# NORWEGIAN SCHOOL OF SPORT SCIENCES

Daniel Tømmerbakke

Autophagy regulation. Impact of age, resistance exercise and protein supplementation

Master thesis in Sport Sciences Department of Physical Performance Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, 2017

# Summary

**Background:** Aging is associated with a decline in skeletal muscle mass, strength, and quality a condition known as sarcopenia, which further relates to the loss of functional independence. The pathways regulating protein degradation via autophagy have displayed age-related reductions, reflecting insufficient clearance of damaged proteins and organelles, compromising the function of the cell and ultimately contributing to the development of sarcopenia. The purpose of this thesis was therefore to examine markers of protein degradation via the autophagy-lysosome system in young, healthy elderly and frail elderly and investigate how they respond to acute resistance exercise and protein supplementation.

**Methods:** Twenty-nine men and women were divided into three different age groups; Young (n = 7, 20-43 years old), elderly (n = 10, 70-82 years old) and frail elderly (n = 12, 67-96 years old). The groups performed either a whole-body resistance exercise (young and elderly) or lower body resistance exercise bout (frail elderly), followed by protein supplementation. Muscle biopsies were obtained from *m. vastus lateralis* before and after the resistance exercise bout and protein intake. Muscle tissue samples were fractionated into cytosol and membrane fractions and analyzed for LC3, p62 and Foxo3a.

**Results:** No age-related differences were shown in the basal LC3-II/I ratio in the cytosolic or membrane fraction (p>0.05). The LC3-II/I ratio had a tendency towards, or was significantly reduced in young, elderly and frail elderly groups (p<0.10, p<0.05, p<0.05, respectively), which was mainly driven by a decline in LC3-II. We observed a significant decrease in the cytosolic FoxO3a fraction in both young and elderly individuals. Merging all groups revealed an increased p62 and LC3-I at the membrane fraction level (p<0.05).

**Conclusion:** The present study found no age-related differences for any of the autophagy-related markers measured. Furthermore, no differences were demonstrated between protein supplementation and the combination of protein supplementation and resistance exercise on autophagy-related markers in the frail elderly individuals.

# Contents

Sur	nmary	4
Cor	ntents	6
Pre	face and acknowledgments	8
1.	Introduction	10
2.	Theory	12
2.1	Skeletal muscle protein turnover	
2.	1.1 The effect of resistance exercise on muscle protein synthesis	
2.	1.2 The effect of amino acids on muscle protein synthesis	
2.	1.3 The influence of the aging process	
2.2	Intracellular Signaling Pathways	
2.	2.1 PI-3K/Akt/mTOR pathway	
2.	2.2 Summary	
2.3	Cellular mechanisms of protein breakdown	
2.	3.1 Autophagy-Lysosome System	
2.	3.2 The effects of age on Autophagy-lysosome system	
2.	3.3 The effects of exercise on Autophagy-lysosome system	
Ζ.,	3.4 Summary	
3.	Methods	31
<b>3.</b>	Methods	
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b>	Methods         Participants         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> 3.	Methods         Participants         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	<b>31</b> <b>31</b> 31
<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> </ul>	Methods         Participants         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design	<b>31</b> 
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> 3. <b>3.2</b>	Methods         Participants	<b>31</b> 31 32 33
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> 3.2 3.2 3.3	Methods         Participants	<b>31</b> 31 31 32 33 33 33
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> <b>3.2</b> 3.3 3.3 3.2	Methods         Participants.         1.1 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design         2.1 Experimental Trial         2.2 Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)         2.3 Protein Supplements         2.4 Muscale Disprime	<b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>32</b> <b>33</b> <b>33</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>35</b>
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> <b>3.2</b> 3.3 3.3 3.3	Methods         Participants	<b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>32</b> <b>33</b> <b>33</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b>
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> <b>3.2</b> 3.3 3.3 <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b>	Methods         Participants	<b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>32</b> <b>33</b> <b>33</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>35</b> <b>36</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b>
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> <b>3.2</b> <b>3.2</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b>	Methods         Participants.         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design         2.1       Experimental Trial         2.2       Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)         2.3       Protein Supplements         2.4       Muscle Biopsies         Analyses       3.1	<b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>32</b> <b>33</b> <b>33</b> <b>33</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>34</b> <b>35</b> <b>36</b> <b>37</b> <b>37</b> <b>38</b> <b>39</b> <b>39</b> <b>30</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b> <b>31</b>
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.3 <b>3.3</b> 3.3 3.3	Methods         Participants	<b>31</b> 31 31 32 33 33 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 35
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> <b>3.2</b> <b>3.2</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.3</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.5</b> <b>3.</b>	Methods         Participants.         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design         2.1       Experimental Trial         2.2       Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)         2.3       Protein Supplements         2.4       Muscle Biopsies         Analyses	<b>31 31 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 34 35 35</b>
<b>3.</b> <b>3.1</b> 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.4	Methods         Participants.         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design         2.1       Experimental Trial         2.2       Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)         2.3       Protein Supplements         2.4       Muscle Biopsies         Analyses         3.1       Homogenization         3.2       Protein Measurement         3.3       Western Blot	<b>31</b> 31 31 32 33 33 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 35 35 35 37
<ol> <li>3.1         <ol> <li>3.2</li></ol></li></ol>	Methods         Participants.         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design         2.1       Experimental Trial         2.2       Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)         2.3       Protein Supplements         2.4       Muscle Biopsies         Analyses       Analyses         3.1       Homogenization         3.2       Protein Measurement         3.3       Western Blot         Statistics       Results	
<ol> <li>3.1         <ol> <li>3.2</li></ol></li></ol>	Methods         Participants.         1.1 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design         2.1 Experimental Trial         2.2 Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)         2.3 Protein Supplements         2.4 Muscle Biopsies         Analyses         3.1 Homogenization         3.2 Protein Measurement         3.3 Western Blot         Statistics	
<ol> <li>3.1         <ol> <li>3.2</li></ol></li></ol>	Methods         Participants.         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design         2.1       Experimental Trial         2.2       Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)         2.3       Protein Supplements         2.4       Muscle Biopsies         Analyses	
<ol> <li>3.1         <ol> <li>3.2</li></ol></li></ol>	Methods         Participants.         1.1       Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria         Overview of Experimental Design         2.1       Experimental Trial         2.2       Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)         2.3       Protein Supplements         2.4       Muscle Biopsies         3.1       Homogenization         3.2       Protein Measurement         3.3       Western Blot         Statistics         Baseline Characteristics         Intracellular Signaling	

4.2.	2 LC3-II	39 40
4.2.	4 p62	40
4.2. 4.3	5 Fox03a	41
	ingestion in Irali Elderly	42
4.4	Baseline characteristics frail elderly	42
4.5	Intracellular Signaling	42
4.5.	1 LC3-II/I Ratio	42
4.5.	3 LC3-I	44
4.5.	4 p62	44
4.5.	5 FoxO3a	44
4.6	Summary	45
5. I	Discussion	46
5.1	Intracellular Signaling	46
5.1.	1 LCS	40 50
5.1.	3 FoxO3a	53
5.2	Baseline Characteristics	55
5.3	Limitations	56
5.4	Conclusion	57
Refe	rences	59
Over	view of tables	92
Over	view of figures	93
Abbr	reviations	95
Арре	endix 1	97
Арре	endix 2	. 104
Арре	endix 3	. 107
Арре	endix 4	. 109

# Preface and acknowledgments

This thesis presents data based on the results from two larger studies; Amarone (2014-2015) and STAS (2016-2017) projects, at the Department of Physical Performance at the Norwegian School of Sport Sciences (NSSS).

I would first like to express my gratitude to my supervisors *Prof. Truls Raastad* and *Sigve Nyvik Aas*. I greatly appreciate both of you for sharing your knowledge, your attention to detail, enthusiasm, and good advice. I consider myself lucky having you as my supervisors. You are truly admired. Sorry for my many questions at all hours of the night, but thank you for still taking time out of your day to answer.

I would also like to thank *Ingrid Uglestad* and *Hege Nymo Østgaard*. Thanks to both of you teaching and guiding me through the different laboratory techniques; *Dr.Kristoffer Cumming*, for your expertise and demonstrations of the various fascinating lab equipment; *Prof. Olivier Seynnes*, for teaching me how to conduct ultrasound measurements and *Vilde Handegard*, for patiently answering my questions about the Western blot procedure. To all the engaging professors and teachers I've bothered with my countless questions over the years, thank you for challenging my beliefs, and sharing your knowledge.

To my fellow master students; *Ole Jølle, Martin Nordseth, Stian Karsrud and Markus Reit,* thank you for your friendship, great discussions, and good memories. To all the participants who gave their time, effort, and muscle tissue, thank you for making this thesis possible.

To my friends and family; *Mom, Dad, Larsa, and Kriss* for the love, support, and encouragement over the years.

To Maren, thank you for your patience, love and support.

"Nobody ever figures out what life is all about, and it doesn't matter. Explore the world. Nearly everything is really interesting if you go into it deeply enough." Richard Feynman

Oslo-May 2017

#### Daniel Tømmerbakke

# 1. Introduction

Skeletal muscle is the largest organ in the body, constituting ~40% of total body mass and plays vital roles in maintaining skeletal structure, locomotion permitting essential daily activities and overall metabolism (Reid & Fielding, 2012). Therefore, the conservation of skeletal muscle throughout our lifespan confers protection from several metabolic morbidities and preserves physical independence (Rizzoli et al. 2013). However, it is widely recognized that aging is associated with a progressive decline in skeletal muscle mass, strength and quality, a condition known as sarcopenia and dynapenia (Candow & Chilibeck, 2005; Roubenoff, 2000). Sarcopenia has been estimated to be prevalent in 5 - 13 % of older adults aged 60 - 70 years, and 11 - 50 % for those aged 80 years or above (von Haehling, Morley, & Anker, 2010). The development of sarcopenia may be a consequence of a multi-factorial process that is related to hormone imbalances (Lapauw et al., 2008), chronic inflammation (Brinkley et al., 2009) neurodegeneration (McNeil, Doherty, Stashuk, & Rice, 2005), abnormal fat deposition (Goodpaster et al., 2001), reduced satellite cell functionality (Kadi, Charifi, Denis, & Lexell, 2004), attenuated anabolic response to nutrition and exercise (Cuthbertson et al., 2005; Kumar et al., 2009) and genetic factors (B. E. Phillips et al., 2013). Moreover, certain lifestyle factors such as age-related sedentary behavior (Kortebein et al., 2008), nutritional deficiencies (Beasley, Shikany, & Thomson, 2013) and acute bouts of hospitalization (Ali et al., 2008) are likely to enhance the course of sarcopenia. Studies have shown an age-related reduction in skeletal muscle mass of approximately 3-8 % per decade after the age of 30 years, and after the age of 60 years these rates accelerates. Interestingly, the rate of decline in muscle strength is much more rapid than the concomitant loss of muscle mass (Fragala, Kenny, & Kuchel, 2015). As a consequence, muscle quality defined as muscle strength relative to muscle quantity correspondingly declines with age which further results in the loss of functional independence among the elderly (Irving, Robinson, & Nair, 2012). The pathways regulating protein breakdown via autophagy have been shown to decline with age in higher organisms (Cuervo et al., 2005). Reduced capacity in protein degrading systems may reflect insufficient clearance of damaged proteins and organelles, compromising the function of the cell, and ultimately contributing to the development of sarcopenia (Irving et al., 2012).

One of the primary methods of measuring protein degradation via autophagy activity is by monitoring the LC3 proteins turnover (Mizushima, Yoshimori, & Levine, 2010), which can be challenging to interpret by itself (Klionsky et al., 2016). This ubiquitinlike LC3 orchestrates autophagosome initiation, biogenesis, and functions as an adaptor protein for select autophagy(Y.-K. Lee & Lee, 2016). Measuring additional proteins could help us get a better picture of the autophagic flux. The p62/SQSTM1 is a multifunctional protein involved in signal transduction, and the degradation of proteins and organelles can serve as a link between LC3 and ubiquitinated substrates and could thus function as a protein marker of autophagy (Taniguchi, Yamachika, He, & Karin, 2016). Together with their upstream regulator, FoxO3a, that appears to partially govern the autophagy-lysosome degradation pathway (Mammucari et al., 2007; Mizushima & Yoshimori, 2007; Zhao et al., 2007).

The purpose of this thesis was to examine markers of protein degradation via the autophagy-lysosome system in young, healthy elderly and frail elderly individuals. More specifically, we measured autophagy-related markers, LC3, p62 and FoxO3a, and investigated how they respond to resistance exercise and protein supplementation. We hypothesized that protein supplementation and resistance exercise would result in an age-dependent difference in response with suppressed ratio of LC3-II/I but elevated p62, suggesting autophagy interruption with an attenuated autophagic flux in the frail elderly. We also hypothesized that protein supplementation would have a greater effect on the inhibition of the autophagy-lysosome system than the combination of protein intake and resistance exercise in the frail elderly individuals.

# 2. Theory

#### 2.1 Skeletal muscle protein turnover

Skeletal muscle tissue displays an outstanding plasticity and is continuously changing and remodeling through the simultaneous processes of muscle protein synthesis (MPS) and muscle protein breakdown (MPB) (Mitchell, Churchward-Venne, Cameron-Smith, & Phillips, 2015). During the period in-between meals, skeletal muscle proteins are broken down, and amino acids are released as gluconeogenic substrates and building blocks for protein synthesis in muscles and other tissues (Greenhaff et al., 2008; Owen et al., 1998). These basal and fasted rates of MPB ranges from 0.08 - 0.11% h<sup>-1</sup> (S. M. Phillips, Tipton, Aarsland, Wolf, & Wolfe, 1997; S. M. Phillips, Tipton, Ferrando, & Wolfe, 1999) and exceed those of MPS  $(0.03 - 0.07\% \cdot h^{-1})$  (Kumar et al., 2009; Mittendorfer et al., 2005; S. M. Phillips et al., 1997; Welle, Thornton, & Statt, 1995), thus creating a net negative protein balance and hence a loss of muscle amino acids. However, upon the consumption of dietary protein, these amino acids are replenished through the stimulation of MPS (Bennet et al., 1989; Rand et al., 2003), so that on a daily basis the net protein balance is neutral (Brook, Wilkinson, Smith, & Atherton, 2016). This balance between MPS and MPB is often characterized as protein turnover, and it is the changes in net protein balance that over time regulates muscle mass (Deutz & Wolfe, 2013; S. M. Phillips, 2004; Rennie, Wackerhage, Spangenburg, & Booth, 2004).

This constant oscillation between anabolic and catabolic conditions provides a mechanism for protein maintenance, and the capability to replace dysfunctional proteins (Rennie, 2007). In healthy people at rest, the rate of the renewal and remodeling of muscle protein is approximately 1-2% per day (G. I. Smith & Mittendorfer, 2016). This flux through protein synthesis and degradation is affected by a number of variables including ageing, disuse, resistance exercise, disease and diet (Areta et al., 2014; Cermak, Res, de Groot, Saris, & van Loon, 2012; S. M. Phillips, 2009; S. M. Phillips et al., 1997; Rudrappa et al., 2016). Whereas MPS appears to be the more dynamic, MPB plays an important role in the modulation of muscle mass. However, due to methodological challenges MPB can be problematic to study in vivo (Brook et al., 2016).

#### 2.1.1 The effect of resistance exercise on muscle protein synthesis

Given the substantial role skeletal muscle plays in the development and maintenance of human health, the literature on how exercise affects skeletal muscle protein turnover is progressing rapidly (McGlory, Devries, & Phillips, 2017; Wolfe, 2006). Resistance exercise has been shown to be a potent stimulator of MPS (Biolo, Maggi, Williams, Tipton, & Wolfe, 1995; S. M. Phillips et al., 1997; Yarasheski, Zachwieja, & Bier, 1993), with a single bout shown to induce a two- to threefold increase in MPS (Holm et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2009; S. M. Phillips et al., 1997). In the fasted state, MPS peaks ~1-2 h after a resistance exercise bout and myofibrillar MPS returns to baseline approximately 4 h later (Kumar et al., 2009), although the duration is highly dependent on the exercise intensity and volume (Burd, Holwerda, et al., 2010; Burd, West, et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2009). With adequate nutrition in conjunction with resistance exercise, this increased MPS can be sustained for over 24 h (Cuthbertson et al., 2005; MacDougall et al., 1995; Miller et al., 2005; S. M. Phillips et al., 1997), and could persist for eight days (D. J. Wilkinson et al., 2014). Nonetheless, without nutritional support, a single bout of resistance exercise executed in the fasted state increases MPB above MPS and is thus catabolic (Biolo et al., 1995). This negative protein balance is due to increased MPB which occurs to replace damaged tissue or promote tissue remodeling (Biolo, Tipton, Klein, & Wolfe, 1997; Chesley, MacDougall, Tarnopolsky, Atkinson, & Smith, 1992; M. Louis et al., 2003; Witard et al., 2014).

