409-418. 349 34. Sallis JF, McKenzie TL, Conway TL, Elder JP, Prochaska JJ, Brown M, Zive MM, 350 Marshall SJ, Alcaraz JE. Environmental interventions for eating and physical activity: 351 A randomized controlled trial in middle schools. Am J Prev Med 2003; 24:209-217. 352 35. Jago R, Baranowski T, Baranowski J, Thompson D, Cullen K, Watson K, Liu Y. Fit 353 for life Boy Scout badge: Outcome Evaluation of a troop & internet intervention. Prev 354 *Med* 2006; **42**:181-187. 355 36. Tanasescu M, Leitzmann MF, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. Exercise 356 type and intensity in relation to coronary heart disease in men. JAMA 2002; 288:1994-357 2000. 358 37. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG. Physical activity in the prevention of cardiovascular 359 disease: an epidemiological perspective. Sports Med 2001; 31:101-14. 360 38. Ekelund U, Griffin SJ, Wareham NJ. Physical activity and metabolic risk in 361 individuals with a family history of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2007; **30**:337-42.

362	39.	Franklin BA, Swain DP. New insights on the threshold intensity for improving
363		cardiorespiratory fitness. Prev Cardiol 2003; 6:118-21.
364	40.	Swain DP, Franklin BA. Comparison of cardioprotective benefits of vigorous versus
365		moderate intensity aerobic exercise. Am J Cardiol 2006; 97:141-7.
366	41.	Morrison JA, Friedman LA, Harlan WR, Harlan LC, Barton BA, Schreiber GB, Klein
367		DJ. Development of the metabolic syndrome in black and white adolescent girls: a
368		longitudinal assessment. Pediatrics 2005; 116:1178-82.
369		

- 371 Tables
- 372
- 373 Table 1: Participant characteristics374
- 375 Table 2: Cross-sectional regression models predicting indicators of insulin resistance by
- 376 demographics, waist circumference and physical activity when participants were in the
- 377 9th grade (2003)
- 378
- **Table 3: Regression models predicting follow-up indicators of insulin resistance by**
- 380 demographics, change in physical activity, BMI and waist circumference and baseline

- 381 Insulin Resistance (IR) variables
- 382

383 Table 1: Participant characteri

	1997 – Participants in 3 rd Grade			2003 – Participants in 9 th Grade		
Gender	N	(%)	N	(%)		
Female	214	55.7	214	55.7		
Male	170	44.3	170	44.3		
Highest education in household						
High School	79	20.6	79	20.6		
High school & some University	86	22.4	86	22.4		
University graduate & higher	216	56.3	216	56.3		
Puberty (3 groups)						
1	317	82.6	0	0		
2 (Tanner group 2 and 3)	62	16.1	23	6.0		
3 (Tanner 4 and 5)	0	0	361	94.0		
Missing	5	1.3	0	0		
	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)		
Age (yrs)	383	9.65 (0.43)	384	15.72 (0.35)		
BMI (kg/m ²)	384	17.15 (2.25)	384	21.23 (2.99)		
Waist circumference (cm)	379	58.16 (5.41)	383	74.28 (7.68)		
Insulin (micro iu/ml)	340	7.95 (4.06)	359	8.75 (3.84)		
Glucose (mmol/l)	345	5.11 (0.37)	359	5.02 (0.41)		
HOMA-IR	340	1.83 (0.98)	359	1.97 (0.93)		
Physical activity data						
Mean days of valid accelerometer data	266	4.22 (1.26)	301	4.42 (0.84)		
Mean mins accelerometer data per day	266	777.41 (43.96)	301	817.01 (160.71)		
Mean min > 3000 CPM	266	45.64 (29.05)	301	35.08 (21.97)		
Mean CPM	266	655.10 (234.43)	301	440.00 (164.55)		

Table 2: Cross-sectional regression models predicting indicators of insulin resistance by demographics, waist circumference and physical activity when participants were in the 9th grade (2003)

