

Caspersen, C., Stensrud, T., Thorsen, E. (2011). Bronchial nitric oxide flux and alveolar nitric oxide concentration after exposure to hyperoxia. *Aviation, Space and Environmental Medicine*, 82, 946-950.

Dette er siste tekst-versjon av artikkelen, og den kan inneholde små forskjeller fra forlagets pdf-versjon. Forlagets pdf-versjon finner du på www.ingentaconnect.com: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3357/ASEM.2995.2011>

This is the final text version of the article, and it may contain minor differences from the journal's pdf version. The original publication is available at www.ingentaconnect.com: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3357/ASEM.2995.2011>

Bronchial nitric oxide flux and alveolar nitric oxide concentration after exposure to hyperoxia.

Cecilie Caspersen¹, Trine Stensrud² and Einar Thorsen^{1,3}

¹Institute of Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, ²The Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo and ³Department of Occupational Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway.

ABSTRACT

Background: The fraction of nitric oxide in exhaled gas ($F_{E_{NO}}$) is reduced with 30-70% after exposure to partial pressures of oxygen (PO_2) of 200-240 kPa for 90 min. The purpose of this study was to partition $F_{E_{NO}}$ into its flow independent alveolar and bronchial components. A reduced bronchial NO flux (J_{awNO}) is associated with induced bronchoconstriction, while increased alveolar NO concentration ($C_{A_{NO}}$) is associated with increased alveolar dead space. **Methods:** Twelve patients undergoing hyperbaric oxygen (HBO_2) therapy for 90 min at a PO_2 240 kPa and twenty healthy subjects exposed to normobaric hyperoxia (NBO_2) breathing 100% oxygen for 90 min were compared to a control group of 6 subjects breathing ambient air. $F_{E_{NO}}$ was measured at flow rates from 30 to 250 $mL \cdot s^{-1}$ before and after the exposures and the Högman Märilainen algorithm was used to calculate J_{awNO} and $C_{A_{NO}}$. **Results:** $F_{E_{NO}}$ at an expiratory flow rate of 50 $mL \cdot s^{-1}$ was reduced from 17.6 ± 8.3 to 12.3 ± 6.3 ppb after HBO_2 exposure and from 17.8 ± 6.2 to 13.3 ± 5.2 ppb after NBO_2 exposure. There was a significant reduction in J_{awNO} , but unchanged $C_{A_{NO}}$. There were no changes in the control experiment. **Discussion:** The reduction in $F_{E_{NO}}$ after exposure to normobaric and hyperbaric hyperoxia appears to be predominantly an airway effect. An unchanged and low $C_{A_{NO}}$ indicate preserved integrity of the gas exchange units without increased alveolar dead space at rest.

Key words: Diving; Hyperbaric oxygen therapy; Lung function; Oxygen toxicity

INTRODUCTION

Nitric oxide (NO) is produced in the upper and lower respiratory tract by a large variety of cell types, such as vascular endothelial cells, neuronal cells, alveolar macrophages, and bronchial and alveolar epithelial cells (4). The endothelial and neuronal nitric oxide synthases are constitutive and produce NO in picomol and femtomol concentrations, contributing minimally to the concentration of NO in exhaled gas. The NO in the airway gas is synthesized predominantly in bronchial epithelial cells by inducible NO synthase (iNOS) in nanomol concentrations. An increase in $F_{E_{NO}}$ is seen with some inflammatory processes in the airways. It is consistently increased in atopic asthma (14), in association with some viral infections and exacerbations of chronic obstructive lung disease (17). It is decreased in smokers and in association with some bacterial infections, cystic fibrosis and ciliary dyskinesia (16). The functional significance of an increased or reduced $F_{E_{NO}}$ in these conditions is not known.