**Table 2.1:** *Examples from the literature of rates of muscle protein synthesis captured 2-4 h following resistance exercise* 

Fractional synthetic rate (%/h)	Rest	RE 2-4 h
Mixed	0.05-0.07	0.07-0.12
Myofibrillar	0.02-0.05	0.07-0.9
Mitochondrial	0.05-0.10	0.10-0.15
Sarcoplasmic	0.04-0.06	0.08-0.10

*Resistance exercise (RE), Mixed and myofibrillar values obtained from Reidy and Rasmussen (2016), sarcoplasmic values from Burd, West, et al. (2010) and mitochondrial protein turnover rates were obtained from S. B. Wilkinson et al. (2008).* 

Resistance exercise-induced stimulation of MPS follows a sigmoidal dose-dependent relationship for training load, reaching an upper limit between  $\sim 60 - 90$  % of one-repetition maximum (1-RM) when matching the external load (Kumar et al., 2009). Nonetheless, higher mechanical loads do not always equal greater MPS. Burd, Holwerda, et al. (2010) demonstrated that resistance exercise at 30 % of 1 RM to fatigue

increased mixed MPS equal to that at 90 % of 1 RM (Burd, Holwerda, et al., 2010). Hence, suggesting the importance of maximizing muscle fiber recruitment for MPS stimulation.

Some researchers have described elevated resting MPS rates in the trained compared to untrained state (P. L. Kim, Staron, & Phillips, 2005; S. M. Phillips et al., 2002), while others found no such difference (S. M. Phillips et al., 1999; Tang, Perco, Moore, Wilkinson, & Phillips, 2008). Interestingly, S. M. Phillips et al. (2002) observed an increased basal MPB in the trained stated, which could suggest that trained individuals have an increased protein turnover (S. M. Phillips et al., 2002; Reidy et al., 2017). The initial increase in mixed MPS in the untrained state is less prominent and peaks later but lives longer than in the trained state (Damas, Phillips, Vechin, & Ugrinowitsch, 2015). Due to limited data, estimating the precise time course of myofibrillar protein synthesis in different training states is problematic (P. L. Kim et al., 2005; S. B. Wilkinson et al., 2008). However, the few available studies may collectively indicate greater myofibrillar protein synthesis in the untrained compared to trained state after resistance exercise, which could be reflective of increased muscle damage (Damas et al., 2016; P. L. Kim et al., 2005; S. B. Wilkinson et al., 2008).

#### 2.1.2 The effect of amino acids on muscle protein synthesis

Amino acids yield a significant stimulatory effect on the MPS. From the rested state, rates of MPS can almost double after food consumption (Babraj et al., 2005; Cuthbertson et al., 2005; Moore et al., 2009). This anabolic response peaks at 1.5 - 2 h after oral intake, and persists for 2 - 3 h before declining towards basal values (Atherton et al., 2010; Bohe, Low, Wolfe, & Rennie, 2001; Churchward-Venne, Murphy, Longland, & Phillips, 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2005). In addition to being anabolic, protein supplementation (and carbohydrates) has shown to have pancreatic beta-cell secretagogue properties, thus increasing plasma insulin (Atherton et al., 2010; Juntunen et al., 2002; Staples et al., 2011). This hyperinsulinemia can suppress MPB (Koopman et al., 2007; Staples et al., 2011; Wilkes et al., 2009), which elevated plasma concentrations of amino acids cannot do unaided (Greenhaff et al., 2008). Once the dietary protein is ingested, it is the amino acids themselves that are driving the process of MPS (Biolo et al., 1997; Moore et al., 2009; Trommelen, Groen, Hamer, de Groot, & van Loon, 2015). It is also quite clear that the potent increase in MPS arises almost entirely from the essential amino acids (EAAs)(K. Smith, Barua, Watt, Scrimgeour, & Rennie, 1992), and leucine seems to be decisive to these effects (K. Smith, Reynolds, Downie, Patel, & Rennie, 1998), with little to no role for the nonessential amino acids (Tipton, Gurkin, Matin, & Wolfe, 1999). Dietary protein with higher leucine content stimulates MPS to a greater extent than proteins with lower leucine content (Katsanos, Kobayashi, Sheffield-Moore, Aarsland, & Wolfe, 2006).

The ingestion of 20-25 g of high-quality protein seems sufficient to maximize postexercise MPS rates (Moore et al., 2009; Witard et al., 2014), with the consumption of 40 g eliciting no further increase in MPS but instead, stimulating amino acid oxidation (Moore et al., 2009). A significant limitation of these reports is that the exercise protocols were limited to lower body exercises. Recently, Macnaughton et al. (2016) showed that when performing whole-body resistance exercise, the ingestion of 40 g of protein resulted in a 16% greater stimulation of MPS as compared with 20 g protein in healthy resistance-trained young men (Macnaughton et al., 2016), suggesting that the ingestion of ~20 g protein is only sufficient to maximize post-exercise MPS rate with lower body resistance exercise (Areta et al., 2013; Moore et al., 2009; Witard et al., 2014). In contrast, following whole-body resistance exercise the required dose might exceed 20 g of protein (Macnaughton et al., 2016). Nevertheless, this is currently the only study demonstrating a higher optimal protein dose with whole-body resistance exercise. As such, despite the potential benefits of higher protein doses to maximize MPS, it cannot be readily confirmed. It therefore remains to be determined by future research.

#### 2.1.3 The influence of the aging process

The underlying causes of age-related loss of muscle and function are multifactorial, but the decline in muscle mass (primarily as a reduction in type II fiber size) suggests that an alteration in the balance between MPS and MPB occurs in older age (Nilwik et al., 2013; Verdijk et al., 2007). Initially, it was suggested this was due to a significant reduction in post-absorptive rates of MPS (Balagopal, Rooyackers, Adey, Ades, & Nair, 1997; Welle, Thornton, Jozefowicz, & Statt, 1993; Welle et al., 1995; Yarasheski et al., 1993). However, this notion has been questioned as most studies have revealed equivalent basal rates of post-absorptive MPS and MPB between young and older adult males (Cuthbertson et al., 2005; Drummond et al., 2008; Markofski et al., 2015; Paddon-Jones et al., 2004; Symons, Sheffield-Moore, Wolfe, & Paddon-Jones, 2009; Volpi, Mittendorfer, Wolf, & Wolfe, 1999). Some studies have pointed towards an agerelated sexual dimorphism in the basal MPS rate, with greater rates observed in older women compared to older men (G. I. Smith et al., 2012; G. I. Smith et al., 2014). However, older women also appear to have a blunted anabolic response to mixed meal ingestion and exercise training (G. I. Smith et al., 2008; G. I. Smith et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the inability of skeletal muscles to support an adequate MPS response to resistance exercise (Kumar et al., 2009) and protein feeding (Katsanos et al., 2006), led to the theory of anabolic resistance. With postprandial MPS increases revealed to be attenuated in older compared to younger adults (Cuthbertson et al., 2005; Guillet et al., 2004; G. I. Smith et al., 2012; Volpi, Mittendorfer, Rasmussen, & Wolfe, 2000). Older adults also appear to have a blunted MPS response to resistance exercise across a range of exercise intensities (Fry et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2009; Sheffield-Moore et al., 2005).



**Figure 2.1:** Schematic presentation of the age-related changes in muscle protein synthesis. Aging is associated with an increase in the basal rate of MPS in women. Both men and women have a diminished MPS response to amino acids and exercise. However, the reduction is greater in women than in men. The figure is redrawn from G. I. Smith and Mittendorfer (2016).

Anabolic resistance results in a net negative protein balance that may over time lead to a loss of skeletal muscle mass. Aging per se may play a secondary role, as anabolic resistance could be a consequence of increased sedentary time, muscle disuse and a reduction in daily steps by the older population (Breen et al., 2013; Burd, Gorissen, & van Loon, 2013; Drummond et al., 2012). After just five days of muscle disuse, young adults were observed to have a diminished ability to utilize amino acids for MPS in skeletal muscle (Wall et al., 2016). In contrast, resistance exercise can sensitize young

and older adults skeletal muscle tissue to the anabolic properties of protein-based nutrition (Pennings et al., 2011).

The physiological mechanism underlying the reduced anabolic sensitivity in aging muscle remains unknown. It has been suggested to be related to diminished skeletal muscle translational capacity in older adults (Chaillou, Kirby, & McCarthy, 2014; Kirby et al., 2015), thus lacking the ability to achieve a potent MPS stimulus with the same aminoacidemia. However, this fails to explain why additional amounts of protein consumption seem to restore protein synthetic rates (S. M. Phillips, 2015). Moreover, impaired transport of amino acids into muscle (Dickinson, Drummond, Coben, Volpi, & Rasmussen, 2013; Dickinson et al., 2014), lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance (Stephens et al., 2015), attenuated protein digestion and absorption (Boirie, Gachon, & Beaufrere, 1997), and dysregulation of nutritive blood flow to skeletal muscle (Fujita, Glynn, Timmerman, Rasmussen, & Volpi, 2009; Meneilly, Elliot, Bryer-Ash, & Floras, 1995; Rasmussen et al., 2006), have also been suggested to cause anabolic resistance.

On the other hand, due to different biopsy time points blunted anabolic signaling is not always observed (Drummond et al., 2008; Mayhew, Kim, Cross, Ferrando, & Bamman, 2009). Also, many research groups did not compare younger and older adults directly (Churchward-Venne, Cotie, et al., 2014; Dickinson et al., 2014; Dreyer et al., 2008; Witard et al., 2014; Y. Yang et al., 2012). Those studies that did compare different agegroups primarily measured mixed MPS, which may not reflect synthesis of contractile proteins, and may explain why no age-related differences were shown. Importantly, when myofibrillar protein synthesis components were measured anabolic blunting was often revealed (Kumar et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2015).

Becoming gradually more evident is that frail elderly consume less protein than recommended (Fulgoni, 2008), coming from low-leucine sources (Tieland, Borgonjen-Van den Berg, van Loon, & de Groot, 2012), and we are more sedentary with advancing age (Harvey, Chastin, & Skelton, 2015; Martin et al., 2014), all factors related to a reduced muscle anabolic response. Nevertheless, due to the significant variability in experimental methodology as to the presence or absence of age-related anabolic resistance, the findings are inconsistent (Shad, Thompson, & Breen, 2016).

## 2.2 Intracellular Signaling Pathways

#### 2.2.1 PI-3K/Akt/mTOR pathway

Several studies have shown that the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3-K)/protein kinase B (Akt)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway promote protein synthesis (Glass, 2010; Schiaffino, Dyar, Ciciliot, Blaauw, & Sandri, 2013). Activated by PI3-K, the serine/threonine kinase Akt is considered an important upstream regulator of MPS, due to its capability to phosphorylate and modify the activity of several signaling molecules, including mTOR (Bodine, 2006). Among the downstream targets of Akt are growth regulatory molecules such as, glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β) (Cross, Alessi, Cohen, Andjelkovich, & Hemmings, 1995), prolinerich Akt substrate of 40 kDa (PRAS40) (Kovacina et al., 2003), tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2) (Inoki, Li, Zhu, Wu, & Guan, 2002) and forkhead box class O (FoxO) transcription factors (Tran, Brunet, Griffith, & Greenberg, 2003). It is through the phosphorylation and the inactivation of TSC2 that mTOR activation is facilitated by Akt (Y. Li, Corradetti, Inoki, & Guan, 2004). However, more recent studies have demonstrated loading-induced mTORC1 activation is independent of both PI3-K (Miyazaki, McCarthy, Fedele, & Esser, 2011) and Akt (Deldicque et al., 2008a; Miyazaki et al., 2011; Vissing et al., 2013).

mTOR exists in two different complexes, mTORC1 is recognized by the regulatoryassociated protein of mTOR (RAPTOR)(D. H. Kim et al., 2002), while mTORC2 binds rapamycin-insensitive companion of mTOR (RICTOR) (Sarbassov, Guertin, Ali, & Sabatini, 2005). Following activation, mTORC1 propagates downstream signaling through the phosphorylation and activation of the 70-kDa ribosomal protein S6 kinase (P70S6K), and the inhibition of 4E-binding protein-1 (4E-BP1) (Dickinson et al., 2011; Gingras et al., 1999). Correspondingly, P70S6K and 4E-BP1 are important signaling molecules that regulate the initiation of protein translation and are frequently used to signify mTORC1 activation. The inhibition of 4E-BP1 by mTORC1, reduces its affinity for eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E), thus enabling eIF4E to bind with eIF4G and eIF4A, forming the eIF4F complex to begin translation initiation (Egerman & Glass, 2014). Furthermore, mTORC1 phosphorylates P70S6K1which in addition to upregulating translation initiation (Ma, Yoon, Richardson, Julich, & Blenis, 2008) serves to enhance translation elongation through eukaryotic elongation factor-2 kinase (eEF2K) (Wang et al., 2001). Independent of its activation of mTORC1 (Cross et al., 1995), Akt also phosphorylates and inactivates GSK-3β thereby commencing the activity of translation initiation factor eIF-2B (Egerman & Glass, 2014).

In skeletal muscle, mTORC1 signaling is activated in response to a variety of stimuli, including mechanical loading, feeding and growth factors (Bond, 2016; Drummond et al., 2009; Gan, Yoo, & Guan, 2006; Rommel et al., 2001), and also regulates several other anabolic processes, as well as catabolic processes (Zoncu, Efeyan, & Sabatini, 2011).



**Figure 2.2:** A Schematic overview of the cellular signaling responses regulating muscle protein synthesis. The figure is based on the work of Egerman and Glass (2014), McGlory and Phillips (2016), Moro, Ebert, Adams, and Rasmussen (2016), Marcotte, West, and Baar (2015), Rindom and Vissing (2016) and Reidy and Rasmussen (2016).

The downstream intracellular signaling targets in protein synthesis have been described to be diminished with age, which includes reductions in the activity of PI3K, Akt, mTOR, P70S6K1, 4E-BP1 and eIF2B (Cuthbertson et al., 2005; Leger, Derave, De Bock, Hespel, & Russell, 2008; Pallafacchina, Calabria, Serrano, Kalhovde, &

Schiaffino, 2002; Terada et al., 1994; Welsh et al., 1997). These observations of reduced Akt activity match with the reduced differentiation and hypertrophy of myotubes (Deane et al., 2013; Sharples et al., 2012), although human skeletal muscle cells also detect these phenotypes (Pietrangelo et al., 2009).

Despite an apparent decrease in Akt activity, the level of phosphorylated P70S6K, eIF4E amount, and eIF2B activity increased between 12 and 27 months of age in rats (Kimball, O'Malley, Anthony, Crozier, & Jefferson, 2004). In humans, no differences in the protein levels of Akt, mTOR, raptor, S6K1, 4E-BP1, and PRAS40 were shown when young adults were compared to old sedentary and old active adults (Sandri et al., 2013). In a recent study, when comparing old with younger participants, a significant higher basal mTORC1 and S6K1 phosphorylation were observed (Markofski et al., 2015).

A large body of animal research proposes that inhibiting mTOR, and thus blunting protein synthesis, is related to increased lifespan and could be promoted as a longevity treatment (Kapahi et al., 2010; Passtoors et al., 2013; Slagboom et al., 2011). Paradoxically, despite inhibition of mTOR increases lifespan and could improve numerous age-related pathologies; mTOR also plays a critical role in maintaining skeletal muscle mass and anabolism (Sharples et al., 2015). This raises the dilemma of improving lifespan or improving healthspan by maintaining muscle mass, strength and function through an active life.

#### 2.2.2 Summary

Aging is associated with a progressive decline in skeletal muscle mass, strength, and quality, a condition known as sarcopenia and dynapenia. The regulation of muscle mass is dictated by the changes in muscle protein balance over time. This constant oscillation between MPS and MPB provides a mechanism for protein maintenance, and its flux is affected by many variables including aging, disuse, resistance exercise, disease, and diet. Resistance exercise has been shown to be a potent stimulator of MPS. However, without nutritional support, a single bout of resistance exercise is catabolic. The consumption of protein induces both an increase in MPS and suppression in MPB, and the amino acid leucine seems to be decisive to these effects. The ingestion of 20 g high-

quality protein can maximize post-exercise MPS rate with lower body resistance exercise, but whole-body resistance exercise may require more than a 20 g protein dose. Reduced anabolic sensitivity with age has led to the theory of anabolic resistance, which relates to skeletal muscles inability to support an adequate MPS response to resistance exercise and protein feeding. However, greater amounts of stimulus could be required to maximize the anabolic response in aging muscle. The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway is essential for stimulating protein synthesis and is activated by mechanical loading, feeding, and growth factors. Nevertheless, PI3-K/Akt/mTOR levels seem to decrease with age and studies using sarcopenic muscles have produced inconsistent results. The cellular pathways regulating protein breakdown via autophagy have also been shown to decline with age in higher organisms. These reductions may reflect insufficient clearance of dysfunctional proteins and organelles, compromising the function of the cell, and eventually contributing to the development of sarcopenia.