565 physical activity when participants were in the 9 grade (2005)								
Dependent Variable = Insulin 2003								
Ind. V (n = 235)	Beta (95% CI)	Z	Sig	Ind. V (n = 235)	Beta (95% Cl)	Z	Sig	
Gender	<mark>-0.96 (-1.96; 0.05)</mark>	<mark>-1.87</mark>	<mark>0.062</mark>	Gender	- <u>1.00 (-2.00; -0.00)</u>	<mark>-1.96</mark>	<mark>0.050</mark>	
High school*	<mark>0.36 (-0.85; 1.56)</mark>	<mark>0.58</mark>	<mark>0.563</mark>	High school*	<mark>0.39 (-0.81; 1.60)</mark>	<mark>0.65</mark>	<mark>0.517</mark>	
Some University*	<mark>0.16 (-0.75; 1.07)</mark>	<mark>0.35</mark>	<mark>0.729</mark>	Some University*	<mark>0.29 (-0.64; 1.23)</mark>	<mark>0.61</mark>	<mark>0.540</mark>	
BMI Z Score	<mark>0.79 (-0.07; 1.64)</mark>	<mark>1.80</mark>	<mark>0.071</mark>	BMI Z Score	<mark>0.88 (-0.00; 1.77)</mark>	<mark>1.96</mark>	<mark>0.050</mark>	
WC (cm)	<mark>0.11 (0.00; 0.22)</mark>	<mark>2.05</mark>	<mark>0.040</mark>	WC (cm)	<mark>0.12 (0.01; 0.22)</mark>	<mark>2.09</mark>	<mark>0.036</mark>	
<mark>Min > 3000</mark>	<mark>-0.03 (-0.05; 0.01)</mark>	<mark>-3.17</mark>	<mark>0.002</mark>	Mean CPM	<mark>-0.00 (-0.01; -0.00)</mark>	<mark>-2.91</mark>	<mark>0.004</mark>	
Within R ² = 0.194 Ov	erall R ² = 0.224			Within R ² = 0.206 O	verall R ² = 0.235			
		Dep	endent Variable	e = Glucose 2003				
Ind. V (n = 235)	Beta (95% CI)	z	Sig	Ind. V (n = 2235)	Beta (95% CI)	z	Sig	
Gender	<mark>0.22 (0.11; 0.34)</mark>	<mark>3.76</mark>	<mark><0.001</mark>	Gender	<mark>0.23 (0.11; 0.34)</mark>	<mark>3.82</mark>	<mark><0.001</mark>	
High school*	<mark>0.09 (-0.05; 0.22)</mark>	<mark>1.22</mark>	<mark>0.224</mark>	High school*	0.09 (-0.05; 0.23)	<mark>1.27</mark>	<mark>0.203</mark>	
Some University*	<mark>0.07 (-0.06; 0.19)</mark>	<mark>1.02</mark>	<mark>0.308</mark>	Some University*	0.09 (-0.04; 0.21)	<mark>1.34</mark>	<mark>0.181</mark>	
BMI Z Score	<mark>0.03 (07; 0.12)</mark>	<mark>0.61</mark>	<mark>0.541</mark>	BMI Z Score	0.03 (-0.06; 0.13)	<mark>0.67</mark>	<mark>0.503</mark>	
WC (cm)	<mark>-0.00 (-0.01; 0.01)</mark>	<mark>-0.11</mark>	<mark>0.915</mark>	WC (cm)	<mark>-0.00 (-0.01; 0.01)</mark>	<mark>-0.25</mark>	<mark>0.805</mark>	
<mark>Min > 3000</mark>	<mark>-0.00 (-0.00, 0.00)</mark>	<mark>-0.32</mark>	<mark>0.747</mark>	Mean CPM	<mark>-0.00 (-0.00; 0.00)</mark>	<mark>-0.66</mark>	<mark>0.511</mark>	
Within R ² = 0.094 Ove	erall R ² = 0.110			Within $R^2 = 0.098 =$	Overall R ² = 0.111			
		<mark>Depe</mark>	endent Variable	= HOMA-IR 2003				
<mark>Ind. V (n = 235)</mark>	<mark>Beta (95% CI)</mark>	z	Sig	<mark>Ind. V (n = 235)</mark>	Beta (95% CI)	z	<mark>Sig</mark>	
Gender	<mark>-0.10 (-0.37; 0.16)</mark>	<mark>-0.77</mark>	<mark>0.440</mark>	Gender	<mark>-0.11 (0.38; 0.15)</mark>	<mark>-0.83</mark>	<mark>0.405</mark>	
High school*	<mark>0.13 (-0.19; 0.44)</mark>	<mark>0.81</mark>	<mark>0.418</mark>	High school*	<mark>0.14 (-0.17; 0.46)</mark>	<mark>0.89</mark>	<mark>0.375</mark>	
Some University*	<mark>0.05 (-0.17; 0.26)</mark>	<mark>0.45</mark>	<mark>0.654</mark>	Some University*	<mark>0.09 (-0.13; 0.31)</mark>	<mark>0.77</mark>	<mark>0.441</mark>	
BMI Z Score	<mark>0.21 (-0.01; 0.42)</mark>	<mark>1.89</mark>	<mark>0.059</mark>	BMI Z Score	0.23 (0.01; 0.45)	<mark>2.02</mark>	<mark>0.043</mark>	
WC (cm)	<mark>0.02 (-0.01; 0.05)</mark>	<mark>1.87</mark>	<mark>0.062</mark>	WC (cm)	<mark>0.02 (-0.00; 0.05)</mark>	<mark>1.91</mark>	<mark>0.057</mark>	
<mark>Min > 3000</mark>	<mark>-0.01 (-0.01; -0.00)</mark>	<mark>-2.69</mark>	<mark>0.007</mark>	Mean CPM	<u>-0.00 (-0.00; -0.00)</u>	<mark>-2.57</mark>	<mark>0.010</mark>	
Within R ² = 0.179 Ove			Within $R^2 = 0.191 C$	verall R ² = 0.211				
386 * University educated is reference group WC = Waist Circumference CPM = Counts per minute								