The fraction of nitric oxide in exhaled gas ($F_{E_{NO}}$) is reduced with 30-70% after exposure to hyperbaric hyperoxia for 90 min at partial pressures of oxygen (PO_2) of 200-240 kPa in patients having hyperbaric oxygen (HBO_2) therapy (22;27). The reduction in $F_{E_{NO}}$ persists for more than 4 hrs, but is apparently normalised within 24 hrs (15). A reduction in $F_{E_{NO}}$ of 55-63% has been demonstrated in healthy divers exposed to a PO_2 of 203 kPa for 6-8 hrs in a hyperbaric chamber (10). There are conflicting results in humans exposed to normobaric hyperoxia (NBO_2). Puthuchery et al. (22) found no changes after breathing 100% or 40% O_2 for 90 min in healthy subjects serving as a control group in their study of patients having HBO_2 therapy. Tsuchiya et al. (30) showed that $F_{E_{NO}}$ was reduced after breathing 100% O_2 for 50 min, but unchanged after breathing 40% O_2 for 50 min in subjects that were mechanically ventilated during anaesthesia. Schmetterer et al. (24) found that $F_{E_{NO}}$ was increased with 25% when breathing 100% O_2 .

Alveolar nitric oxide concentration ($C_{A\text{NO}}$) and bronchial NO flux (J_{awNO}) contribute to the concentration of NO in exhaled gas. By measuring F_{ENO} at different expiratory flow rates, the alveolar and bronchial contributions to F_{ENO} can be estimated. $C_{A\text{NO}}$ and J_{awNO} can be estimated by several models based on analysis of the relationship between the inverse of expiratory flow rate and F_{ENO} . There are small differences between models, but the nonlinear regression model by Högman and Märiläinen may be more accurate than linear models (13). $C_{A\text{NO}}$ is normally low and close to zero because of its fast reaction rate with haemoglobin. It may be increased due to increased alveolar dead space and with increased axial diffusion of NO into the alveoli due to bronchoconstriction (31). A reduced F_{ENO} and $C_{A\text{NO}}$ are associated with primary pulmonary hypertension (12). Fothergill and Gertner (10) showed that J_{awNO} was reduced after the exposure to a PO_2 of 203 kPa for 6 hrs in healthy divers without changes in $C_{A\text{NO}}$.

The purpose of this study was to partition F_{ENO} into its flow independent alveolar and bronchial components in patients exposed to HBO_2 therapy and in healthy subjects exposed to NBO_2 breathing 100% oxygen. It was hypothesised that any derangements of alveolar structure and function due to oxygen toxicity might result in a change in the alveolar component despite an overall reduction in F_{ENO} .

METHODS

Subjects

Twelve patients (7 men) undergoing HBO_2 therapy, twenty healthy subjects (10 men) exposed to normobaric hyperoxia breathing 100% oxygen (NBO_2), and six healthy subjects (3 men) serving as control group breathing ambient air (AA) were included. The patients received HBO_2 therapy for chronic radiation-induced injury in the pelvic or head and neck regions, but had not radiation to the thoracic region. Two had treatment for cardiovascular disease with β -blockers. Seven patients were

previous smokers (5 men), having stopped smoking more than 6 months before HBO₂ treatment. Their mean age was 50 years (range 35-63). The healthy subjects constituting the NBO₂ and control groups had a mean age of 27 and 28 yrs (range 20-39) and were non-smokers. The subjects' characteristics are given in Table 1. The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, and written informed consent was given by all subjects.

[Table 1 here]

Protocol

The patients were exposed to HBO₂ daily for four weeks for 90 min in a monoplace hyperbaric chamber. The chamber was compressed to a pressure of 240 kPa within 10-15 min. The oxygen exposure was in three intervals of 30 min interrupted by 5 min breaks inhaling air from an oronasal mask. Then they were decompressed for 7-10 min to normal ambient pressure. The treatment took place between 9 and 11 am. All patients had breakfast at 7 am and drinking water only was allowed until the measurements were finished.

The healthy subjects sat passively for 90 min with a nose-clip inhaling 100% oxygen or ambient air at normal atmospheric pressure through a two-way non-rebreathing T-shapeTM valve (Hans Rudolph, inc. Kansas City, USA). The exposure took place between 3 and 6 pm and 2 hrs after the last meal.