# 2.3 Cellular mechanisms of protein breakdown2.3.1 Autophagy-Lysosome System

The term autophagy, derived from Greek meaning "self-eating," describes a catabolic process that through a lysosomal pathway degrades and recycles cytoplasmic components (Neel, Lin, & Pessin, 2013; Sandri, 2010a, 2011). This evolutionarily conserved process occurs in all eukaryotic cells from yeast to humans and is active in nearly all tissues. Autophagy possibly exists as an efficient mechanism for regulation of metabolism, removal of defective organelles, protein quality control, and pathogen removal (Vainshtein, Grumati, Sandri, & Bonaldo, 2014). Initially, it was described to be activated during catabolic conditions in muscle cells (Bechet, Tassa, Taillandier, Combaret, & Attaix, 2005; Deval et al., 2001; Tassa, Roux, Attaix, & Bechet, 2003). Presently, however, autophagy has been found to be modulated in numerous muscle conditions, including cancer (Penna et al., 2013), ageing (Penna et al., 2013; Wohlgemuth, Seo, Marzetti, Lees, & Leeuwenburgh, 2010), fasting (Mammucari et al., 2007), chemotherapy (Smuder, Kavazis, Min, & Powers, 2011), disuse (Brocca et al., 2012) and denervation (O'Leary, Vainshtein, Carter, Zhang, & Hood, 2012; Zhao et al., 2007).

Three different types of autophagy have been recognized; microautophagy, chaperonemediated autophagy, and macroautophagy, which mainly differs in their mode of substrate delivery and the type of cargo delivered to the lysosome. Microautophagy degrades substrates in the surrounding area of the lysosome through lysosomal membrane invaginations (W. W. Li, Li, & Bao, 2012). Chaperone-mediated autophagy is a targeted degradation mechanism through which chaperones recognize designated substrates and deliver them to lysosomes for degradation (Kaushik & Cuervo, 2012). The process of macroautophagy is an intracellular homeostatic mechanism used for the degradation and recycling of long-lived proteins and whole organelles (Mizushima & Komatsu, 2011). Furthermore, the engulfment of materials leads to the development of a nascent double-bilayer enclosed vesicle recognized as an autophagosome. The development of the autophagosome signifies the early stage of macroautophagy, whereas the later maturation phase entails the transport and subsequent fusion with lysosomes to form autolysosomes. This formation of autolysosomes marks the transition to the degradative phase of autophagy. Here the cytoplasmic cargo, the proteins responsible for substrate recognition and delivery and the autophagosomes themselves, are degraded and recycled for the synthesis of new proteins (Gallagher, Williamson, & Chan, 2016; Vainshtein & Hood, 2016). Macroautophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy) is the most studied of the three and will be the focus of this thesis.

An increasing number of specific cargo receptors have been identified, p62/SQSTM1, Nbr1, Bnip, Nix (Bnip3L), and optineurin (Shaid, Brandts, Serve, & Dikic, 2013). These cargo receptors are critical for the selectivity of the autophagy process and have the capability to recognize and bind directly to proteins and organelles tagged for degradation through specific molecules or post-translational modifications. Also, the cargo receptors possess an LC3-interacting region domain, capable of recruiting and binding essential autophagosome membrane proteins (McEwan & Dikic, 2011; Sakuma, Aoi, & Yamaguchi, 2017).

At least three molecular complexes control de novo formation of autophagosomes, ultimately leading to the conversion of LC3 to LC3-II (Mizushima & Komatsu, 2011). During the initial step of autophagy, LC3 exists free in the cytosol in its inactive form with an amino acid tail, that is cleaved off by Atg4 giving rise to LC3-I (Kabeya et al., 2000). The Atg12-Atg5-Atg16L complex, along with Atg7, plays an essential role in the

conjugation of phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) to LC3-I, forming LC3-II (Kirisako et al., 2000; Yorimitsu & Klionsky, 2005). The amount of LC3-II increases as the LC3-II protein is attached to both the inner and outer side of the expanding phagophore membrane and is required for the elongation and closure of the autophagosome membrane (Lippai & Szatmari, 2017; Mizushima et al., 2001). Due to the LC3-II protein localization on the autophagosomal membrane, it serves as a widely used marker for autophagosomes (Kabeya et al., 2000; Mizushima, 2004). The amount of LC3-II protein has been shown to correlate with the number of autophagosomes (Kabeya et al., 2000) and could, therefore, be an indication of enhanced autophagy activity (Martinez-Lopez, Athonvarangkul, & Singh, 2015; Tam & Siu, 2014). After autophagosome closure, the LC3-II located on the cytosolic surface of the membrane is recycled back to LC3-I through delipidation by Atg4 (Satoo et al., 2009; Tanida et al., 2004). The closed autophagosomes ultimately fuse with lysosomes, thereby creating autolysosomes and acquiring lysosomal enzymes and membrane proteins required for degradation. The LC3-II protein bound to the intra-autophagosomal lumen and cytoplasmic cargo is degraded by lysosomal hydrolases (Tanida, 2011).

Additionally, p62/SQSTM1 could also be used as a protein marker for autophagy activity under certain settings (Germain et al., 2011; Mizushima & Yoshimori, 2007). p62 is a multifunctional protein involved in multiple cellular functions and plays a role in signal transduction, in the degradation of proteins and organelles, and can serve as a link between LC3 and ubiquitinated substrates (Bjorkoy et al., 2005; Johansen & Lamark, 2011; Nezis & Stenmark, 2012). The p62 protein and the polyubiquitinated proteins bound to it can merge with, and be degraded by the autophagosomes, thus serving as an index of autophagic degradation. In mammals and Drosophila, impaired autophagy correlates with increased levels of p62 (Ichimura, Kominami, Tanaka, & Komatsu, 2008; Komatsu et al., 2007). On the contrary, reduced p62 levels are associated with autophagy activation (Klionsky et al., 2016; Mizushima et al., 2010). This signifies that the steady-state p62 protein level is reflective of autophagic status (Bartlett et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2012; Klionsky et al., 2016; Masiero et al., 2009).



**Figure 2.3:** A Schematic overview of the autophagy-lysosome system. The figure is based on the work of Lippai and Szatmari (2017), Rubinsztein, Marino, and Kroemer (2011) and Tanida (2011).

The autophagy-lysosome degradation pathway seems to be regulated by transcription factor Forkhead box O3a (FoxO3a) (Mammucari et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2007), which has shown to upregulate several autophagy-related genes, including LC3, p62/SQSTM1, GABARAPL1, Atg12, BNIP and Nix (BNIP3L) (Milan et al., 2015; van der Vos et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2007; Zhao, Brault, Schild, & Goldberg, 2008). Also, upstream Akt-mediated phosphorylation promotes nuclear export of FoxO to the cytoplasm, thus suppressing FoxO-dependent transcription of target genes. Conversely, the absence of growth factor signaling or cellular stress transfers FoxO into the nucleus, thus activating FoxO-dependent gene expression (Martins, Lithgow, & Link, 2016). This activation of FoxO upregulates 4E-BP1 (Demontis & Perrimon, 2010) and suppresses mTOR (J. H. Lee et al., 2010), hence FoxO3 reduces total protein synthesis in adult muscle (Reed, Sandesara, Senf, & Judge, 2012).

#### 2.3.2 The effects of age on Autophagy-lysosome system

Numerous studies have reported age-related reductions in the autophagy-lysosome system (Cuervo, 2008; Cuervo et al., 2005; Del Roso et al., 2003; Drummond et al., 2014; Gaugler et al., 2011; Rubinsztein et al., 2011; Sakuma et al., 2016; Wohlgemuth et al., 2010). Moreover, tissue-specific knockouts of Atg7 or Atg5 have shown that younger knockout mice mimicked age-associated manifestations observed during sarcopenia such as neurodegeneration (Komatsu et al., 2006), damaged and defective mitochondria (I. Kim, Rodriguez-Enriquez, & Lemasters, 2007), accumulation of lipid droplets (Dong & Czaja, 2011) increased protein oxidation (Nezis & Stenmark, 2012) and decreased muscle mass (Masiero et al., 2009). These findings suggest that defective autophagy plays a role in sarcopenia, although more investigation is needed (Martinez-Lopez et al., 2015; Sakuma et al., 2017).

One of the primary methods to investigate autophagic flux is the monitoring of LC3 turnover, which is established by the observation that LC3-II is degraded in autolysosomes (Mizushima et al., 2010). The most common approach is to perform analyses on whole homogenate, and the studies referred to in the following paragraphs were carried out on whole homogenate if not otherwise stated. Whereas the ratio of cytosolic LC3-II to LC3-I is related to the extent of autophagy-lysosomal activity (Kadowaki & Karim, 2009; Karim et al., 2007), its age-related changes have produced mixed results. Wohlgemuth et al. (2010) detected significantly increased protein expression levels of both LC3-I and LC3-II in aged rats. This LC3-II/I protein ratio was only slightly but not significantly decreased in the aged compared to younger animals. Nevertheless, aging did not impact the amount of Atg7 or Atg9 proteins (Wohlgemuth et al., 2010). In humans, an age-dependent reduction in the ratio of LC3-II to LC3-I proteins and the amount of Atg7 protein were demonstrated using young and older volunteers (Carnio et al., 2014). Interestingly, Iida, Kanko, Suga, Morito, and Yamane (2011) showed that the adaptation of the LC3-I and LC3-II proteins differed among several muscles. Using a type of short-lived mouse model displaying various ageingrelated phenotypes, they revealed noticeable increases of the LC3-II to LC3-I ratio in masseter and tongue muscles, but not in the gastrocnemius muscles (Iida et al., 2011). In recent research, Sakuma et al. (2016) did not detect any upregulation of LC3-I and

LC3-II protein in the quadriceps muscle of older mice. However, they did detect a marked age-related increase in p62/SQSTM1 (Sakuma et al., 2016).

The myofiber atrophy in 24-month-old mice is accompanied by accumulation of p62 in the cytosolic cell fraction (Sakuma et al., 2016), similar to the p62 accumulation observed in aged Drosophila muscles (Demontis & Perrimon, 2010). Also, myofibers that are p62-positive appears to be smaller than nearby myofibers with lower p62 levels (Sakuma et al., 2016), suggesting that the inhibition of autophagy leads to myofiber atrophy and muscle mass loss in the aged. Nezis and Stenmark (2012) demonstrated that artificially increasing p62/SQSTM1 expression may have similar effects to mTORC1 inhibition for longevity, with perhaps the advantage of maintaining cell growth and proliferation (Nezis & Stenmark, 2012). This overexpression of p62/SQSTM1 could have some damaging consequences, specifically when autophagy is impaired (Komatsu et al., 2010). On the contrary, the expression of p62/SQSTM1 has also been shown to decrease with age in mice (J. Kwon et al., 2012). The loss of p62/SQSTM1 has proved to reduce lifespan, and a reduced p62/SQSTM1 level correlates with age-related pathologies in human tissues (Bitto et al., 2014; Lerner et al., 2013). These observations suggest a role for p62/SQSTM1 in the processes that prevent aging.

The mechanism underlying attenuated autophagy with aging remains unclear, and the possible mechanisms contributing to autophagy inhibition are complex and multifactorial (Martinez-Lopez et al., 2015). This age-related decline in autophagy may be due to reduced autophagy-related gene expression (Drummond et al., 2014; Joseph et al., 2013), or lower protein levels of autophagy central components and mitophagy regulators (Russ, Boyd, McCoy, & McCorkle, 2015; Sebastian et al., 2016). The formation and clearance of autophagosome could be reduced, either by an inability of autophagosome to fuse with lysosomes or by a decreased lysosomal activity (Hohn et al., 2017). Interestingly, mTOR signaling might contribute to the suppression of autophagy with age (Ravikumar et al., 2004; Settembre et al., 2012), as hyperphosphorylation of mTOR have been found in both advancing age and obesity (Cornu, Albert, & Hall, 2013; Johnson, Rabinovitch, & Kaeberlein, 2013; Z. Yang & Ming, 2012). There is considerable evidence indicating that AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) signaling can inhibit mTOR and thus suppresses protein synthesis (Bolster,

Crozier, Kimball, & Jefferson, 2002; Gwinn et al., 2008; Mihaylova & Shaw, 2011). AMPK is an evolutionarily conserved energy sensor and is activated by ATP depletion prompted by energy deficiency. This, in turn, stimulates catabolic processes to maintain energy homeostasis and consequently inhibits energy consuming reactions such as protein synthesis. AMPK can inhibit mTORC1 function by phosphorylating TSC2 or Raptor, while also stimulating the activity of FoxO3 (Salminen, Kaarniranta, & Kauppinen, 2016). Although FoxO3a stimulates the expression of several autophagyrelated genes, it can also inhibit nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) signaling, thus preventing age-related inflammatory responses (Lin, Hron, & Peng, 2004). Also, the activation of 4E-BP1 in mice muscles regulates autophagy by increasing FoxO activity (Tsai et al., 2015), signifying that FoxO/4E-BP1 signaling could prevent skeletal muscle aging through enhanced autophagy in mice as shown earlier in Drosophila (Demontis & Perrimon, 2010).

Autophagy and FoxO3 signaling have been shown to be crucial longevity factors (Salminen et al., 2016), and how autophagy may facilitate longevity is not entirely clarified. It could be due to its role in facilitating the disposal of harmful proteins and organelles, thus preventing their accumulation and supporting cellular renewal (Vainshtein & Hood, 2016).

#### 2.3.3 The effects of exercise on Autophagy-lysosome system

The first study connecting the autophagy pathway with exercise dates back to 1984 (Salminen & Vihko, 1984). Until recently, the molecular evidence and functional significance of exercise-induced autophagy in skeletal muscle have been widely neglected (Grumati et al., 2011; He et al., 2012). Like nutrient deficiency, muscle contraction creates a form of energetic stress which leads to changes in molecular messengers, as soon as energy demand is greater than energy supply; the AMP-to-ATP ratio increases and activates AMPK. The activation of AMPK with endurance exercise is widely recognized, and recent evidence suggests that it is associated with autophagy activation during and after exercise (He et al., 2012; Moller et al., 2015).

In response to endurance exercise, several studies have noted increases in autophagy markers in both rodents and humans (Grumati et al., 2011; Jamart et al., 2012; Y. A. Kim, Kim, Oh, Kim, & Song, 2013). However, a single bout of high-intensity exercise

appears to be more efficient in inducing autophagy flux than prolonged exercise with moderate intensity when measured by an increase in the LC3-II/LC3-I ratio and decrease of p62 levels (Fritzen et al., 2016; Pagano, Py, Bernardi, Candau, & Sanchez, 2014). In humans, high-intensity but not low-intensity exercise activates the autophagy flux and the AMPK pathway, thus increasing the mRNA level of LC3B, p62/SQSTM1, GABARAP, and Cathepsin L (Schwalm et al., 2015). Therefore, exercise intensity, rather than duration, seems to govern the level of autophagy initiation. Even though the influence of endurance exercise on autophagy is widely documented, only a few rodent (I. Kwon, Jang, Cho, Jang, & Lee, 2017; Luo et al., 2013; Monico-Neto et al., 2015) and human (Fritzen et al., 2016; Fry et al., 2013; Glynn et al., 2010; Mejias-Pena et al., 2017; Tanner et al., 2015; Ulbricht et al., 2015) studies have investigated the impact of resistance exercise on autophagy markers.

In a recent study in young rats, LC3-II/I ratio was reduced following 8 weeks resistance training, due to substantial upregulation of LC3-I. Moreover, significantly elevated p62 levels were also observed (I. Kwon et al., 2017). Luo et al. (2013), using older rats, also noted that 9 weeks resistance training resulted in a decrease in the LC3-II/LC3-I ratio, but contrastingly, they demonstrated a significant decline in the autophagy substrate protein p62/SQSTM1. Nonetheless, upregulation in the expression of total AMPK, phosphorylated AMPK and FoxO3a, were also seen (Luo et al., 2013). In human studies, following a bout of resistance exercise Fry et al. (2013) observed an acute decrease in mRNA expression of GABARAP and LC3-II/LC3-I protein ratio in human skeletal muscle, with no age-related differences between younger and older adults. Furthermore, age-related increases in basal protein levels of Atg7 and Beclin1 were identified (Fry et al., 2013). On closer inspection of this study, the older participants possessed quite similar lean mass and muscle strength as the younger participants, and thus the regulation of the autophagy-lysosome system may respond differently in frail elderly. Fritzen et al. (2016) also observed the LC3-II/LC3-I ratio decreased in response to 3 weeks of one-legged exercise training, and this change was primarily driven by an increase in LC3-I content (Fritzen et al., 2016). A study by Tanner et al. (2015) observed an 80 % lower LC3-II/I protein ratio levels in older compared to younger adults at baseline. However, following 5 days bedrest the LC3-II/LC3-I ratio increased in older adults and interestingly further increased following 8 weeks of high-intensity

resistance exercise, reaching an absolute expression level that was comparable to young adults (Tanner et al., 2015). This suggests that a dysfunctional autophagy-lysosomal system in aging muscle cells could be reversible. Furthermore, recent work in agreement with previous human studies observed that acute resistance exercise reduced LC3-II/I ratio which was driven primarily by a reduction in LC3-II in elderly participants. However, higher ingestion of leucine appeared to facilitate a greater suppression of basal autophagosome degradation 24 h postexercise (Dickinson et al., 2017). Additionally, this increased reduction through higher leucine ingestion would be supported by greater intracellular leucine availability, which has been shown to reduce autophagic activity (Glynn et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2012).