- -

Table 3: Regression models predicting follow-up indicators of insulin resistance by demographics, change in physical activity, BMI and waist circumference and baseline Insulin Resistance (IR) variables 390 391

Gender -0.76 (+1.77, 0.26) -1.47 0.143 Gender -0.72 (+1.76, 0.32) +1.35 0.177 High school* 0.63 (-1.02; 2.29) 0.75 0.453 High school* 0.68 (-0.97, 2.33) 0.81 0.417 Some University* 0.01 (-1.14; 1.18) 0.02 0.981 A BMI Z score 0.67 (-0.32; 1.65) 1.33 0.184 A BMI Z score 0.68 (-0.32; 1.68) 1.34 0.181 1997 insulin (mm0/l) 0.17 (0.05; 0.29 2.79 0.005 1 997 insulin (mm0/l) 0.17 (0.04; 0.29) 2.58 0.010 A WC (cm) 0.18 (0.07; 0.30) 3.16 0.022 A Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; -0.00) 2.18 0.029 Within R* = 0.220 Overall R* = 0.241 Within R* = 0.234 Overall R* = 0.241 Overall R* = 0.241 Øusta (-0.00; 0.30) 3.14 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.39) 3.14	Dependent Variable = Insulin 2003									
High school* 0.63 (1.02; 2.29) 0.75 0.453 High school* 0.68 (0.97; 2.33) 0.81 0.417 Some University* -0.18 (1.26; 0.90) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.01 (-1.14; 1.18) 0.02 0.981 Δ BMI Z score 0.67 (-0.32; 1.65) 1.33 0.184 Δ BMI Z score 0.68 (-0.32; 1.68) 1.34 0.181 1997 insulin (mmol/l) 0.17 (0.05, 0.29) 2.79 0.005 1997 insulin (mmol/l) 0.17 (0.04, 0.29) 2.59 0.010 Δ WC (cm) 0.20 (0.08; 0.32) 3.30 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.20 (0.08; 0.32) 3.30 0.001 Δ Min > 3000 -0.02 (-0.04; -0.00) -2.27 0.014 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; -0.00) -2.59 0.029 Within R ² = 0.220 Overall R ² = 0.241 Within R ² = 0.234 Ver all R ² = 0.241 Vithin R ² = 0.241 Vithin R ² = 0.234 0.021 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 Japs	Ind. V (n = 147)									
Some University* ↓0.18 (1.26; 0.90) ↓0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.01 (-1.14; 1.18) 0.02 0.981 Δ BMI Z score 0.67 (-0.32; 1.65) 1.33 0.144 Δ BMI Z score 0.68 (-0.32; 1.68) 1.34 0.181 1997 insulin (mmol/l) 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.79 0.005 1997 insulin (mmol/l) 0.17 (0.04; 0.29) 2.59 0.010 Δ WC (cm) 0.18 (0.07, 0.30) 3.16 0.002 Δ WC (cm) 0.20 (0.08; 0.32) 3.30 0.001 Δ Min > 3000 +0.02 (-0.04; -0.00) +2.47 0.014 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; -0.00) +2.18 0.029 Within R ² = 0.220 Overall R ² = 0.241 Within R ² = 0.234 Overall R ² = 0.241 Overal R ² = 0.241 0.029 0.359 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 Igh school* 0.08 (-0.10; 0.25) 0.87 0.384 High school* 0.08 (-0.09; 0.63) 3.14 0.002<	Gender	-0.76 (-1.77; 0.26)	<mark>-1.47</mark>	<mark>0.143</mark>	Gender	-0.72 (-1.76; 0.32)	<mark>-1.35</mark>	<mark>0.177</mark>		
Δ BMI Z score 0.67 (-0.32; 1.65) 1.33 0.184 Δ BMI Z score 0.68 (-0.32; 1.68) 1.34 0.181 1997 insulin (mmol/l) 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.79 0.002 1997 insulin (mmol/l) 0.17 (0.04; 0.29) 2.59 0.010 Δ WC (cm) 0.18 (0.07; 0.30) 3.16 0.002 Δ WC (cm) 0.20 (0.08; 0.32) 3.30 0.001 Δ Min > 3000 -0.02 (-0.04; -0.00) -2.47 0.014 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; -0.00) 2.18 0.029 Within R ² = 0.220 Overall R ² = 0.241 Within R ² = 0.234 Overall R ² = 0.241 Vithin R ² = 0.234 Overall R ² = 0.241 0.029 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.36) 3.14 0.002 High school* 0.08 (-0.10; 0.25) 0.87 0.384 High school* 0.08 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.712 J997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.03 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001	High school*	<mark>0.63 (-1.02; 2.29)</mark>	<mark>0.75</mark>	<mark>0.453</mark>	High school*	<mark>0.68 (-0.97; 2.33)</mark>	<mark>0.81</mark>	<mark>0.417</mark>		