Drinking water only was allowed until the measurements were finished.

F_{ENO} was measured at flow rates of 30, 50, 100 and 250 mL·s⁻¹ (F_{ENO, 30}, F_{ENO, 50}, F_{ENO, 100}, F_{ENO, 250}), with an on-line chemiluminescence analyser (Eco Medics AG, Duernten, Switzerland) 10-30 min before and 10-20 min after the exposures. For the normobaric hyperoxic exposure F_{ENO, 50} were measured during the exposure as well at 30, 60 and 90 min. The subject removed the mouthpiece and nose-clip, exhaled slowly to residual volume before inhaling to total lung capacity and then exhaled

directly into the NO analyzer. The mean of three measurements 1 min apart with a variation of less than 10% was accepted. The Högman Meriläinen algorithm (13) was used to estimate $C_A\text{NO}$ and $J_{\text{aw}}\text{NO}$. All measurements were performed according to the recommendations specified by European Respiratory Society and American Thoracic Society (1).

Forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expired volume in one second (FEV_1) were measured before and after the exposures on a wedge spirometer (Vitalograph Ltd., Buckingham, England). The highest value obtained from three satisfactory forced expiratory manoeuvres was reported. Spirometry was done after the F_{ENO} measurements.

Statistical analyses

Paired-samples t-test was used for comparison of F_{ENO} before and after the exposures to hyperbaric and normobaric hyperoxia and ambient air. A p value <0.05 was considered significant. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD.

RESULTS

Hyperbaric oxygen exposure

There was a $30 \pm 9\%$ reduction in $\text{F}_{\text{ENO}, 50}$ from 17.6 ± 8.3 to 12.3 ± 6.3 ppb ($t_{(11)} = 6.8$, $p < 0.001$) 15-20 min after the HBO_2 exposure. F_{ENO} at the other expiratory flow rates was significantly reduced as well (Fig. 1A). There was a significant reduction in $J_{\text{aw}}\text{NO}$ ($t_{(11)} = 4.2$, $p < 0.001$), but no change in $C_A\text{NO}$ ($t_{(11)} = 0.74$, $p = 0.47$) (Table II). FVC and FEV_1 were unchanged.

[Table II here]

Normobaric oxygen exposure

There was a $25 \pm 9\%$ reduction in $F_{E_{NO, 50}}$ from 17.8 ± 6.2 to 13.3 ± 5.2 ppb ($t_{(19)} = 9.6$, $p < 0.001$) 15-20 min after the NBO_2 exposure, and there was a significant reduction in $F_{E_{NO}}$ at the other expiratory flow rates (Fig. 1B). There was a significant reduction in $J_{aw}NO$ ($t_{(19)} = 4.9$, $p < 0.001$), but no change in $C_A NO$ ($t_{(19)} = 0.8$, $p = 0.44$) (Table II). $F_{E_{NO, 50}}$ was not different from baseline to 30 min into the NBO_2 exposure. Thereafter there was a gradual decrease at 60 and 90 min approaching the 15 min post-exposure value (Fig. 2). There was no change in FEV_1 , ($t_{(19)} = 1.7$, $p = 0.10$) but a small and statistically significant ($t_{(19)} = 2.3$, $p = 0.03$) reduction in FVC of 0.05L after the NBO_2 exposure. All respiratory parameters remained unchanged in the control group (Fig. 1C).