#### 2.3.4 Summary

The key proteolytic systems of the cell, ubiquitin-proteasome and autophagy-lysosome system have a crucial role in the removal of proteins and dysfunctional organelles. The ATP-dependent ubiquitin-proteasome system is essential for regulating and removing proteins upon alterations in muscle activity. The Autophagy-lysosome system is an efficient mechanism for regulation of metabolism, removal of defective organelles, protein quality control, and pathogen removal, and engulfs materials in a vesicle called an autophagosome. The autophagy-lysosome degradation pathway seems to be regulated by transcription factor Forkhead box O3a (FoxO3a) which has shown to upregulate several autophagy-related genes, including LC3 & p62/SQSTM1, which might relate to autophagic status. Numerous studies have reported age-related changes in the autophagy-lysosome system. In response to acute exercise, several studies have noted decreases in total LC3-II/I protein levels, whereas long term training seems to increase some autophagy markers in both rodents and humans. A limited amount research has investigated autophagy in humans, and consequently, we know little about how the aging process affects this system. To our knowledge, no previous studies have examined autophagy in frail elderly humans. This research would be of considerable interest due to the significant declines in muscle quality previously observed in this population, which further relates to their loss of functional independence.

In this randomized controlled trial, we investigated the acute response of several autophagy-related markers, LC3, p62, and FoxO3a, to a resistance exercise bout and

protein supplementation. We compared three different age groups (young, elderly and frail elderly), to gain insight into how this stimulus affects the autophagy-lysosome system and if this response is age-dependent.

## 3. Methods

This thesis presents data based on the results of two larger studies at the Norwegian School of Sport Sciences (NSSS); STAS and Amarone projects. The studies were approved by the South-East Regional Ethical Committee of Norway and carried out in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants were informed about potential risks related to the experiments and gave written informed consent before entering the studies. The following description of methods focuses on procedures relevant for the purpose of the present thesis.

#### 3.1 Participants

Twenty-nine men and women from three different age groups were recruited from nursing homes, sheltered housing and through written communications. Participants from the Amarone project included seven females and ten males (n = 7, 20-43 years old; n = 10, 70-82 years old), and were separated into a young (Y) and elderly (E) group, respectively. Whereas, the Frail Elderly (FE) consisted of five females and seven males (n = 12, 67-96 years old). See Table 1 for a complete overview of the participant characteristics.

	YOUNG	ELDERY	FRAIL ELDERLY
	(n = 7)	(n = 10)	(n = 12)
Sex Distribution	♀=3♂=4	$Q = 4 \mathcal{A} = 6$	$Q = 5 \circ = 7$
Age (years)	$28.3\pm6.9$	$74.8 \pm 3.4$	$85.3\pm8.6$
Height (m)	$1.77\pm0.09$	$1.72\pm0.09$	$1.65\pm0.08$
Body Mass (kg)	$84.3 \pm 16.6$	$79.3 \pm 16.8$	$63.2 \pm 12.6$
BMI (Body mass/m <sup>2</sup> )	$26.8\pm4.4$	$26.6\pm4.8$	$23.1\pm3.5$
Body fat %	$32.9\pm8.8$	$32.1\pm5.8$	$32.1 \pm 6.5$
Lean Body Mass (kg)	$55.0\pm9.4$	$51.8 \pm 10.6$	$41.4\pm6.6$
Lean Mass Legs (kg)	$19.9\pm3.8$	$18.2\pm4.8$	$13.9\pm2.6$
Isometric maximal voluntary contraction force (IMVC) (N)	$477\pm88$	$316\pm109$	$209\pm81$
Relative Strength (N/Body Mass)	$5.7\pm0.8$	$4.0 \pm 1.0$	$3.4 \pm 1.0$

**Table 3.1:** Baseline characteristics of the participants included in this study.

#### 3.1.1 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Participants were screened and filled out questionnaires to assess eligibility for participation. Those who met the pre-determined criteria (Table 2) got included in the study. Those with musculoskeletal injuries, health conditions, used anticoagulant

medication that could not be discontinued for the biopsy procedure, or were lactose intolerant/had milk allergies, were excluded.

	INCLUSION CRITERIA	EXCLUSION CRITERIA
Young	Men and women between 18-45 years old.	Musculoskeletal injury or health condition
		Use of supplements
		Use of corticosteroids last six months Lactose intolerant/Milk allergies
Elderly	Men and women over 65 years old.	Musculoskeletal injury or heath condition
	Healthy and active.	Use of supplements
		Blood pressure >140/90 BMD <0,84 g/cm <sup>2</sup> in L2-L4 Fasted glucose >6mmol Lactose intolerant/Milk allergies
Frail Elderly	Men and women over 70 years old.	Musculoskeletal injury or health condition
	Fried Frailty Criteria score $\geq 3$ (attachment 1)	Use of anticoagulant medication that cannot discontinue
	Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) score <6 (attachment 2)	Allergies to local anesthesia
		Uncontrolled hypertension Mini Mental State Examination <22 out of 30 (attachment 3) Lactose intolerant/Milk allergies

 Table 3.2: Summary of inclusion and exclusion criteria

### 3.2 Overview of Experimental Design

At the completion of pre-intervention assessments, the frail elderly (STAS participants) got randomized into two groups. One group performed resistance exercise and received a protein supplement (RE+PRO), whereas the other group received the same protein supplement, but did not perform resistance exercise (PRO) (Table 3). Randomization was computerized and carried out by a researcher at NSSS. The results chapter presents RE+PRO, and PRO combined for baseline values, and RE+PRO separately when comparing the acute response to exercise to young and elderly participants. Lastly, some figures will also only compare RE+PRO and PRO.

	FRAIL ELDERLY		
	RE+PRO $(n = 8)$	PRO (n = 4)	
Sex distribution	$Q = 4 \circ = 4$	Q=1 $=3$	
Age (years)	$84.5\pm9.8$	$87 \pm 6.5$	
Height (m)	$1.65 \pm 0.1$	$1.64\pm0.1$	
Body Mass (kg)	$60.5 \pm 12.4$	$68.4 \pm 13.1$	
BMI (Body mass/m <sup>2</sup> )	$22 \pm 3.3$	$25.4 \pm 2.9$	
Body fat %	$29 \pm 6$	$38 \pm 2*$	
Lean Body Mass (kg)	$41.2 \pm 6.9$	$41.6\pm6.9$	
Lean Body Mass Legs (kg)	$13.8 \pm 2.6$	$14.3 \pm 3.1$	
Isometric maximal voluntary contraction force (IMVC) (N)	$207\pm93$	$215\pm46$	
Relative Strength (N/Body Mass)	$3.4 \pm 1.1$	$3.4 \pm 0.4$	
Sit-to-Stand (sec)	$23.8\pm27.9$	$32.4\pm36$	

**Table 3.3:** *Baseline characteristics of the two frail elderly groups; RE+PRO and PRO.* \*Significantly different from RE+PRO

#### 3.2.1 Experimental Trial

Participants were requested to meet fasted at NSSS and received a standardized lowprotein breakfast consisting of oatmeal and water. One hour following breakfast, a muscle biopsy was obtained from m. vastus lateralis (described below). Forty minutes later, the participants underwent a unilateral isometric maximal voluntary contraction (IMVC) test, followed by a resistance exercise bout lasting twenty minutes, and another unilateral IMVC test. Participants from the young and elderly groups conducted a full body resistance exercise bout. The leg exercises consisted of three sets of hammer squat, three sets of leg press, three sets of knee extension and the upper body exercises were three sets of chest press, three sets of seated row and three sets of shoulder press. The frail elderly conducted six sets of knee extensions. Each set for all groups started every third minute and completed at a load equivalent of ten repetition maximum (10 RM) for the young and elderly group and eight repetition max (8 RM) for the frail elderly. Immediately after the resistance exercise bout, the participants consumed a protein supplement at the same time point. Two hours (for young and elderly) and two hours and thirty minutes later (for frail elderly), another muscle biopsy was obtained from the same incision.

#### 3.2.2 Isometric Maximal Voluntary Contraction (IMVC)

IMVC was tested unilaterally in a knee extension apparatus (GYM 2000 Gym Equipment, Geithus, Norway), seated with a knee angle 90°, and the ankle pressing against a force cell (HBM U2AC2, Darmstadt, Germany)connected to Labview 8.2 analysis software (National instr., Austin, Texas). Before the test, the participants did a

warm-up of 5 minutes on a stationary bicycle, followed by a specific warm-up in the knee extension apparatus. The specific warm-up consisted of three submaximal 5-second isometric contractions with both legs, at 25, 50 and 75% of maximal torque, separated by approximately 10 seconds of rest. During the IMVC, the participants were instructed to perform three maximum effort trials on each leg and were encouraged to produce maximal force as quickly as possible. Each maximum effort was held for three seconds, with one-minute rest between trials. The unilateral IMVC test has demonstrated a high coefficient of variation (CV) value (CV = 6.1%), in elderly subjects (n=18) supporting this test is reliable.

#### 3.2.3 Protein Supplements

Directly after the resistance exercise bout, the participants consumed a supplement consisting of protein, carbohydrates, and fat. Although the protein content between groups was comparable, there were some differences in the nutritional content between the young and elderly (18,9 g protein, 6,9 g fat, 35,6 g carbohydrate) and the frail elderly (16,8 g protein, 0,7 g fat, 18,5 g carbohydrate), whom received low-fat milk and Tine Styrk, respectively.

#### 3.2.4 Muscle Biopsies

The participant lay in a supine position, and after injection of local anesthesia (Xylocaine with adrenaline,  $10 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1} + 5 \mu \text{l} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$ , AstraZeneca, London, UK), an 1.5-2.0 cm incision was made in the skin and muscle fascia. Using a 6mm Bergström biopsy needle modified for manual suction, 1-3 small muscle samples (approx. 50 mg apiece) were obtained from *m. vastus lateralis*. The two biopsies were taken from the same incision but in opposite directions. The incision was closed with strips, and muscle tissue samples were preserved in an ultra-freezer at -80<sup>m</sup>C until further analyses.

#### 3.3 Analyses

#### 3.3.1 Homogenization

One piece (50 mg) from muscle tissue sample was homogenized using 1 ml of a commercial homogenization buffer, TPER® (TissueProtein Extraction Reagent, cat#78510, Thermo Scientific Rockford, IL, USA), 20 µl Halt<sup>TM</sup> protease and

phosphatase inhibitor cocktail(cat#1861281, Thermo Scientific) and 20 µl EDTA (Cat#1861274, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

Another piece (50 mg) from the muscle tissue samples were fractionated into cytosol-, membrane-, nuclear-, and cytoskeletal fractions, using ProteoExtract® Subcellular Proteosome Extraction kit(Cat#539790, Calbiochem, EMD Biosciences, Schwalbach, Germany). Samples were portioned in 25  $\mu$ l aliquots, then stored in an -80<sup>°</sup>C ultra-freezer.

#### 3.3.2 Protein Measurement

Protein concentration was measured using RC/DC Protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, cat#5000121, Herkules, CA, USA). Bovine y-globulin standard set ranging 0,125; 0,25; 0,5; 1; 1,5  $\mu$ g·ml<sup>-1</sup>, were used as protein standards. The fractionated samples were diluted with distilled water (dH<sub>2</sub>O) to retain protein concentrations within the defined standard protein range. Triplicates á 5µl of every sample were pipetted into a 96-well microtiter plate (Greiner Bio-One International AG, Kremsmünster, Germany). After adding the samples, 25 µl of reagent A+S (cat#500-0113 and #500-0115, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA), and 200 µl reagent B (cat#500-0114, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA) were added to each well. After 15 minutes incubation, the microtiter plates were quantified using ASYS Expert 96 (Biochrom, Cambridge, UK) at 690 nm. Protein concentrations were calculated with KIM Immunochemical Processing Software 32, and samples with CV <10% were used in subsequent Western Blot assays.

#### 3.3.3 Western Blot

Electrophoresis and Western blot analyses were performed on fractionated samples. Analyses of proteins involved in muscle protein degradation were performed at NSSS, by investigating level and location of proteins involved in the ubiquitin-proteasome system and the autophagic pathway.

Fractionated samples were added sample buffer 1/25 5M DTT (dithiothreitol, cat#161-0610, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA), 24/25 Laemmli (4x Laemmli Sample Buffer, cat#161-0747, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA) and distilled deionized water (dH<sub>2</sub>O). Samples were placed on a heating block for 10minutes at 70°C, before 30  $\mu$ l was applied as duplicates for each biopsy time point to Stain-free gels (Mini-PROTEAN®

TGX Stain-Free<sup>™</sup>Gels, cat#456-8094, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA), with 5 µl of molecular weight marker (Protein Ladder PS 11, cat#310005, GeneOn., Germany) in the first and last well. All subcellular fractions were loaded onto the same gel to enable comparisons. Electrophoresis was performed at 200 volts for 25-45 minutes (Mini-PROTEAN® Tetra Cell, Bio-Rad) or until the 10,5kDa-marker wandered of the gel, with room tempered running buffer.

After the electrophoresis, pictures were taken of the gels with Bio-Rad ChemiDoc<sup>™</sup> MP System (#170-8280, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) to control for loading errors. The gels tryptophan content were activated, and protein distribution was visualized by 2,5 minutes of UV-light exposure, PVDF-membranes were activated for 30 seconds in methanol (EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA), 30 seconds in dH<sub>2</sub>O, 2 new minutes in fresh dH<sub>2</sub>O followed by 15 minutes in transfer buffer.

Proteins were transferred to activated PVDF membranes (cat#162-0177, Bio-Rad, CA, USA) in a Criterion<sup>™</sup> Blotter (BioRad, CA, USA), at 50 volts for 60 minutes in cold transfer buffer. After blotting, pictures were again taken of the gels and membranes to ensure proper protein transfer.

Membranes were blocked at room temperature for 2 hours in a 5% fat-free skimmed milk powder (EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) and TBS-t solution (10X Tris Buffered Saline, cat#170-6435, Bio-Rad, CA, USA & 0,1% Tween® 20, cat#P7949-100 ml, Sigma Aldrich). Blocked membranes, were cut based on the proteins of interest; FOX03a (82-97 kDa), p62 (62 kDa) and LC3B (14 and 16 kDa), and were incubated with primary antibodies (Table 4) overnight at 4°C on a rocker-machine.

The following day, membranes were incubated with secondary antibody diluted 1:3000 in a 1% fat-free skimmed milk and TBS-t solution, for 1 hour. Between stages, the membranes were washed 15 min in TBS-t, and then 3 x 5 min in TBS. The membranes were incubated with Chemiluminescent Substrate SuperSignal WestDura® (Extended Duration Substrate, Thermo Scientific, cat#34076, Rockford, IL, USA) for 5 min before pictures were taken with ChemiDocTM MP Imaging System and analyzed with Image Lab<sup>™</sup> Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).

	Antibody	Producer	Host	Dilution	Cat.no.
Primary	Fox03a (75D8)	Cell Signaling	Rabbit	1:1000	#6888
antibody			D 111	1 2000	
	SQSTM/p62	Cell Signaling	Rabbit	1:2000	#5114
	LC3B (LC3I and LC3II)	Cell Signaling	Rabbit	1:1000	#2775
Secondary	Anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked AB	Cell Signaling	Goat	1:3000	#7074
antibody					

**Table 3.4:** Overview of primary and secondary antibodies.

#### 3.4 Statistics

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (±SD). Statistical analysis was conducted in Microsoft® Excel 2010 and Prism® (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Normality of the data was assessed by visual examination of normality plots, as well as Shapiro-Wilk test and the D'Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test. Data that passed the normality test were assessed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for between-group differences (i.e. Y, E & FE) for anthropometric and Western Blot data. In the occasion of significant effects, the Turkey *post hoc* test was used to determine specific differences within an ANOVA. The within-group differences (changes from pre to post resistance exercise bout) was assessed with a paired t-test. Between-group differences for RE+PRO vs. PRO were assessed with unpaired t-test. Non-normally distributed data were evaluated by a nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test for between-group differences and Mann-Whitney U (for RE+PRO vs. PRO), whereas Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for within-group differences. P<0.05 was chosen as a two-tail level of significance.
# 4. Results

## 4.1 Baseline Characteristics

There were no differences in weight between young and elderly, while frail elderly weighed significantly less than both groups (p<0.05). BMI and body fat % did not differ between young, elderly, and frail elderly. However, frail elderly had significantly lower total lean body mass compared to young and elderly (41.4±6.6 kg vs., 55.0±9.4 kg and 51.8±10.6 kg, respectively) and leg lean mass (p<0.05). No significant differences were observed between young and elderly group in any of the body composition variables. The young group had a significantly higher IMVC compared to frail elderly (477±88 N vs. 209±81 N, p=0.0002), while no significant differences were seen between young and elderly groups. Both the elderly and frail elderly had lower relative strength compared to the young (p=0.002 & p<0.0001) (Table 1).

# 4.2 Intracellular Signaling

## 4.2.1 LC3-II/I Ratio

No differences in the LC3-II/I ratio in cytosolic or membrane fraction were observed between the young, elderly or frail elderly groups at baseline (Figure 4.4 A & B).



*Figure 4.1:* LC3-II/I ratio at baseline in cytosol fraction (A), and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. Y: Young, E: Elderly, FE: Frail elderly. #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05).