1997 insulin (mmol/l) 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.79 0.005 1997 insulin (mmol/l) 0.17 (0.04; 0.29) 2.59 0.010 Δ Wic (cm) 0.18 (0.07; 0.30) 3.16 0.002 Δ WC (cm) 0.20 (0.08; 0.32) 3.30 0.0019 Δ Min ≥ 3000 -0.02 (-0.04; -0.00) -2.47 0.014 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.0; -0.00) 2.18 0.029 Within R ² = 0.220 Overall R ² = 0.241 Within R ² = 0.234 Overall R ² = 0.241 Overall R ² = 0.241 0.014 (-0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 Some University* 0.02 (-0.15; 0.18 0.18 0.856 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14; 0.19) 0.30 0.765 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.675 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.712 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001	Some University*	<mark>-0.18 (-1.26; 0.90)</mark>	<mark>-0.32</mark>	<mark>0.749</mark>	Some University*	<mark>0.01 (-1.14; 1.18)</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>	<mark>0.981</mark>		
Δ WC (cm) 0.18 (0.07; 0.30) 3.16 0.002 Δ WC (cm) 0.20 (0.08; 0.32) 3.30 0.001 Δ Min > 3000 -0.02 (-0.04; -0.00) -2.47 0.014 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; -0.00) -2.18 0.029 Within R ² = 0.220 Overall R ² = 0.241 Within R ² = 0.234 Overall R ² = 0.241 Overall R ² = 0.241 Ind. V (n = 149) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Ind. V (n = 149) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 Some University* 0.02 (-0.15; 0.18) 0.18 0.866 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14; 0.19) 0.30 0.765 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.675 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.712 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001	Δ BMI Z score	<mark>0.67 (-0.32; 1.65)</mark>	<mark>1.33</mark>	<mark>0.184</mark>		<mark>0.68 (-0.32; 1.68)</mark>	<mark>1.34</mark>	<mark>0.181</mark>		
Δ Min > 3000 -0.02 (-0.04; -0.00) -2.47 0.014 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; -0.00) -2.18 0.029 Within R ² = 0.220 Overall R ² = 0.241 Within R ² = 0.234 Overall R ² = 0.241 Overall R ² = 0.263 3.14 O.002 Gender 0.021 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.021 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 Some University* 0.022 (-0.15; 0.18) 0.18 0.856 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14; 0.19) 0.33 0.765 Δ BMI Z score 0.021 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.675 Δ BMI Z score 0.021 (-0.01; 0.02) 0.99 0.321 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.56) 3.55<	1997 insulin (mmol/l)	<mark>0.17 (0.05; 0.29)</mark>		<mark>0.005</mark>	1997 insulin (mmol/l)	<mark>0.17 (0.04; 0.29)</mark>	<mark>2.59</mark>	<mark>0.010</mark>		