[Fig. 1A, B and C here]

[Fig. 2 here]

DISCUSSION

The results suggest that $F_{E_{NO, 50}}$ is reduced with $\sim 30\%$ after a single 90 min HBO_2 treatment session, which is consistent with previous studies (15;22;27). $F_{E_{NO, 50}}$ was reduced with 25% after breathing 100% O_2 for 90 min. There was a gradual decrease in $F_{E_{NO, 50}}$ during the NBO_2 exposure indicating a dose-dependant response (Fig. 2). The larger reduction in $F_{E_{NO, 50}}$ after 6 and 8 hrs exposures to a PO_2 of 203 kPa in the study of Fothergill and Gertner (10) than after 90 min to 240 and 100 kPa in this study also indicate a dose-dependant response. However, Schmetterer et al. (24) found that $F_{E_{NO}}$ increased with 25% when breathing 100% O_2 for 10 min. There was a trend of an increase in $F_{E_{NO}}$ after 30 min exposure to NBO_2 (Fig. 2), but thereafter there was a gradual decrease. No measurements were done immediately after the start of oxygen breathing. If there is an initial response with an increase in $F_{E_{NO, 50}}$ this could explain the difference between the study of Schmetterer et al. (24) with a very short exposure time and the others.

The reduction in $F_{E_{NO}}$ after exposure to hyperoxia found in the present study appears to be predominantly an airway effect. At any axial position in the airways the flux of NO into the airway lumen depends on several variables in addition to the concentration of NO in the airway such as tissue thickness, airway diameter and thereby surface area, and endogenous production and consumption rates (29). Induced bronchoconstriction has been shown to reduce $F_{E_{NO}}$. One mechanism that probably plays an important role in the effect of airway constriction or dilation on $F_{E_{NO}}$ is backdiffusion of NO from the bronchial compartment towards the alveolar zone (31). Reduced small airways conduction is an early sign of development of pulmonary oxygen toxicity. This is consistent with reduced $F_{E_{NO}}$ in the bronchial compartment.

In the present study, no change in $C_{A_{NO}}$ was found. This is in agreement with the study by Fothergill and Gertner (10), which showed that $C_{A_{NO}}$ was unchanged after exposure to a PO_2 of 203 kPa for 6 hrs. Nitric oxide on the alveolar level is important for regulation of blood flow in the alveoli, which may influence the distribution of the ventilation-perfusion ratio (V_A/Q) and gas exchange. Tsuchiya et al. (30) showed that there is an association between reduced $F_{E_{NO}}$ and increased alveolar-to-arterial oxygen difference. A reduced $F_{E_{NO}}$ is associated with pulmonary hypertension (12) and induced bronchoconstriction (31), while an increase in $C_{A_{NO}}$ is associated with increased alveolar dead space. This could imply that the bronchial component caused the reduction in $F_{E_{NO}}$ and the pulmonary blood supply was unchanged. NO will not be transferred to pulmonary capillary blood if the ventilated regions are poorly perfused, and will go back to the conductive airways when exhaled.

The risk of developing pulmonary oxygen toxicity is present during professional diving or long term HBO₂ therapy. Exposure to a PO_2 higher than 40-50 kPa results in a toxic effect on the lung with reduced vital capacity (5;8), maximal expiratory flow rates (6) and diffusion capacity (5;7) depending

on exposure time. Oxygen toxicity is associated with inflammatory responses in the airways and in the alveoli causing alveolar epithelial and endothelial dysfunction and eventually pulmonary edema (9;23). Exposure to hyperoxia contributes to a reduction in maximal expiratory flow rates in patients having HBO₂ therapy (18;28) and to the long term effects of diving on the lung (26;28). The results of the present study showed a significantly lower FVC after the exposure to hyperoxia in the group of healthy subjects exposed to NBO₂. This is not in agreement with other studies and with the predictions based on oxygen dose of unit pulmonary toxic doses (UPTD) that found no difference (7), and is not considered to be of any clinical importance. Whether a reduction in F_ENO is related to the development of oxygen toxicity is not known.

In previous studies, patients having HBO₂ therapy have been compared with matched control groups with respect to age, gender and smoking habits (15;27). No significant change in F_ENO over a period of 4 hrs was demonstrated in these control groups. If anything, there was a small increase that is consistent with the demonstration of a diurnal variation with an increase in F_ENO of ~15% from the morning into the afternoon (21;25). However, Kharitonov et al. (14) found no diurnal or day to day variation in F_ENO, and a reproducibility of ~10%. The reduction in F_ENO in the patients having HBO₂ therapy and the healthy subjects exposed to NBO₂ was 25-30%, and larger than the expected random variation. There was a larger interindividual variation in the response in the patients than in the healthy subjects, which constituted a more homogenous group. Baseline F_ENO and the response to HBO₂ treatment was not different in the two patients treated with β -blockers compared with the other patients.