In response to resistance exercise and protein intake, the LC3-II/I ratio in the cytosolic (figure 4.5 A) and membrane (figure 4.5 B) fractions were significantly reduced from baseline for both elderly and frail elderly groups (p<0.05). Additionally, a tendency towards change was observed in the LC3-II/I ratio in the cytosolic and membrane

fraction from pre to 2.5 h post resistance exercise and protein intake for young (p=0.063 & p=0.078, respectively). However, no between-group differences were observed.



**Figure 4.2:** Change in LC3-II/I ratio from baseline in cytosol fraction (A), and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. Y: Young, E: elderly, FE: Frail elderly. #: difference between groups (P < 0.05); \*: different from pre (P < 0.05).

#### 4.2.2 LC3-II

After the resistance exercise bout and protein supplementation, the elderly group experienced a statistically significant reduction in the level of the LC3-II in the cytosolic fraction, corresponding to a  $100 \pm 0$  % decrease (p<0.05). Neither the frail elderly nor the young group observed any changes in the LC3-II cytosolic fraction from pre to post resistance exercise. However, the LC3-II cytosolic fraction immunoblots detected only 4 participants in the young group. A tendency towards a significant decrease was demonstrated in the frail elderly group (p=0.063), (figure 4.3 A). The level of LC3-II membrane fraction decreased significantly from baseline in both the elderly and frail elderly group (-80±11%, p<0.0001 & -74±39%, p<0.05, respectively), whereas the young group had one participant who saw a marked increase, the group remained unchanged (p>0.05). Nevertheless, no group differences were observed for either fraction (figure 4.3 B).



**Figure 4.3:** Percent change in LC3-II from baseline in cytosol fraction (A), and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. Y: Young, E: Elderly, FE: Frail elderly. #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05).

### 4.2.3 LC3-I

The level of LC3-I in the cytosolic and membrane fraction remained unchanged from baseline to after the resistance exercise and protein intake for the young and elderly groups (p>0.05). In contrast, the frail elderly group observed a suggestive but not quite significant increase in LC3-I cytosolic fraction (p=0.081), and in the membrane fraction (p=0.101) (Figure 4.2 A & B). Combining all the groups together showed a significant increase in the LC3-I membrane fraction (p<0.02). Also, when differences between the young, elderly and frail elderly groups from pre to post resistance exercise and protein intake were analyzed, no differences were shown.



**Figure 4.4:** Percent change in LC3-I from baseline in cytosol fraction (A), and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. Y: Young, E: Elderly, FE: Frail elderly. #: difference between groups (P < 0.05);\*: different from pre (P < 0.05).

## 4.2.4 p62

The level of p62 did not change from baseline to after the resistance exercise and protein intake in any of the groups in the cytosolic fraction (figure 4.1 A). However, there was a favorable trend toward a significant increase for the elderly and frail elderly in the p62 membrane fraction (p=0.091 & p=0.065, respectively), with no change for the young group (p>0.05) (figure 4.1 B). The membrane p62 protein fraction did demonstrate a significant increase after resistance exercise and protein intake when all the groups were merged together (p<0.008). Furthermore, no group differences were observed for p62 in either of the fractions.



**Figure 4.5:** Percent change in p62 from baseline in cytosol fraction (A), and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. Y: Young, E: Elderly, FE: Frail elderly. #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05).

#### 4.2.5 Fox03a

The level of FoxO3a in the cytosolic fraction was significantly reduced in the young (52  $\pm$  49%, p<0.05) and frail elderly group (-52 $\pm$ 53%, p<0.05) 2.5 hours following resistance exercise and protein supplementation. However, no significant change was observed in the elderly group (-45 $\pm$ 68%, p>0.05). No group differences were found (figure 4.6).



**Figure 4.6:** Percent change in Fox03a from baseline in cytosol fraction. Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. Y: Young, E: Elderly, FE: Frail elderly. #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05).



**Figure 4.7:** Representative Western blot protein images for basal (C1 & M1) and 2.5h (C2 & M) following the combination of resistance exercise and postexercise protein supplementation. C1: Basal cytosolic, C2: Postexercise cytosolic, M1: Basal membrane, M2: Postexercise membrane.

# 4.3 Intracellular signaling in response to exercise and protein vs. only protein ingestion in frail Elderly

## 4.4 Baseline characteristics frail elderly

When comparing the two groups of frail participants (RE+PRO and PRO), no betweengroup differences were observed, except for body fat % which was significantly higher in PRO ( $29\pm6$  % and  $38\pm2$  %, p<0.03, respectively) (Table 3).

# 4.5 Intracellular Signaling

#### 4.5.1 LC3-II/I Ratio

A significant reduction in the LC3-II/I cytosolic fraction ratio was observed post resistance exercise bout and protein intake for RE+PRO and after protein intake only for PRO (p<0.05 & p=0.011, respectively) (figure 4.9 A). On the other hand, only the

RE+PRO group observed a statistically significant reduction in LC3-II/I membrane fraction ratio (p<0.05).However, the PRO group barely fell short of significance (p=0.051). When we compare groups, a slight difference toward significant was observed in the LC3-II/I cytosolic fraction (p<0.07). However, there were no observed differences between groups in the membrane fraction. (Figure 4.9 B).



**Figure 4.8:** Change in LC3-II/I ratio from baseline in cytosol fraction (A) and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. RE+PRO: resistance exercise and protein supplement, PRO: protein supplement only, #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05).

#### 4.5.2 LC3-II

The level of LC3-II cytosolic fraction remained unchanged from pre to post protein intake in the PRO group (p>0.05). However, a strong trend towards significance decrease was demonstrated in the RE+PRO group after resistance exercise and protein supplementation (p<0.07). Both RE+PRO and PRO experienced a statistical significant change from baseline in the level of LC3-II membrane fraction (-74±39 %, p=0.016; -73±33 %, p=0.02, respectively) (figure 4.8). No between-group differences were evident for any fraction.



**Figure 4.9:** Percent change in LC3-II from baseline in the membrane fraction. Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. RE+PRO: resistance exercise and protein supplement, PRO: protein supplement only, #: difference between groups (P<0.05); \*: different from pre (P<0.05).

#### 4.5.3 LC3-I

The RE+PRO group had a non-significant increase 2.5 h post resistance exercise in the LC3-I cytosolic fraction (p<.0.09), whereas no changes were observed in the PRO group post protein intake (p>0.05). For the LC3-I membrane fraction, neither group experienced any change from baseline. However, the RE+PRO had a weak trend towards significance (p=0.101). There were no observable differences between groups for either the cytosolic or membrane fraction.

#### 4.5.4 p62

The level of p62 cytosolic fraction did not changed in either RE+PRO or PRO groups from baseline (p<0.05). Moreover, the RE+PRO group demonstrated a non-significant increase in the level of p62 membrane fraction post resistance exercise and protein intake (p<0.07), whereas PRO group remained unchanged after protein intake only (p>0.05). Furthermore, no differences were observed between the groups for neither cytosolic or membrane fractions (results not shown).

#### 4.5.5 FoxO3a

The RE+PRO group showed a significant decrease in FoxO3a cytosolic fraction after resistance exercise bout and protein supplementation compared to baseline levels (- $52\pm53$  %, p<0.05), whereas the PRO group demonstrated a non-significant decrease post protein intake (p<0.10). There were no significant differences between RE+PRO and PRO groups for FoxO3a in the cytosolic fraction (Figure 4.10).



**Figure 4.10:** Percent change in Fox03a from baseline in cytosol fraction. Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. RE+PRO: resistance exercise and protein supplement, PRO: protein supplement only, #: difference between groups (P<0.05); \*: different from pre (P<0.05).

# 4.6 Summary

**Table 4.1:** Overview of the protein results for the different groups.  $\leftrightarrow =$ No change.  $\uparrow =$ Significant increase (p < 0.05).  $\downarrow =$ Significant decrease (p < 0.05).  $\nearrow =$ Nonsignificant increase (p < 0.10).  $\checkmark =$ Nonsignificant decrease (p < 0.10).

				FRAIL ELDERLY	
			FRAIL		
	YOUNG	ELDERLY	ELDERLY	RE+PRO	PRO
P62 CYTO	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$
P62 MEM	$\leftrightarrow$	7	7	1	$\leftrightarrow$
LC3-I CYTO	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	1	7	$\leftrightarrow$
LC3-I MEM	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	1	7	$\leftrightarrow$
LC3-II CYTO	$\leftrightarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$	$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$	$\leftrightarrow$
LC3-II MEM	$\leftrightarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$
LC3-II/I CYTO	$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\Sigma$
LC3-II/I MEM	$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\mathbf{Y}$
FOXO3A CYTO	$\downarrow$	$\mathbf{Y}$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	У

# 5. Discussion

In the present study, we examined markers of autophagy following the combination of an acute resistance exercise bout and protein supplementation in young, elderly and frail elderly adults. The primary and novel findings were; acute resistance exercise and protein supplementation led to a reduction in LC3-II/I ratio in cytosol and membrane fractions driven mainly by a decreased LC3-II level in the elderly and frail elderly subjects. Merging all groups revealed increased p62 and LC3-I in the membrane fraction. Furthermore, we did not demonstrate any age-related differences in the response of the autophagy-lysosome systems.

# 5.1 Intracellular Signaling

## 5.1.1 LC3

In response to an acute resistance exercise bout, we observed a significant reduction in LC3-II/I ratio in both the cytosolic and membrane fractions for the frail elderly and elderly groups, with a trend towards a decrease in the young group. This reduction in LC3-II/I ratio is in agreement with some human (Dickinson et al., 2017; Fritzen et al., 2016; Fry et al., 2013), and rodent studies (I. Kwon et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2013), but not all (Tanner et al., 2015). Previous research has demonstrated that the decline in the total LC3-II/I ratio 2 h postexercise is due in large part to a reduction in LC3-II (Dickinson et al., 2017; Fry et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2013). Other studies have contrastingly noted that an accumulation of the LC3-I protein was the primary driver behind the reduced LC3-II/I ratio (Fritzen et al., 2016; I. Kwon et al., 2017). According to Kadowaki and Karim (2009), the increased cytosolic fraction LC3-II/I ratio appears to correlate with changes in autophagy and may provide an easy quantitative method for monitoring the regulation of autophagy (Kadowaki & Karim, 2009; Karim et al., 2007). However, the change in the LC3-II/LC3-I ratio alone can be challenging to interpret (Klionsky et al., 2016), as it can reflect either a reduction or an increased autophagic flux.

A significant decline in the LC3-II protein was observed in both cytosolic and membrane fraction in the elderly group and frail elderly group. However, no changes were shown in the young group. The decrease in LC3-II in the elderly and frail elderly

could imply an enhanced lysosomal activity, as the LC3-II protein is bound to both the inner and outer side of the expanding phagosphore membrane (Lippai & Szatmari, 2017; Mizushima et al., 2001). Due to localization on the autophagosomal membrane, the LC3-II protein in the intra-autophagosomal lumen is degraded by lysosomal hydrolases when the closed autophagosomes ultimately fuse with lysosomes, resulting in the LC3-II levels decreasing (Tanida, 2011). In support of this, several studies have demonstrated that increased amount of LC3-II with the absence or reduced activity of lysosome enzymes coincides with an impaired autophagic degradation and autophagosomal accumulation (Maruzs et al., 2015; Tatti et al., 2012). Since the LC3-II protein is degraded through autophagy, it is seen as a good indicator of autophagic flux. However, paradoxically, the amount of LC3-II increases rapidly upon autophagy induction, and decreases after longer periods of activation (Mizushima et al., 2010). Thus the key issue is to distinguish between the transient decline of autophagosomes due to attenuated induction, and their reduction due to efficient clearance. Although our study only had one biopsy time point, 2-2.5 h post resistance exercise and protein intake, more than 2 hr of starvation has shown to activate autophagy with subsequent reductions in the total LC3-II (Mizushima & Yoshimori, 2007). Therefore a reduced LC3-II does not exclude autophagy activation.

In addition to a significant reduction in LC3-II, the frail elderly group demonstrated a nonsignificant upregulation of LC3-I following resistance exercise. However, the sensitivity of LC3-I detection by anti-LC3 antibody has shown to be much lower than that of LC3-II in most cases (Kabeya et al., 2004; Mizushima & Yoshimori, 2007). In an effort to keep our sample size sufficiently large, we pooled all groups and observed a significant increase of LC3-I in the membrane fraction. This increase in LC3-I protein levels could reflect an enhanced autophagic flux because the LC3-II attached to the autophagosomal membrane facing the cytosol can be recycled back to LC3-I by Atg4 (Mizushima & Komatsu, 2011) and thus could accumulate in proportion to an increase in autophagy. However, there is an imprecise precursor-product relationship between LC3-I and LC3-II because the conversion of the former to the latter is cell type-specific and dependent on the kind of stress to which the cells are subjected. Karim et al. (2007) investigated the response of the cytosolic LC3-II/I ratio to amino acids. They

reduced the concentration of LC3-II and concomitantly increased the concentration of LC3-I (Karim et al., 2007). Glynn et al. (2010) did not observe any changes in either total LC3-I or LC3-II 1 h after resistance exercise in humans. However, LC3-II was significantly reduced following the ingestion of 0.35 g/kg/LBM essential amino acids with no change in LC3-I (Glynn et al., 2010). In a more recent study, Dickinson et al., (2017) showed that old adults who consumed leucine post resistance exercise noted a reduction in total LC3-II and no change in LC3-I (Dickinson et al., 2017). Interestingly, Karim et al. (2007) speculate that leucine could effectively suppress the transformation of LC3-I to LC3-II, which could reflect what we see in our results (Karim et al., 2007). Fritzen et al. (2016) observed that insulin stimulation led to the phosphorylation of Unc-51-like protein kinase 1 (ULK1) together with a reduction in the LC3-II/I ratio (Fritzen et al., 2016). Insulin has been shown to be a potent inhibitor of autophagy, which might decrease LC3 lipidation through the activation of mTORC1 (Vendelbo et al., 2014). We could speculate that an increased intracellular leucine availability and insulin response from the post resistance exercise protein supplementation would reduce the LC3-I to LC3-II conversion, leading to the observed pooled significant increase in LC3-I in the membrane fraction and thus attenuate autophagic activity (Glynn et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2012).

More recently it was demonstrated that resistance exercise stimulates mTORC1 activation through a different pathway than amino acids (Marcotte et al., 2015; Rindom & Vissing, 2016). As mTORC1 activation also induces the phosphorylation and inhibition of ULK1<sup>Ser757</sup> (Egan et al., 2011; J. Kim, Kundu, Viollet, & Guan, 2011; Schneider & Cuervo, 2014), it plays a central role in the attenuation of autophagy initiation. The phosphorylation of ULK1<sup>Ser757</sup> by mTORC1 could inhibit the ability of AMPK to activate ULK1<sup>Ser555</sup> which in turn inhibits the initiation autophagosome formation and thus prevents the transient increase of the LC3-II amount upon autophagy induction (Jung, Ro, Cao, Otto, & Kim, 2010; J. Kim et al., 2011; Shang et al., 2011). After 8 weeks of resistance training, Mejias-Pena et al. (2017) observed a significant decrease in the phosphorylation of ULK1<sup>Ser757</sup> in healthy older adults (Mejias-Pena et al., 2017). I. Kwon et al. (2017) noted a resistance exercise-induced AMPK suppression 24 h after last the bout of 8 weeks training, but neither Ulk1<sup>Ser555</sup> nor Ulk1<sup>757</sup> levels significantly changed (I. Kwon et al., 2017). Therefore based on recent research

demonstrating regulation of autophagy is independent of mTOR (Hiebel, Kromm, Stark, & Behl, 2014; Y. Lee et al., 2016), it could also be conceivable that resistance exercise affects autophagy in an AMPK or mTOR-independent way. However, the inhibition of mTORC1 leads to an up-regulation of autophagy, seen by LC3-I conversion to LC3-II through lipidation (Klionsky et al., 2016). Vendelbo et al. (2014) observed a reduced mTORC1 activity during fasting and found that LC3-II content was significantly increased, suggesting autophagosome appearance (Vendelbo et al., 2014). Although we did not measure mTORC1 in the present study, several others have demonstrated resistance exercise combined with protein supplementation is a potent mTORC1 activator (Apro & Blomstrand, 2010; Areta et al., 2013; Churchward-Venne, Breen, et al., 2014). Thus, in the case of the significantly decreased LC3-II level in the membrane fraction in the elderly and frail elderly, and a significant increase in the pooled LC3-I, we could speculate that a reduced conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II occurred due to mTORC1 activation. Given this scenario, a greater mTORC1 activation might explain why the frail elderly participants who performed resistance exercise, the RE+PRO and not the PRO group, demonstrated this nonsignificant increase in LC3-I. However, due to a small sample size in RE+PRO (n=8) and PRO (n=4), it is possible our null finding is attributable to our study being underpowered, resulting in a type-II error. Nevertheless, even though LC3 is degraded by autophagy, the total levels of LC3 do not essentially change in a predictable way. There may be increases in the conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II, for instance upon induction of autophagy. If the lysosomal turnover is particularly rapid, the LC3-II/I ratio might decrease despite an increased LC3-I to LC3-II conversion (Klionsky et al., 2016). However, given that the LC3-I protein pointed towards an increase and the production of new LC3-I proteins takes longer than 2 hr, this is unlikely what we observe in our data.