Within R ² = 0.220 Overall R ² = 0.241 Within R ² = 0.234 Overall R ² = 0.241 Ind. V (n = 149) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Ind. V (n = 149) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 High school* 0.08 (-0.10; 0.25) 0.87 0.384 High school* 0.08 (-0.09; 0.26) 0.92 0.335 Some University* 0.02 (-0.15; 0.18) 0.18 0.856 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14; 0.19) 0.30 0.765 A BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.075 A BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.711 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.01 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.56) 3.55 <0.011 Δ WC (cm) 0.01 (-0.00; 0.02) 1.19 0.234 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; 0.00) -1.55 0.121 Mithin R ² = 0.244 Overall R ² = 0.206 Within R ² = 0.239 Overall R ² = 0.206 Overall R ² = 0.2	<mark>Δ WC (cm)</mark>	<mark>0.18 (0.07; 0.30)</mark>		<mark>0.002</mark>		<mark>0.20 (0.08; 0.32)</mark>	<mark>3.30</mark>	<mark>0.001</mark>		
Dependent Variable = Glucose 2003 Ind. V (n = 149) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Ind. V (n = 149) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 High school* 0.08 (-0.10; 0.25) 0.87 0.384 High school* 0.08 (-0.09; 0.26) 0.92 0.359 Some University* 0.02 (-0.15; 0.18) 0.18 0.856 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14; 0.19) 0.30 0.765 A BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.675 A BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.712 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001		<mark>-0.02 (-0.04; -0.00)</mark>				<mark>-0.00 (-0.00; -0.00)</mark>				
Ind. V (n = 149) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Ind. V (n = 149) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 High school* 0.08 (-0.10; 0.25) 0.87 0.384 High school* 0.08 (-0.09; 0.26) 0.92 0.359 Some University* 0.02 (-0.15; 0.18) 0.18 0.856 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14; 0.19) 0.30 0.765 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.675 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.712 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001	Within R ² = 0.220						Overall F	<mark>R² = 0.241</mark>		
Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.34) 3.17 0.002 Gender 0.21 (0.08; 0.38) 3.14 0.002 High school* 0.08 (-0.10; 0.25) 0.87 0.384 High school* 0.08 (-0.09; 0.26) 0.92 0.359 Some University* 0.02 (-0.15; 0.18) 0.18 0.856 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14; 0.19) 0.30 0.765 ΔBMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.675 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.712 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.56) 3.55 <0.001 ΔWC (cm) 0.01 (-0.00; 0.02) 1.19 0.234 Δ WC (cm) 0.01 (-0.01; 0.02) 0.99 0.321 ΔMin > 3000 -0.00 (-0.00; 0.00) -0.77 0.443 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; 0.00) -1.55 0.121 Within R ² = 0.244 Overall R ² = 0.206 Within R ² = 0.239 Overall R ² = 0.206 Verall R ² = 0.206 Ind. V (n = 174) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Gender -0.04 (-0.29			De De							
High school* 0.08 (-0.10, 0.25) 0.87 0.384 High school* 0.08 (-0.09, 0.26) 0.92 0.359 Some University* 0.02 (-0.15, 0.18) 0.18 0.856 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14, 0.19) 0.30 0.765 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09, 0.13) 0.42 0.675 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09, 0.13) 0.37 0.712 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16, 0.55) 3.55 <0.001	/		z							
Some University* 0.02 (-0.15; 0.18) 0.18 0.856 Some University* 0.03 (-0.14; 0.19) 0.30 0.765 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.675 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.712 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001										
Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.42 0.675 Δ BMI Z score 0.02 (-0.09; 0.13) 0.37 0.712 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001	0									
1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.55) 3.55 <0.001 1997 Glucose (mmol/l) 0.36 (0.16; 0.56) 3.55 <0.001 ΔWC (cm) 0.01 (-0.00; 0.02) 1.19 0.234 ΔWC (cm) 0.01 (-0.01; 0.02) 0.99 0.321 ΔMin > 3000 -0.00 (-0.00; 0.00) -0.77 0.443 ΔMean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; 0.00) -1.55 0.121 Within R ² = 0.244 Overall R ² = 0.206 Within R ² = 0.239 Overall R ² = 0.206 Within R ² = 0.239 Overall R ² = 0.206 Ind. V (n = 147) Beta (95% Cl) z Sig Gender -0.05 (-0.30; 0.20) -0.41 0.683 Gender -0.04 (-0.29; 0.21) -0.33 0.745 High school* 0.21 (-0.25; 0.67) 0.91 0.363 High school* 0.23 (-0.23; 0.69) 0.96 0.336 Some University* -0.04 (-0.30; 0.21) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.00 (-0.27; 0.27) 0.01 0.995 A BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.						<mark>0.03 (-0.14; 0.19)</mark>				
Δ WC (cm)0.01 (-0.00; 0.02)1.190.234Δ WC (cm)0.01 (-0.01; 0.02)0.990.321Δ Min > 3000-0.00 (-0.00; 0.00)-0.770.443Δ Mean CPM-0.00 (-0.00; 0.00)-1.550.121Within R ² = 0.244Overall R ² = 0.206Within R ² = 0.239Overall R ² = 0.206Overall R ² = 0.206Ind. V (n = 147)Beta (95% CI)zSigGender-0.05 (-0.30; 0.20)-0.410.683Gender-0.04 (-0.29; 0.21)-0.330.745High school*0.21 (-0.25; 0.67)0.910.363High school*0.23 (-0.23; 0.69)0.960.336Some University*-0.04 (-0.30; 0.21)-0.320.749Some University*0.00 (-0.27; 0.27)0.010.995Δ BMI Z score0.17 (-0.09; 0.42)1.260.206Δ BMI Z score0.17 (-0.09; 0.43)1.280.2001997 HOMA-IR0.05 (0.02; 0.07)3.390.001Δ WC (cm)0.05 (0.02; 0.08)3.54<0.001										
Δ Min > 3000 -0.00 (-0.00; 0.00) -0.77 0.443 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; 0.00) -1.55 0.121 Within R ² = 0.244 Overall R ² = 0.206 Within R ² = 0.239 Overall R ² = 0.206 Vithin R ² = 0.239 Overall R ² = 0.206 Overall R ² = 0.206 Ind. V (n = 174) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Ind. V (n = 147) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Ind. V (n = 174) Beta (95% CI) z Sig Gender -0.05 (-0.30; 0.20) -0.41 0.683 Gender -0.04 (-0.29; 0.21) -0.33 0.745 High school* 0.21 (-0.25; 0.67) 0.91 0.363 High school* 0.23 (-0.23; 0.69) 0.96 0.336 Some University* -0.04 (-0.30; 0.21) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.00 (-0.27; 0.27) 0.01 0.995 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.43) 1.28 0.200 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 <										
Within R ² = 0.244 Overall R ² = 0.206 Within R ² = 0.239 Overall R ² = 0.206 Dependent Variable = HOMA-IR 2003 Ind. V (n = 147) Beta (95% Cl) z Sig Ind. V (n = 147) Beta (95% Cl) z Sig Ind. V (n = 174) Beta (95% Cl) z Sig Gender -0.05 (-0.30; 0.20) -0.41 0.683 Gender -0.04 (-0.29; 0.21) -0.33 0.745 High school* 0.21 (-0.25; 0.67) 0.91 0.363 High school* 0.23 (-0.23; 0.69) 0.96 0.336 Some University* -0.04 (-0.30; 0.21) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.00 (-0.27; 0.27) 0.01 0.995 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.43) 1.28 0.200 0.200 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.009 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