The patients exposed to HBO₂ were significantly older than the healthy subjects exposed to NBO₂ and ambient air. There was a difference in height and weight between males and females in all groups and males exposed to HBO₂ had lower FVC and FEV₁ compared to females. There are conflicting results in the literature whether F_ENO is associated with age and height among adults (11). Baraldi et al. (2) found

no correlation, while Buchvald et al. (3) reported an obvious age dependency of $F_{E_{NO}}$ in healthy children. In a random population sample, $F_{E_{NO}}$ was associated with height but not gender (20). Olin et al. (19) suggested that the upper normal values of $F_{E_{NO}}$ in never-smoking adults range from 24 to 53 ppb, depending on age and height. The median $F_{E_{NO}}$ was 37 ppb among subjects >60 yrs and 19 ppb among subjects <30 yrs. The variability in baseline $F_{E_{NO}}$ was larger in the patients. The somewhat larger reduction in $F_{E_{NO}}$ after HBO_2 exposure in patients could be due to age differences and heterogeneity of the group. The hyperoxic exposure was larger in this group. Baseline $C_{A_{NO}}$ was also larger in the patients exposed to HBO_2 . Previous smoking history, ageing and radiation therapy might have caused an increased alveolar dead space in this group, which is associated with an increase in $C_{A_{NO}}$.

In conclusion, the reduction in $F_{E_{NO}}$ after exposure to normobaric and hyperbaric hyperoxia appears to be predominantly an airway effect. An unchanged and low $C_{A_{NO}}$ indicate preserved integrity of the gas exchange units without increased alveolar dead space at rest.

ENDNOTES

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by Statoil, Gassco and Exxonmobil.

REFERENCES

1. ATS/ERS Recommendations for standardized procedures for the online and offline measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171:912-30.
2. Baraldi E, Azzolin NM, Cracco A, Zacchello F. Reference values of exhaled nitric oxide for healthy children 6-15 years old. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1999; 27(1):54-8.
3. Buchvald F, Baraldi E, Carraro S, Gaston B, De Jongste J, et al. Measurements of exhaled nitric oxide in healthy subjects age 4 to 17 years. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 115(6):1130-6.
4. Byrnes CA, Dinarevic S, Busst C, Bush A, Shinebourne EA. Is nitric oxide in exhaled air produced at airway or alveolar level? *Eur Respir J* 1997; 10:1021–1025.
5. Caldwell PR, Lee WL, Schildkraut HS, Archibald ER. Changes in lung volume, diffusing capacity, and blood gases in men breathing oxygen. *J Appl Physiol* 1966; 21(5):1477-83.
6. Clark JM, Jackson RM, Lambertsen CJ, Gelfand R, Hiller WD, Unger M. Pulmonary function in men after oxygen breathing at 3.0 ATA for 3.5 h. *J Appl Physiol* 1991; 71(3):878-85.
7. Clark JM, Lambertsen CJ. Pulmonary oxygen toxicity: A review. *Pharmacol Rev* 1971; 23(2):37-133.
8. Comroe JH, Dripps RD, Dumke PR, Deming M. Oxygen toxicity. The Effect of inhalation of high concentrations of oxygen for twenty-four hours on normal men at sea level and at a simulated altitude of 18,000 feet. *J Am Med Assoc* 1945; 128(10):710-7.
9. Crapo JD, Barry BE, Foscue HA, Shelburn J. Structural and biochemical changes in rat lungs occurring during exposures to lethal and adaptive doses of oxygen. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1980; 122(1):123-43.
10. Fothergill DM, Gertner J. Exhaled nitric oxide measurements as a noninvasive marker of pulmonary oxygen toxicity susceptibility in humans. In: Ross JAS, ed. *Proceedings of 35th annual meeting of European Underwater Baromedical Society*. Aberdeen 2009; 94-9.
11. Franklin PJ, Stick SM, Le Souëf PN, Ayres JG, Turner SW. Measuring exhaled nitric oxide levels in adults: the importance of atopy and airway responsiveness. *Chest* 2004; 126(5):1540-5.
12. Girgis RE, Champion HC, Diette GB, Johns RA, Permutt S, Sylvester JT. Decreased exhaled nitric oxide in pulmonary arterial hypertension: response to bosentan therapy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 172(3):352-7.
13. Högman M, Merilainen P. Extended NO analysis in asthma. *J Breath Res* 2007; 1(2):024001.