The LC3 protein can be degraded by the 20S proteasome, but it can also convert to LC3-T which is equivalent in size to LC3-II on SDS-PAGE (Gao et al., 2010). This similarity could also create an unreliable indicator of the amount of LC3-II in our analyses, as our LC3-antibody may have some cross-reactivity with other LC3 isoforms. As the LC3 undertakes considerable transcriptional and posttranscriptional modifications and to ensure a precise interpretation of LC3 protein levels; it is also necessary to monitor the mRNA levels. The LC3-II protein will be degraded and thus

decrease upon the autophagosomal-lysosomal fusion. Therefore an increase in autophagy would require transcriptional control of LC3 to be replenished (Sandri, 2010c). Similar to the elderly group in our study, recent research showed reduced LC3-II without changes in LC3-I in humans vastus lateralis (Fry et al., 2013) and rat gastrocnemius muscles (Luo et al., 2013) suggesting attenuated autophagic flux after resistance exercise. Other studies on endurance exercise had concluded with an increased autophagy flux when the LC3-II level increased, and no significant changes in p62 were demonstrated (Jamart, Naslain, Gilson, & Francaux, 2013; Y. A. Kim, Kim, & Song, 2012). As the LC3-II assays may often not give complete information, and since some facets of LC3-II metabolism might be incompletely understood, it is a necessity to complement and evaluate other autophagy markers to get a better picture of the autophagic flux (Rubinsztein et al., 2009).

#### 5.1.2 p62

In addition to LC3, to confirm whether the autophagy flux increased or decreased in response to resistance exercise and protein supplementation, we assessed the p62 protein levels since it can be used as a marker for autophagic flux under various conditions (Germain et al., 2011; Kovacs, Rez, Palfia, & Kovacs, 2000; I. Kwon, Lee, Cosio-Lima, Cho, & Yeom, 2015; Lira et al., 2013). In this present study, the level of p62 in the membrane fraction had a trend towards an increase in both elderly and frail elderly, with no change in the cytosolic fraction 2.5 h post resistance exercise and protein ingestion. However, when pooling all the groups, p62 membrane fraction was significantly increased. An impaired autophagy has shown to correlate with increased levels of p62 (Ichimura et al., 2008; Komatsu et al., 2007). Although temporary increases of p62 could might also reflect an increase or a trend towards an increase in p62 protein level has been shown by some (Fritzen et al., 2016; I. Kwon et al., 2017; Ulbricht et al., 2015), but not all earlier studies (Luo et al., 2013; Mejias-Pena et al., 2017).

Amino acid consumption has been shown to modulate mTORC1 activity (Jewell & Guan, 2013; Proud, 2007; Stipanuk, 2007). A recent study noted that amino acid-induced activation of mTOR is dependent on p62 (Duran et al., 2011). The p62 interacts

50

with Raptor, a subunit of the mTORC1 and the Rag GTPases, as a result promoting the formation and translocation of a molecular complex to the lysosomal membrane that relays the signal from amino acids to the mTORC1 pathway (Duran et al., 2011). It is conceivable that the p62-mTORC1 interaction could respond to amino acids to prevent excessive autophagy. The p62 levels might also function as a positive feedback for both autophagy and cell growth. We could speculate that low p62 levels would result in reduced mTORC1 activity, which would increase autophagy and thereby depress the p62 protein levels even further due to its breakdown during autophagic degradation processes (Komatsu, Kageyama, & Ichimura, 2012). On the other hand, high p62 levels would augment mTORC1 activity and reduce autophagy. This would result in a further increase in p62 levels, thereby sustaining cell growth (Moscat & Diaz-Meco, 2011). The latter could explain the pooled significant increase demonstrated in the p62 membrane fraction, which would indicate a reduced autophagic flux. However, it is unclear whether this elevation in p62 persists the hours following exercise. To date is not known how p62 levels correlate with autophagy initiation in vivo (Mizushima et al., 2010). Given that p62 is incorporated into the autophagosomes through direct binding to LC3 and that the autophagosomes accumulate upon autophagy induction (Mizushima et al., 2010), it may be plausible that p62 correspondingly increases with autophagosome formation. As it appears the measurement of p62 correlates well with other parameters of autophagic flux (Mizushima et al., 2010), it is thinkable that a later biopsy time point than 2.5 h postexercise would reveal a decline in p62 and due to its degradation through autophagy (Bjorkoy et al., 2005). However, during 2h of starvation decreases the level of p62 in wild-type mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Kuma et al., 2004). This inevitably begs the question if the autophagic induction time frame is the similar in adult humans. Although proper timeframe studies in humans are lacking, autophagic activity in rat cardiac muscle after endurance exercise peak within 2 h postexercise and start to decline after 3-4 h post exercise, with no changes in the level of p62 post exercise (Ogura et al., 2011). However, it is important to remember that the expression level of p62 can also change independent of autophagy (Kuusisto, Suuronen, & Salminen, 2001; Nakaso et al., 2004), and thus requires evaluation with additional proteins.

51

Luo et al. (2013) using tail-weighed resistance exercise over 9 weeks showed both reduced LC3-II/I ratio and p62 protein amounts in aged rats, measured 48 h after the last training session (Luo et al., 2013). Unlike hypertrophy signaling responses, the autophagic response may have a much shorter duration (Ogura et al., 2011), thus tissue sampling 48 h postexercise might overlook possible earlier changes. Mejias-Pena et al. (2017) also suggested that 8 weeks resistance training in healthy older adults induced a significant decrease in LC3-II/I ratio and reduced expression of p62 in older human subjects, which they indicated as an increase in autophagy activity (Mejias-Pena et al., 2017). Nevertheless, there are some major limitations surrounding this study. First, they did not collect muscle biopsies but rather analyzed venus blood samples and second, the samples were collected 5-6 days before and after the training period. However, autophagic activity is not always inversely related to the p62 content (Moller et al., 2015), and a snapshot of the intricate processes of autophagy at different time points and exercise durations, acute resistance exercise bout vs 8-9 weeks of training, and method of measurement, could explain some of the differences. Contrastingly, a study by Fritzen et al. (2016) observed a decline in LC3-II/I ratio with an elevated p62 4h postexercise after a 3 weeks training period, which also was supported by changes in gene expression (Fritzen et al., 2016). The decrease they noted in LC3-II/I ratio with training was primarily induced by an increased LC3-I protein abundance. Although the LC3-I was significantly increased when we pooled all the groups, it was mainly the significant LC3-II reduction that drove our results. Therefore it could be conceivable that resistance exercise upregulates the autophagic flux over the course of several weeks of training. Fritzen et al. (2016) also noticed LC3-I and p62 protein content increased in both legs after unilateral training, implying the release of a systemic factor during exercise training might be the underlying signal.

Luo et al. (2013) found that mTOR<sup>Ser2448</sup> phosphorylation was significantly reduced after 9 weeks of resistance exercise, signifying that the inhibition of mTOR signaling may lead the activation of autophagic pathway (Luo et al., 2013). Performing resistance exercise even in the absence of protein consumption can also result in the cellular uptake of amino acids (Biolo et al., 1995). Accordingly, this could contribute to a greater positive feedback between the p62-mTORC1 interaction in the RE+PRO and not the PRO group for p62 membrane fraction, thus preventing autophagy further. These results must be interpreted with caution since the sample was relatively small (encompassing 8 subjects for RE+PRO and 4 subjects for PRO), affording poor statistical power with a high chance or type-II errors. Nevertheless, the level of cystolic p62 remained unchanged 2-2.5 h after resistance exercise and protein supplementation in every group. Importantly, several studies argue that p62 protein content is inadequate as a marker of lysosomal degradation of autophagosomes (Barth, Glick, & Macleod, 2010; Mizushima & Yoshimori, 2007; Rubinsztein et al., 2009). Thus far it is not clear whether p62 is degraded solely through autophagy or partially through the ubiquitinproteasome pathway(Mizushima et al., 2010). We do not exclude the possibility we might overlook probable changes that occur in a time dependent manner in the autophagy-inductive processes. For this reason, it is recommended that future studies examining resistance exercise-induced autophagy adaptation should consider a proper time frame that precisely displays autophagic responses. Moreover, since p62 can also be transcriptionally regulated during autophagy (He & Klionsky, 2009; Nakaso et al., 2004) it may complicate the interpretation of p62. Taken together, this signifies that to enable interpretation of the autophagy response, p62 requires evaluation with additional proteins and data at the mRNA level.

#### 5.1.3 FoxO3a

Autophagy can be controlled at the posttranslational level, but transcriptional mechanisms also contributes to the regulation of autophagy (Sandri, 2010c). Akt and AMPK play key roles as antagonist regulators in the transcriptional modulation of autophagy of the downstream substrate, FoxO3a (Mammucari et al., 2007; Sanchez et al., 2012). FoxO has been shown to regulate both of the major protein breakdown systems in skeletal muscle, the ubiquitin-proteasome and the autophagy-lysosome pathways (Mammucari et al., 2007; Sandri et al., 2004; Stitt et al., 2004). Our results demonstrated that the level of FoxO3a in the cytosolic fraction was significantly reduced in young and frail elderly groups in response to resistance exercise and protein supplementation. Due to the small amount of participants, we observed no change in the E group (n = 4), which could entail a type-II error.

In the absence of growth factor signaling or upon cellular stress, FoxO translocates into the nucleus and activates FoxO-dependent gene expression. Additionally, FoxO3 has

been shown to suppress Raptor to lower mTORC1 activity (Chen et al., 2010; Martins et al., 2016; Morris, 2005). Following resistance exercise, a reduction in the phosphorylation of FoxO3a has been observed in both younger and older participants (Fry et al., 2013; Williamson, Raue, Slivka, & Trappe, 2010). Furthermore, the decrease of cytosolic FoxO3a observed in our study could indicate increased nuclear translocation (Williamson et al., 2010), and possibly reflect the induction of transcriptional upregulation of several autophagy-related genes including LC3, p62/SQSTM1 & Atg4 (Mammucari et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2007). Williamson et al. (2010) noted that older women were unable to activate nuclear FoxO3a to the same degree as the young women after resistance exercise. Also, older subjects had a lower cytosolic FoxO3 phosphorylation and a higher total nuclear FoxO3 level compared to younger subjects at baseline (Williamson et al., 2010). Collectively our observation of reduced cytosolic FoxO3a might reflect increased nuclear translocation, potentially upregulating atrophy-related gene expression (Sandri et al., 2004; Stitt et al., 2004). Although without measuring the protein level of FoxO3a in the nuclear fraction or mRNA data, this remains just mere speculation, as a potential nuclear FoxO3 increase does not necessarily lead to increased gene expression (Williamson et al., 2010).

Only a few studies have studied FoxOs role in exercise models (Dickinson et al., 2017; Fry et al., 2013; Glynn et al., 2010; E. Louis, Raue, Yang, Jemiolo, & Trappe, 2007; Raue, Slivka, Jemiolo, Hollon, & Trappe, 2007; Stefanetti et al., 2014; Williamson et al., 2010). Glynn et al. (2010) noted that the phosphorylation of FoxO3 was unaltered at 1 h following resistance exercise (Glynn et al., 2010). Dickinson et al. (2017), however, observed a significant increase in cytosolic FoxO3a in 2 h postexercise with 3.5 g leucine supplementation in elderly participants, the opposite of our results (Dickinson et al., 2017). The capability of protein to stimulate MPS also appears to be blunted in older adults (Moore et al., 2015). Likewise, to maximally stimulate MPS in older adults required the ingestion of 35 and 40 g of protein at rest (Pennings et al., 2012) and after resistance exercise (Y. Yang et al., 2012), compared with only 20 g in young individuals (Witard et al., 2014). However, our participants received a supplement consisting of either 18.9 g protein (young & elderly groups) or 16.8 g protein (frail elderly group). Since the young and elderly groups performed a whole-body resistance exercise bout, which potentially requires additional protein to maximally stimulate MPS

(Macnaughton et al., 2016), the protein dose our participants consumed may not have been sufficient to stimulate Akt enough to suppress FoxO3a translocation into to the nucleus. Luo et al. (2013) demonstrated FoxO3a activation through resistance exercise training, which might contribute to the activation of autophagy (Luo et al., 2013). Moreover, Fry et al. (2013) noted a significant increase in the phosphorylation of Akt with a significant decrease in FoxO3a phosphorylation. Thus, indicating that the postexercise activation of Akt was inadequate to induce phosphorylation in the downstream effector FoxO3a (Fry et al., 2013). This is also in agreement with Phillips et al. (1997) who demonstrated a 31 % increase in the fractional breakdown rate of skeletal muscle proteins 3 h postexercise, with both MPS and MPB being elevated hours and days after resistance exercise (S. M. Phillips et al., 1997). Several studies have reported elevation of MuRF1 mRNA in both younger and older participants 3-6 h post resistance exercise (Fry et al., 2013; Raue et al., 2007; Stefanetti et al., 2014) and Atrogin-1 mRNA in younger (Deldicque et al., 2008c; Stefanetti et al., 2015) and older subjects (Raue et al., 2007), which are under FoxO control (Sandri et al., 2004; Waddell et al., 2008). Glynn et al. (2010) found that MuRF1 mRNA and protein levels were significantly elevated after resistance exercise and remained elevated following protein intake (Glynn et al., 2010), suggesting resistance exercise is the main driver behind the reduction in cytosolic FoxO3a shown in our results. However, our study did not detect any differences between RE+PRO and PRO group, which could entail a type-II error due to the sample being underpowered.

# 5.2 Baseline Characteristics

The frail elderly group in our study weighed significantly less and had significantly lower total lean body mass and leg lean body mass compared to young and elderly, whereas no between-group differences in BMI and body fat % were shown. After the age of 60, the loss of skeletal muscle mass might escalate to a rate of 15% per decade, (Coker & Wolfe, 2012; Fielding et al., 2011; Malafarina, Uriz-Otano, Iniesta, & Gil-Guerrero, 2013), resulting in most individuals 70-80 years old only possess 60-80 % of the muscle mass they had at ~30 years old, decreasing to <50% after 80 years of age (Witard, McGlory, Hamilton, & Phillips, 2016). The frail elderly group appears to almost fit this pattern. Nevertheless, our results diverge from this as no differences were detected between young and elderly group for either total body mass or lean leg mass.

The elderly group was healthy and physically active which might explain why no differences in muscle mass were apparent between these groups. However, a 3kg difference in lean body mass between the young and elderly was observed, implying our statistical power was too low and not sensitive enough to detect small differences. Disturbingly, the trajectory of strength loss is even faster, with declines of 3-4% in men and 2.5-3% in women, annually (Goodpaster et al., 2006). We noted that the young participants had a significantly higher IMVC compared to frail elderly. This decreased strength cannot solely be attributed to the significantly reduced lean mass of the frail elderly participants (Hughes et al., 2001). Because both elderly and frail elderly also demonstrated a significantly lower relative strength compared to the young. Several researchers have noted changes in muscle fiber quality, force corrected for size, with age (Delbono, 2011; Frontera, Hughes, et al., 2000; Frontera, Suh, et al., 2000; Kostek & Delmonico, 2011). As older men have more fat in the muscle compartments of the thigh compared to their younger counterpart (Overend, Cunningham, Paterson, & Lefcoe, 1992). This excessive lipid infiltration in skeletal muscles is associated with low muscle strength and poor physical performance (Hilton, Tuttle, Bohnert, Mueller, & Sinacore, 2008; Visser et al., 2005), which hinders the contractile ability of the muscle (Lauretani et al., 2006; Schrager et al., 2007). The DXA measurements performed in the present study lacks the sensitivity to identify muscle composition, and therefore cannot detect fat that infiltrates the muscle (Fuller et al., 1999). This would explain why we did not detect any differences in fat % between groups. Consequently, the lower relative muscle strength in the elderly and frail elderly groups, would possibly to some extent be explained by reductions in intrinsic force-generating capacity of skeletal muscle fibers (Ochala, Frontera, Dorer, Van Hoecke, & Krivickas, 2007; Russ, Grandy, Toma, & Ward, 2011; Yu, Hedstrom, Cristea, Dalen, & Larsson, 2007).

# 5.3 Limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine autophagy markers in skeletal muscle of frail elderly humans. The investigation of resistance exercise and protein supplementation on acute changes in autophagy-related markers and comparison of three different age groups could help us gain insight into how this stimulus affects the autophagy-lysosome system and if this response is age-dependent. However, the present study exhibits several limitations. First, while we did not observe any age-related differences in our immunoblot results, the study did not include a sufficient number of participants. Some of our analyses included only four subjects, giving it a low statistical power, which could entail a high chance for type-II errors. Another limitation is the absence of several key upstream and downstream autophagy markers and mRNA data; this could give us a clearer picture of the autophagy response to resistance exercise and protein supplementation and unravel some of the underlying regulatory signals. Furthermore, the single post exercise biopsy provides only a limited snapshot 2.5 hours after the bout had ended. By adding additional post exercise biopsies, one preferably straight after exercise and another one a couple of hours later gives greater insight into the time course of change. A final major limitation is that the present study neglect to match the resistance exercise bout, protein intake, and time from protein supplementation to the muscle biopsy between all groups. As such, it's not possible to ascertain whether our outcomes were influenced by resistance exercise and protein intake in general, or simply by the differences in the protocol.