Dependent Variable = HOMA-IR 2003 Ind. V (n = 147) Beta (95% Cl) z Sig Ind. V (n = 174) Beta (95% Cl) z Sig Gender -0.05 (-0.30; 0.20) -0.41 0.683 Gender -0.04 (-0.29; 0.21) -0.33 0.745 High school* 0.21 (-0.25; 0.67) 0.91 0.363 High school* 0.23 (-0.23; 0.69) 0.96 0.336 Some University* -0.04 (-0.30; 0.21) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.00 (-0.27; 0.27) 0.01 0.995 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.43) 1.28 0.200 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.009 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001		<mark>-0.00 (-0.00; 0.00)</mark>				-0.00 (-0.00; 0.00)				
Ind. V (n = 147) Beta (95% Cl) z Sig Ind. V (n = 174) Beta (95% Cl) z Sig Gender -0.05 (-0.30; 0.20) -0.41 0.683 Gender -0.04 (-0.29; 0.21) -0.33 0.745 High school* 0.21 (-0.25; 0.67) 0.91 0.363 High school* 0.23 (-0.23; 0.69) 0.96 0.336 Some University* -0.04 (-0.30; 0.21) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.00 (-0.27; 0.27) 0.01 0.995 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.43) 1.28 0.200 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.009 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001	Within R ² = 0.244					Overall F	<mark>₹² = 0.206</mark>			
Gender -0.05 (-0.30; 0.20) -0.41 0.683 Gender -0.04 (-0.29; 0.21) -0.33 0.745 High school* 0.21 (-0.25; 0.67) 0.91 0.363 High school* 0.23 (-0.23; 0.69) 0.96 0.336 Some University* -0.04 (-0.30; 0.21) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.00 (-0.27; 0.27) 0.01 0.995 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.43) 1.28 0.200 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.009 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001			Dep Dep				1			
High school* 0.21 (-0.25; 0.67) 0.91 0.363 High school* 0.23 (-0.23; 0.69) 0.96 0.336 Some University* -0.04 (-0.30; 0.21) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.00 (-0.27; 0.27) 0.01 0.995 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.43) 1.28 0.200 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.009 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001			z	<u> </u>	/					
Some University* -0.04 (-0.30; 0.21) -0.32 0.749 Some University* 0.00 (-0.27; 0.27) 0.01 0.995 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.43) 1.28 0.200 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.009 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001										
Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.42) 1.26 0.206 Δ BMI Z score 0.17 (-0.09; 0.43) 1.28 0.200 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.009 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001										
1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (0.05; 0.29) 2.74 0.006 1997 HOMA-IR 0.17 (-0.04; 0.30) 2.60 0.009 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001										
Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.07) 3.39 0.001 Δ WC (cm) 0.05 (0.02; 0.08) 3.54 <0.001										
Δ Min > 3000 -0.00 (-0.01; -0.00) -2.31 0.021 Δ Mean CPM -0.00 (-0.00; -0.00) -2.09 0.037		<mark>-0.00 (-0.01; -0.00)</mark>				-0.00 (-0.00; -0.00)				
Within $R^2 = 0.214$ Overall $R^2 = 0.231$ Within $R^2 = 0.228$ Overall $R^2 = 0.235$ 392* University educated is reference groupWC = Waist CircumferenceCPM = Counts per minute	Within $R^2 = 0.214$						Overall F	<mark>₹² =</mark> 0.235		

* University educated is reference group 392

WC = Waist Circumference

- -

CPM = Counts per minute