14. Kharitonov SA, Barnes PJ. Clinical aspects of exhaled nitric oxide. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16(4):781-92.
15. Kjelkenes I, Thorsen E. Time course of the reduction in nitric oxide concentration in exhaled gas after exposure to hyperbaric hyperoxia. *Diving Hyperb Med* 2009; 39(2):77-80.
16. Malinowski A, Janson C, Holmkvist T, Norback D, Merilainen P, Hogman M. Effect of smoking on exhaled nitric oxide and flow-independent nitric oxide exchange parameters. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 28(2):339-45.
17. Maziak W, Loukides S, Culpitt S, Sullivan P, Kharitonov S, Barnes P. Exhaled nitric oxide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998; 157(3):998-1002.
18. Mialon P, Barthlemy L, Michaud A, Lacour JM. Pulmonary function in men after repeated sessions of oxygen breathing at 0.25 MPa for 90 min. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 2001; 72(3):215-8.
19. Olin AC, Bake B, Torén K. Fraction of exhaled nitric oxide at 50 mL/s: reference values for adult lifelong never-smokers. *Chest* 2007; 131(6):1852-6.
20. Olin AC, Rosengren A, Thelle DS, Lissner L, Bake B, Torén K. Height, age, and atopy are associated with fraction of exhaled nitric oxide in a large adult general population sample. *Chest* 2006; 130(5):1319-25.
21. Palm JP, Graf P, Lundberg JO, Alving K. Characterization of exhaled nitric oxide: introducing a new reproducible method for nasal nitric oxide measurements. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16(2):236-41.
22. Puthuchery ZA, Liu J, Bennett M, Trytko B, Chow S, Thomas PS. Exhaled nitric oxide is decreased by exposure to the hyperbaric oxygen therapy environment. *Mediators Inflamm* 2006; 2006(5):1-6.
23. Royston BD, Webster NR, Nunn JF. Time course of changes in lung permeability and edema in the rat exposed to 100% oxygen. *J Appl Physiol* 1990; 69(4):1532-7.
24. Schmetterer L, Strenn K, Kastner J, Eichler HG, Wolzt M. Exhaled NO during graded changes in inhaled oxygen in man. *Thorax* 1997; 52(8):736-8.
25. Stark H, Purokivi M, Kiviranta J, Randell J, Tukiainen H. Short-term and seasonal variations of exhaled and nasal NO in healthy subjects. *Respir Med* 2007; 101(2):265-71.
26. Suzuki S. Probable lung injury by long-term exposure to oxygen close to 50 kilopascals. *Undersea Hyperb Med* 1994; 21(3):235-43.
27. Taraldsøy T, Bolann B, Thorsen E. Reduced nitric oxide concentration in exhaled gas after exposure to hyperbaric hyperoxia. *Undersea Hyperb Med* 2007; 34(5):321-7.