# 5.4 Conclusion

The purpose of this thesis was to examine markers of protein degradation via the autophagy-lysosome system in young, healthy elderly and frail elderly. More specifically, we measured autophagy-related markers, LC3, p62 and FoxO3a, and investigated how they respond to resistance exercise and protein supplementation. The LC3-II/I ratio had a tendency towards, or was significantly reduced in all groups, which was mainly driven by a decline in LC3-II. We observed a significant decrease in the cytosolic FoxO3a fraction in both young and elderly which might reflect an increased activation of skeletal muscle protein degradation systems. Merging all groups revealed an increased p62 and LC3-I at the membrane fraction level, indicating a reduced autophagosome formation and degradation.

We hypothesized that protein supplementation and resistance exercise would result in an age-dependent difference in response with suppressed ratio of LC3-II/I but elevated p62, suggesting autophagy interruption with an attenuated autophagic flux in the frail elderly. The present study found no between-group differences for any of the proteins measured. We also predicted that protein supplementation would inhibit the autophagy-lysosome system to a greater extent than resistance exercise and protein supplementation combined in the frail elderly. No differences were demonstrated in any autophagy-related marker between groups 2.5 hours after protein ingestion, although resistance exercise preceded protein ingestion in one of the groups.

More research is needed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms by which resistance exercise, nutrition, and aging interact to affect skeletal muscle breakdown and autophagy, and how these interactions play out in the long term and their functional outcomes.

# References

- Ali, N. A., O'Brien, J. M., Jr., Hoffmann, S. P., Phillips, G., Garland, A., Finley, J. C., . . . Marsh, C. B. (2008). Acquired weakness, handgrip strength, and mortality in critically ill patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, *178*(3), 261-268. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200712-1829OC
- Apro, W., & Blomstrand, E. (2010). Influence of supplementation with branched-chain amino acids in combination with resistance exercise on p70S6 kinase phosphorylation in resting and exercising human skeletal muscle. *Acta Physiol* (*Oxf*), 200(3), 237-248. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-1708.2010.02151.x
- Areta, J. L., Burke, L. M., Camera, D. M., West, D. W., Crawshay, S., Moore, D. R., . . . . Coffey, V. G. (2014). Reduced resting skeletal muscle protein synthesis is rescued by resistance exercise and protein ingestion following short-term energy deficit. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, *306*(8), E989-997. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00590.2013
- Areta, J. L., Burke, L. M., Ross, M. L., Camera, D. M., West, D. W., Broad, E. M., . . . Coffey, V. G. (2013). Timing and distribution of protein ingestion during prolonged recovery from resistance exercise alters myofibrillar protein synthesis. *J Physiol*, 591(9), 2319-2331. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2012.244897
- Atherton, P. J., Etheridge, T., Watt, P. W., Wilkinson, D., Selby, A., Rankin, D., . . . Rennie, M. J. (2010). Muscle full effect after oral protein: time-dependent concordance and discordance between human muscle protein synthesis and mTORC1 signaling. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 92(5), 1080-1088. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.29819
- Babraj, J. A., Cuthbertson, D. J., Smith, K., Langberg, H., Miller, B., Krogsgaard, M. R., . . . Rennie, M. J. (2005). Collagen synthesis in human musculoskeletal tissues and skin. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 289(5), E864-869. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00243.2005
- Balagopal, P., Rooyackers, O. E., Adey, D. B., Ades, P. A., & Nair, K. S. (1997). Effects of aging on in vivo synthesis of skeletal muscle myosin heavy-chain and sarcoplasmic protein in humans. *Am J Physiol*, 273(4 Pt 1), E790-800.
- Barth, S., Glick, D., & Macleod, K. F. (2010). Autophagy: assays and artifacts. J Pathol, 221(2), 117-124. doi: 10.1002/path.2694
- Bartlett, B. J., Isakson, P., Lewerenz, J., Sanchez, H., Kotzebue, R. W., Cumming, R. C., . . . Finley, K. D. (2011). p62, Ref(2)P and ubiquitinated proteins are conserved markers of neuronal aging, aggregate formation and progressive autophagic defects. *Autophagy*, 7(6), 572-583.

- Beasley, J. M., Shikany, J. M., & Thomson, C. A. (2013). The role of dietary protein intake in the prevention of sarcopenia of aging. *Nutr Clin Pract*, 28(6), 684-690. doi: 10.1177/0884533613507607
- Bechet, D., Tassa, A., Taillandier, D., Combaret, L., & Attaix, D. (2005). Lysosomal proteolysis in skeletal muscle. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*, 37(10), 2098-2114. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2005.02.029
- Biolo, G., Maggi, S. P., Williams, B. D., Tipton, K. D., & Wolfe, R. R. (1995). Increased rates of muscle protein turnover and amino acid transport after resistance exercise in humans. *Am J Physiol*, 268(3 Pt 1), E514-520.
- Biolo, G., Tipton, K. D., Klein, S., & Wolfe, R. R. (1997). An abundant supply of amino acids enhances the metabolic effect of exercise on muscle protein. *Am J Physiol*, 273(1 Pt 1), E122-129.
- Bitto, A., Lerner, C. A., Nacarelli, T., Crowe, E., Torres, C., & Sell, C. (2014). P62/SQSTM1 at the interface of aging, autophagy, and disease. *Age (Dordr)*, *36*(3), 9626. doi: 10.1007/s11357-014-9626-3
- Bjorkoy, G., Lamark, T., Brech, A., Outzen, H., Perander, M., Overvatn, A., . . . Johansen, T. (2005). p62/SQSTM1 forms protein aggregates degraded by autophagy and has a protective effect on huntingtin-induced cell death. *J Cell Biol*, 171(4), 603-614. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200507002
- Bodine, S. C. (2006). mTOR signaling and the molecular adaptation to resistance exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, *38*(11), 1950-1957. doi: 10.1249/01.mss.0000233797.24035.35
- Bohe, J., Low, J. F., Wolfe, R. R., & Rennie, M. J. (2001). Latency and duration of stimulation of human muscle protein synthesis during continuous infusion of amino acids. *J Physiol*, 532(Pt 2), 575-579.
- Boirie, Y., Gachon, P., & Beaufrere, B. (1997). Splanchnic and whole-body leucine kinetics in young and elderly men. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 65(2), 489-495.
- Bolster, D. R., Crozier, S. J., Kimball, S. R., & Jefferson, L. S. (2002). AMP-activated protein kinase suppresses protein synthesis in rat skeletal muscle through downregulated mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling. *J Biol Chem*, 277(27), 23977-23980. doi: 10.1074/jbc.C200171200
- Bond, P. (2016). Regulation of mTORC1 by growth factors, energy status, amino acids and mechanical stimuli at a glance. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr, 13*, 8. doi: 10.1186/s12970-016-0118-y

- Breen, L., Stokes, K. A., Churchward-Venne, T. A., Moore, D. R., Baker, S. K., Smith, K., . . . Phillips, S. M. (2013). Two weeks of reduced activity decreases leg lean mass and induces "anabolic resistance" of myofibrillar protein synthesis in healthy elderly. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 98(6), 2604-2612. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-1502
- Brinkley, T. E., Leng, X., Miller, M. E., Kitzman, D. W., Pahor, M., Berry, M. J., ... Nicklas, B. J. (2009). Chronic inflammation is associated with low physical function in older adults across multiple comorbidities. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 64(4), 455-461. doi: 10.1093/gerona/gln038
- Brocca, L., Cannavino, J., Coletto, L., Biolo, G., Sandri, M., Bottinelli, R., & Pellegrino, M. A. (2012). The time course of the adaptations of human muscle proteome to bed rest and the underlying mechanisms. *J Physiol*, 590(20), 5211-5230. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2012.240267
- Brook, M. S., Wilkinson, D. J., Smith, K., & Atherton, P. J. (2016). The metabolic and temporal basis of muscle hypertrophy in response to resistance exercise. *Eur J Sport Sci*, 16(6), 633-644. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2015.1073362
- Burd, N. A., Gorissen, S. H., & van Loon, L. J. (2013). Anabolic resistance of muscle protein synthesis with aging. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*, 41(3), 169-173. doi: 10.1097/JES.0b013e318292f3d5
- Burd, N. A., Holwerda, A. M., Selby, K. C., West, D. W., Staples, A. W., Cain, N. E., . .
  Phillips, S. M. (2010). Resistance exercise volume affects myofibrillar protein synthesis and anabolic signalling molecule phosphorylation in young men. *J Physiol*, 588(Pt 16), 3119-3130. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2010.192856
- Burd, N. A., West, D. W., Staples, A. W., Atherton, P. J., Baker, J. M., Moore, D. R., . . Phillips, S. M. (2010). Low-load high volume resistance exercise stimulates muscle protein synthesis more than high-load low volume resistance exercise in young men. *PLoS One*, 5(8), e12033. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012033
- Candow, D. G., & Chilibeck, P. D. (2005). Differences in size, strength, and power of upper and lower body muscle groups in young and older men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 60(2), 148-156.
- Carnio, S., LoVerso, F., Baraibar, M. A., Longa, E., Khan, M. M., Maffei, M., . . . Sandri, M. (2014). Autophagy impairment in muscle induces neuromuscular junction degeneration and precocious aging. *Cell Rep*, 8(5), 1509-1521. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.07.061
- Cermak, N. M., Res, P. T., de Groot, L. C., Saris, W. H., & van Loon, L. J. (2012). Protein supplementation augments the adaptive response of skeletal muscle to

resistance-type exercise training: a meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr, 96*(6), 1454-1464. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.037556

- Chaillou, T., Kirby, T. J., & McCarthy, J. J. (2014). Ribosome biogenesis: emerging evidence for a central role in the regulation of skeletal muscle mass. *J Cell Physiol*, 229(11), 1584-1594. doi: 10.1002/jcp.24604
- Chen, C. C., Jeon, S. M., Bhaskar, P. T., Nogueira, V., Sundararajan, D., Tonic, I., . . . Hay, N. (2010). FoxOs inhibit mTORC1 and activate Akt by inducing the expression of Sestrin3 and Rictor. *Dev Cell*, 18(4), 592-604. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2010.03.008
- Chesley, A., MacDougall, J. D., Tarnopolsky, M. A., Atkinson, S. A., & Smith, K. (1992). Changes in human muscle protein synthesis after resistance exercise. *J Appl Physiol* (1985), 73(4), 1383-1388.
- Churchward-Venne, T. A., Breen, L., Di Donato, D. M., Hector, A. J., Mitchell, C. J., Moore, D. R., . . . Phillips, S. M. (2014). Leucine supplementation of a lowprotein mixed macronutrient beverage enhances myofibrillar protein synthesis in young men: a double-blind, randomized trial. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 99(2), 276-286. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.068775
- Churchward-Venne, T. A., Cotie, L. M., MacDonald, M. J., Mitchell, C. J., Prior, T., Baker, S. K., & Phillips, S. M. (2014). Citrulline does not enhance blood flow, microvascular circulation, or myofibrillar protein synthesis in elderly men at rest or following exercise. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 307(1), E71-83. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00096.2014
- Churchward-Venne, T. A., Murphy, C. H., Longland, T. M., & Phillips, S. M. (2013). Role of protein and amino acids in promoting lean mass accretion with resistance exercise and attenuating lean mass loss during energy deficit in humans. *Amino Acids*, 45(2), 231-240. doi: 10.1007/s00726-013-1506-0
- Coker, R. H., & Wolfe, R. R. (2012). Bedrest and sarcopenia. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*, 15(1), 7-11. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e32834da629
- Cornu, M., Albert, V., & Hall, M. N. (2013). mTOR in aging, metabolism, and cancer. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*, 23(1), 53-62. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2012.12.005
- Cross, D. A., Alessi, D. R., Cohen, P., Andjelkovich, M., & Hemmings, B. A. (1995). Inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase-3 by insulin mediated by protein kinase B. *Nature*, 378(6559), 785-789. doi: 10.1038/378785a0
- Cuervo, A. M. (2008). Autophagy and aging: keeping that old broom working. *Trends Genet*, 24(12), 604-612. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2008.10.002

- Cuervo, A. M., Bergamini, E., Brunk, U. T., Droge, W., Ffrench, M., & Terman, A. (2005). Autophagy and aging: the importance of maintaining "clean" cells. *Autophagy*, *1*(3), 131-140.
- Cui, J., Bai, X. Y., Shi, S., Cui, S., Hong, Q., Cai, G., & Chen, X. (2012). Age-related changes in the function of autophagy in rat kidneys. *Age (Dordr)*, *34*(2), 329-339. doi: 10.1007/s11357-011-9237-1
- Cuthbertson, D., Smith, K., Babraj, J., Leese, G., Waddell, T., Atherton, P., ... Rennie, M. J. (2005). Anabolic signaling deficits underlie amino acid resistance of wasting, aging muscle. *Faseb j, 19*(3), 422-424. doi: 10.1096/fj.04-2640fje
- Damas, F., Phillips, S. M., Libardi, C. A., Vechin, F. C., Lixandrao, M. E., Jannig, P. R., . . . Ugrinowitsch, C. (2016). Resistance training-induced changes in integrated myofibrillar protein synthesis are related to hypertrophy only after attenuation of muscle damage. *J Physiol*, 594(18), 5209-5222. doi: 10.1113/jp272472
- Damas, F., Phillips, S., Vechin, F. C., & Ugrinowitsch, C. (2015). A review of resistance training-induced changes in skeletal muscle protein synthesis and their contribution to hypertrophy. *Sports Med*, 45(6), 801-807. doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0320-0
- Deane, C. S., Hughes, D. C., Sculthorpe, N., Lewis, M. P., Stewart, C. E., & Sharples, A. P. (2013). Impaired hypertrophy in myoblasts is improved with testosterone administration. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*, 138, 152-161. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2013.05.005
- Del Roso, A., Vittorini, S., Cavallini, G., Donati, A., Gori, Z., Masini, M., . . . Bergamini, E. (2003). Ageing-related changes in the in vivo function of rat liver macroautophagy and proteolysis. *Exp Gerontol*, 38(5), 519-527.
- Delbono, O. (2011). Expression and regulation of excitation-contraction coupling proteins in aging skeletal muscle. *Curr Aging Sci, 4*(3), 248-259.
- Deldicque, L., Atherton, P., Patel, R., Theisen, D., Nielens, H., Rennie, M. J., & Francaux, M. (2008a). Decrease in Akt/PKB signalling in human skeletal muscle by resistance exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol*, 104(1), 57-65. doi: 10.1007/s00421-008-0786-7
- Deldicque, L., Atherton, P., Patel, R., Theisen, D., Nielens, H., Rennie, M. J., & Francaux, M. (2008c). Effects of resistance exercise with and without creatine supplementation on gene expression and cell signaling in human skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 104*(2), 371-378. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00873.2007

- Demontis, F., & Perrimon, N. (2010). FOXO/4E-BP signaling in Drosophila muscles regulates organism-wide proteostasis during aging. *Cell*, 143(5), 813-825. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.007
- Deutz, N. E., & Wolfe, R. R. (2013). Is there a maximal anabolic response to protein intake with a meal? *Clin Nutr, 32*(2), 309-313. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2012.11.018
- Deval, C., Mordier, S., Obled, C., Bechet, D., Combaret, L., Attaix, D., & Ferrara, M. (2001). Identification of cathepsin L as a differentially expressed message associated with skeletal muscle wasting. *Biochem J*, 360(Pt 1), 143-150.
- Dickinson, J. M., Drummond, M. J., Coben, J. R., Volpi, E., & Rasmussen, B. B. (2013). Aging differentially affects human skeletal muscle amino acid transporter expression when essential amino acids are ingested after exercise. *Clin Nutr*, 32(2), 273-280. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2012.07.009
- Dickinson, J. M., Fry, C. S., Drummond, M. J., Gundermann, D. M., Walker, D. K., Glynn, E. L., . . . Rasmussen, B. B. (2011). Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 activation is required for the stimulation of human skeletal muscle protein synthesis by essential amino acids. *J Nutr*, 141(5), 856-862. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.139485
- Dickinson, J. M., Gundermann, D. M., Walker, D. K., Reidy, P. T., Borack, M. S., Drummond, M. J., . . . Rasmussen, B. B. (2014). Leucine-enriched amino acid ingestion after resistance exercise prolongs myofibrillar protein synthesis and amino acid transporter expression in older men. *J Nutr*, 144(11), 1694-1702. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.198671
- Dickinson, J. M., Reidy, P. T., Gundermann, D. M., Borack, M. S., Walker, D. K., D'Lugos, A. C., . . . Rasmussen, B. B. (2017). The impact of postexercise essential amino acid ingestion on the ubiquitin proteasome and autophagosomallysosomal systems in skeletal muscle of older men. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*, *122*(3), 620-630. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00632.2016
- Dong, H., & Czaja, M. J. (2011). Regulation of lipid droplets by autophagy. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*, 22(6), 234-240. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2011.02.003
- Dreyer, H. C., Drummond, M. J., Pennings, B., Fujita, S., Glynn, E. L., Chinkes, D. L., . . . Rasmussen, B. B. (2008). Leucine-enriched essential amino acid and carbohydrate ingestion following resistance exercise enhances mTOR signaling and protein synthesis in human muscle. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 294(2), E392-400. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00582.2007
- Drummond, M. J., Addison, O., Brunker, L., Hopkins, P. N., McClain, D. A., LaStayo, P. C., & Marcus, R. L. (2014). Downregulation of E3 ubiquitin ligases and mitophagy-related genes in skeletal muscle of physically inactive, frail older

women: a cross-sectional comparison. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 69(8), 1040-1048. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glu004