28. Thorsen E, Aanderud L, Aasen TB. Effects of a standard hyperbaric oxygen treatment protocol on pulmonary function. *Eur Respir J* 1998; 16(6):1442-5.
29. Tsoukias NM, Shin HW, Wilson AF, George SC. A single-breath technique with variable flow rate to characterize nitric oxide exchange dynamics in the lungs. *J Appl Physiol* 2001; 91(1):477-87.
30. Tsuchiya M, Tokai H, Takehara Y, Haraguchi Y, Asada A, Utsumi K, et al. Interrelation between oxygen tension and nitric oxide in the respiratory system. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; 162(4):1257-61.
31. Verbanck S, Kerckx Y, Schuermans D, Vincken W, Paiva M, Van Muylem A. Effect of airways constriction on exhaled nitric oxide. *J Appl Physiol* 2008; 104(4):925-30.

TABLES

TABLE I. DEMOGRAPHICS AND LUNG FUNCTION MEASURED BY FORCED VITAL CAPACITY (FVC) AND FORCED EXPIRED VOLUME IN ONE SECOND (FEV₁) IN PATIENTS EXPOSED TO HYPERBARIC OXYGEN (HBO₂) THERAPY, HEALTHY SUBJECTS' EXPOSED TO NORMOBARIC OXYGEN (NBO₂) AND THE CONTROL GROUP BREATHING AMBIENT AIR (AA) (MEAN ± SD).

	HBO₂	NBO₂	AA
	Female/Male	Female/Male	Female/Male
	(n = 5/7)	(n = 10/10)	(n = 3/3)
Age (years)	50 ± 11*	27 ± 4	28 ± 4
Weight (kg)	78 ± 16*	67 ± 10	71 ± 9
Height (cm)	174 ± 8	172 ± 9	172 ± 10
FVC (% predicted)	95 ± 15	102 ± 13	104 ± 7
FEV₁ (% predicted)	90 ± 17	99 ± 11	97 ± 9

* = p<0.05 comparing HBO₂ therapy group with the other groups.

TABLE II. ALVEOLAR NO CONCENTRATION (C_ANO), BRONCHIAL NO FLUX (J_{aw}NO), FORCED VITAL CAPACITY (FVC) AND FORCED EXPIRED VOLUME IN ONE SECOND (FEV₁) MEASURED BEFORE AND AFTER EXPOSURE TO HYPERBARIC HYPEROXIA (HBO₂), NORMOBARIC HYPEROXIA (NBO₂) AND IN A CONTROL GROUP BREATHING AMBIENT AIR (AA) (MEAN ± SD).

	HBO₂ (n = 12)		NBO₂ (n = 20)		AA (n = 6)	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
	exposure	exposure	Exposure	exposure	exposure	exposure
C_ANO (ppb)	1.6 ± 1.5	1.4 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 1.3	0.6 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.4
J_{aw}NO (pL·s⁻¹)	1045 ± 545	741 ± 407**	1201 ± 624	825 ± 417**	987 ± 343	1072 ± 464
FVC (l)	4.26 ± 0.73	4.24 ± 0.79	4.82 ± 1.06	4.77 ± 1.03*	4.97 ± 1.34	4.95 ± 1.37
FEV₁ (l)	3.28 ± 0.54	3.25 ± 0.62	3.97 ± 0.79	3.93 ± 0.81	3.91 ± 1.11	3.93 ± 1.17

* = p<0.05, ** = p<0.01.

CAPTIONS FOR FIGURES

Fig. 1: Expired nitric oxide ($F_{E_{NO}}$) at different flow rates before and after exposure to; A) hyperbaric hyperoxia (HBO_2) therapy in twelve patients, B) normobaric hyperoxia (NBO_2) breathing 100% O_2 in twenty healthy subjects and C) in a control group of six subjects breathing ambient air (AA), all for 90 min (Mean \pm SD). ** = $p < 0.001$.

Fig. 2: Expired nitric oxide ($F_{E_{NO}}$) at a flow rate of $50 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ($F_{E_{NO, 50}}$) 10 min before, during at 30, 60 and 90 min, and 15 min after exposure to normobaric hyperoxia breathing 100% oxygen (NBO_2) and in a control group breathing ambient air (AA) for 90 min (Mean \pm SD). * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.001$.