- Drummond, M. J., Dickinson, J. M., Fry, C. S., Walker, D. K., Gundermann, D. M., Reidy, P. T., . . . Volpi, E. (2012). Bed rest impairs skeletal muscle amino acid transporter expression, mTORC1 signaling, and protein synthesis in response to essential amino acids in older adults. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 302(9), E1113-1122. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00603.2011
- Drummond, M. J., Dreyer, H. C., Pennings, B., Fry, C. S., Dhanani, S., Dillon, E. L., . . . Rasmussen, B. B. (2008). Skeletal muscle protein anabolic response to resistance exercise and essential amino acids is delayed with aging. *J Appl Physiol* (1985), 104(5), 1452-1461. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00021.2008
- Drummond, M. J., Fry, C. S., Glynn, E. L., Dreyer, H. C., Dhanani, S., Timmerman, K. L., . . . Rasmussen, B. B. (2009). Rapamycin administration in humans blocks the contraction-induced increase in skeletal muscle protein synthesis. *J Physiol*, 587(Pt 7), 1535-1546. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2008.163816
- Duran, A., Amanchy, R., Linares, J. F., Joshi, J., Abu-Baker, S., Porollo, A., . . . Diaz-Meco, M. T. (2011). p62 is a key regulator of nutrient sensing in the mTORC1 pathway. *Mol Cell*, 44(1), 134-146. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.06.038
- Egan, D. F., Shackelford, D. B., Mihaylova, M. M., Gelino, S., Kohnz, R. A., Mair, W., ... Shaw, R. J. (2011). Phosphorylation of ULK1 (hATG1) by AMP-activated protein kinase connects energy sensing to mitophagy. *Science*, 331(6016), 456-461. doi: 10.1126/science.1196371
- Egerman, M. A., & Glass, D. J. (2014). Signaling pathways controlling skeletal muscle mass. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol*, 49(1), 59-68. doi: 10.3109/10409238.2013.857291
- Fielding, R. A., Vellas, B., Evans, W. J., Bhasin, S., Morley, J. E., Newman, A. B., . . . Zamboni, M. (2011). Sarcopenia: an undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition: prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*, *12*(4), 249-256. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2011.01.003
- Fragala, M. S., Kenny, A. M., & Kuchel, G. A. (2015). Muscle quality in aging: a multidimensional approach to muscle functioning with applications for treatment. *Sports Med*, 45(5), 641-658. doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0305-z
- Fritzen, A. M., Madsen, A. B., Kleinert, M., Treebak, J. T., Lundsgaard, A. M., Jensen, T. E., . . . Frosig, C. (2016). Regulation of autophagy in human skeletal muscle: effects of exercise, exercise training and insulin stimulation. *J Physiol*, 594(3), 745-761. doi: 10.1113/jp271405

- Frontera, W. R., Hughes, V. A., Fielding, R. A., Fiatarone, M. A., Evans, W. J., & Roubenoff, R. (2000). Aging of skeletal muscle: a 12-yr longitudinal study. J Appl Physiol (1985), 88(4), 1321-1326.
- Frontera, W. R., Suh, D., Krivickas, L. S., Hughes, V. A., Goldstein, R., & Roubenoff, R. (2000). Skeletal muscle fiber quality in older men and women. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*, 279(3), C611-618.
- Fry, C. S., Drummond, M. J., Glynn, E. L., Dickinson, J. M., Gundermann, D. M., Timmerman, K. L., . . Rasmussen, B. B. (2011). Aging impairs contractioninduced human skeletal muscle mTORC1 signaling and protein synthesis. *Skelet Muscle*, 1(1), 11. doi: 10.1186/2044-5040-1-11
- Fry, C. S., Drummond, M. J., Glynn, E. L., Dickinson, J. M., Gundermann, D. M., Timmerman, K. L., . . . Rasmussen, B. B. (2013). Skeletal muscle autophagy and protein breakdown following resistance exercise are similar in younger and older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 68(5), 599-607. doi: 10.1093/gerona/gls209
- Fujita, S., Glynn, E. L., Timmerman, K. L., Rasmussen, B. B., & Volpi, E. (2009). Supraphysiological hyperinsulinaemia is necessary to stimulate skeletal muscle protein anabolism in older adults: evidence of a true age-related insulin resistance of muscle protein metabolism. *Diabetologia*, 52(9), 1889-1898. doi: 10.1007/s00125-009-1430-8
- Fulgoni, V. L., 3rd. (2008). Current protein intake in America: analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2003-2004. Am J Clin Nutr, 87(5), 1554S-1557S.
- Fuller, N. J., Hardingham, C. R., Graves, M., Screaton, N., Dixon, A. K., Ward, L. C., & Elia, M. (1999). Assessment of limb muscle and adipose tissue by dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry using magnetic resonance imaging for comparison. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*, 23(12), 1295-1302.
- Gallagher, L. E., Williamson, L. E., & Chan, E. Y. (2016). Advances in Autophagy Regulatory Mechanisms. *Cells*, 5(2). doi: 10.3390/cells5020024
- Gan, B., Yoo, Y., & Guan, J. L. (2006). Association of focal adhesion kinase with tuberous sclerosis complex 2 in the regulation of s6 kinase activation and cell growth. *J Biol Chem*, 281(49), 37321-37329. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M605241200
- Gao, Z., Gammoh, N., Wong, P. M., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., & Jiang, X. (2010). Processing of autophagic protein LC3 by the 20S proteasome. *Autophagy*, 6(1), 126-137.

- Gaugler, M., Brown, A., Merrell, E., DiSanto-Rose, M., Rathmacher, J. A., & Reynolds, T. H. th. (2011). PKB signaling and atrogene expression in skeletal muscle of aged mice. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 111*(1), 192-199. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00175.2011
- Germain, M., Nguyen, A. P., Le Grand, J. N., Arbour, N., Vanderluit, J. L., Park, D. S., ... Slack, R. S. (2011). MCL-1 is a stress sensor that regulates autophagy in a developmentally regulated manner. *Embo j, 30*(2), 395-407. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2010.327
- Gingras, A. C., Gygi, S. P., Raught, B., Polakiewicz, R. D., Abraham, R. T., Hoekstra, M. F., . . . Sonenberg, N. (1999). Regulation of 4E-BP1 phosphorylation: a novel two-step mechanism. *Genes Dev*, 13(11), 1422-1437.
- Glass, D. J. (2010). PI3 kinase regulation of skeletal muscle hypertrophy and atrophy. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*, *346*, 267-278. doi: 10.1007/82\_2010\_78
- Glynn, E. L., Fry, C. S., Drummond, M. J., Dreyer, H. C., Dhanani, S., Volpi, E., & Rasmussen, B. B. (2010). Muscle protein breakdown has a minor role in the protein anabolic response to essential amino acid and carbohydrate intake following resistance exercise. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 299(2), R533-540. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00077.2010
- Goodpaster, B. H., Carlson, C. L., Visser, M., Kelley, D. E., Scherzinger, A., Harris, T. B., . . . Newman, A. B. (2001). Attenuation of skeletal muscle and strength in the elderly: The Health ABC Study. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 90*(6), 2157-2165.
- Goodpaster, B. H., Park, S. W., Harris, T. B., Kritchevsky, S. B., Nevitt, M., Schwartz, A. V., . . . Newman, A. B. (2006). The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass, and quality in older adults: the health, aging and body composition study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 61(10), 1059-1064.
- Greenhaff, P. L., Karagounis, L. G., Peirce, N., Simpson, E. J., Hazell, M., Layfield, R., ... Rennie, M. J. (2008). Disassociation between the effects of amino acids and insulin on signaling, ubiquitin ligases, and protein turnover in human muscle. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 295(3), E595-604. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.90411.2008
- Grumati, P., Coletto, L., Schiavinato, A., Castagnaro, S., Bertaggia, E., Sandri, M., & Bonaldo, P. (2011). Physical exercise stimulates autophagy in normal skeletal muscles but is detrimental for collagen VI-deficient muscles. *Autophagy*, 7(12), 1415-1423.
- Guillet, C., Prod'homme, M., Balage, M., Gachon, P., Giraudet, C., Morin, L., ... Boirie, Y. (2004). Impaired anabolic response of muscle protein synthesis is

associated with S6K1 dysregulation in elderly humans. *Faseb j, 18*(13), 1586-1587. doi: 10.1096/fj.03-1341fje

- Gwinn, D. M., Shackelford, D. B., Egan, D. F., Mihaylova, M. M., Mery, A., Vasquez, D. S., . . . Shaw, R. J. (2008). AMPK phosphorylation of raptor mediates a metabolic checkpoint. *Mol Cell*, 30(2), 214-226. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.03.003
- Harvey, J. A., Chastin, S. F., & Skelton, D. A. (2015). How Sedentary are Older People? A Systematic Review of the Amount of Sedentary Behavior. J Aging Phys Act, 23(3), 471-487. doi: 10.1123/japa.2014-0164
- He, C., Bassik, M. C., Moresi, V., Sun, K., Wei, Y., Zou, Z., . . . Levine, B. (2012). Exercise-induced BCL2-regulated autophagy is required for muscle glucose homeostasis. *Nature*, 481(7382), 511-515. doi: 10.1038/nature10758
- He, C., & Klionsky, D. J. (2009). Regulation mechanisms and signaling pathways of autophagy. Annu Rev Genet, 43, 67-93. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-102808-114910
- Hiebel, C., Kromm, T., Stark, M., & Behl, C. (2014). Cannabinoid receptor 1 modulates the autophagic flux independent of mTOR- and BECLIN1-complex. J Neurochem, 131(4), 484-497. doi: 10.1111/jnc.12839
- Hilton, T. N., Tuttle, L. J., Bohnert, K. L., Mueller, M. J., & Sinacore, D. R. (2008). Excessive adipose tissue infiltration in skeletal muscle in individuals with obesity, diabetes mellitus, and peripheral neuropathy: association with performance and function. *Phys Ther*, 88(11), 1336-1344. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20080079
- Hohn, A., Weber, D., Jung, T., Ott, C., Hugo, M., Kochlik, B., . . . Castro, J. P. (2017). Happily (n)ever after: Aging in the context of oxidative stress, proteostasis loss and cellular senescence. *Redox Biol*, 11, 482-501. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2016.12.001
- Holm, L., van Hall, G., Rose, A. J., Miller, B. F., Doessing, S., Richter, E. A., & Kjaer, M. (2010). Contraction intensity and feeding affect collagen and myofibrillar protein synthesis rates differently in human skeletal muscle. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 298(2), E257-269. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00609.2009
- Hughes, V. A., Frontera, W. R., Wood, M., Evans, W. J., Dallal, G. E., Roubenoff, R., & Fiatarone Singh, M. A. (2001). Longitudinal muscle strength changes in older adults: influence of muscle mass, physical activity, and health. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 56(5), B209-217.

- Ichimura, Y., Kominami, E., Tanaka, K., & Komatsu, M. (2008). Selective turnover of p62/A170/SQSTM1 by autophagy. *Autophagy*, *4*(8), 1063-1066.
- Iida, R. H., Kanko, S., Suga, T., Morito, M., & Yamane, A. (2011). Autophagiclysosomal pathway functions in the masseter and tongue muscles in the klotho mouse, a mouse model for aging. *Mol Cell Biochem*, 348(1-2), 89-98. doi: 10.1007/s11010-010-0642-z
- Inoki, K., Li, Y., Zhu, T., Wu, J., & Guan, K. L. (2002). TSC2 is phosphorylated and inhibited by Akt and suppresses mTOR signalling. *Nat Cell Biol*, *4*(9), 648-657. doi: 10.1038/ncb839
- Irving, B. A., Robinson, M. M., & Nair, K. S. (2012). Age effect on myocellular remodeling: response to exercise and nutrition in humans. *Ageing Res Rev*, 11(3), 374-389. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2011.11.001
- Jamart, C., Francaux, M., Millet, G. Y., Deldicque, L., Frere, D., & Feasson, L. (2012). Modulation of autophagy and ubiquitin-proteasome pathways during ultraendurance running. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 112*(9), 1529-1537. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00952.2011
- Jamart, C., Naslain, D., Gilson, H., & Francaux, M. (2013). Higher activation of autophagy in skeletal muscle of mice during endurance exercise in the fasted state. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 305(8), E964-974. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00270.2013
- Jewell, J. L., & Guan, K. L. (2013). Nutrient signaling to mTOR and cell growth. *Trends Biochem Sci*, *38*(5), 233-242. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2013.01.004
- Johansen, T., & Lamark, T. (2011). Selective autophagy mediated by autophagic adapter proteins. *Autophagy*, 7(3), 279-296.
- Johnson, S. C., Rabinovitch, P. S., & Kaeberlein, M. (2013). mTOR is a key modulator of ageing and age-related disease. *Nature*, 493(7432), 338-345. doi: 10.1038/nature11861
- Joseph, A. M., Adhihetty, P. J., Wawrzyniak, N. R., Wohlgemuth, S. E., Picca, A., Kujoth, G. C., . . . Leeuwenburgh, C. (2013). Dysregulation of mitochondrial quality control processes contribute to sarcopenia in a mouse model of premature aging. *PLoS One*, 8(7), e69327. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0069327
- Jung, C. H., Ro, S. H., Cao, J., Otto, N. M., & Kim, D. H. (2010). mTOR regulation of autophagy. FEBS Lett, 584(7), 1287-1295. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2010.01.017

- Juntunen, K. S., Niskanen, L. K., Liukkonen, K. H., Poutanen, K. S., Holst, J. J., & Mykkanen, H. M. (2002). Postprandial glucose, insulin, and incretin responses to grain products in healthy subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 75(2), 254-262.
- Kabeya, Y., Mizushima, N., Ueno, T., Yamamoto, A., Kirisako, T., Noda, T., . . . Yoshimori, T. (2000). LC3, a mammalian homologue of yeast Apg8p, is localized in autophagosome membranes after processing. *Embo j, 19*(21), 5720-5728. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.21.5720
- Kabeya, Y., Mizushima, N., Yamamoto, A., Oshitani-Okamoto, S., Ohsumi, Y., & Yoshimori, T. (2004). LC3, GABARAP and GATE16 localize to autophagosomal membrane depending on form-II formation. *J Cell Sci*, 117(Pt 13), 2805-2812. doi: 10.1242/jcs.01131
- Kadi, F., Charifi, N., Denis, C., & Lexell, J. (2004). Satellite cells and myonuclei in young and elderly women and men. *Muscle Nerve*, 29(1), 120-127. doi: 10.1002/mus.10510
- Kadowaki, M., & Karim, M. R. (2009). Cytosolic LC3 ratio as a quantitative index of macroautophagy. *Methods Enzymol*, 452, 199-213. doi: 10.1016/s0076-6879(08)03613-6
- Kapahi, P., Chen, D., Rogers, A. N., Katewa, S. D., Li, P. W., Thomas, E. L., & Kockel, L. (2010). With TOR, less is more: a key role for the conserved nutrient-sensing TOR pathway in aging. *Cell Metab*, 11(6), 453-465. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2010.05.001
- Karim, M. R., Kanazawa, T., Daigaku, Y., Fujimura, S., Miotto, G., & Kadowaki, M. (2007). Cytosolic LC3 ratio as a sensitive index of macroautophagy in isolated rat hepatocytes and H4-II-E cells. *Autophagy*, 3(6), 553-560.
- Katsanos, C. S., Kobayashi, H., Sheffield-Moore, M., Aarsland, A., & Wolfe, R. R. (2006). A high proportion of leucine is required for optimal stimulation of the rate of muscle protein synthesis by essential amino acids in the elderly. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 291(2), E381-387. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00488.2005
- Kaushik, S., & Cuervo, A. M. (2012). Chaperone-mediated autophagy: a unique way to enter the lysosome world. *Trends Cell Biol*, 22(8), 407-417. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2012.05.006
- Kim, D. H., Sarbassov, D. D., Ali, S. M., King, J. E., Latek, R. R., Erdjument-Bromage, H., . . . Sabatini, D. M. (2002). mTOR interacts with raptor to form a nutrientsensitive complex that signals to the cell growth machinery. *Cell*, 110(2), 163-175.

- Kim, I., Rodriguez-Enriquez, S., & Lemasters, J. J. (2007). Selective degradation of mitochondria by mitophagy. Arch Biochem Biophys, 462(2), 245-253. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2007.03.034
- Kim, J., Kundu, M., Viollet, B., & Guan, K. L. (2011). AMPK and mTOR regulate autophagy through direct phosphorylation of Ulk1. *Nat Cell Biol*, 13(2), 132-141. doi: 10.1038/ncb2152
- Kim, P. L., Staron, R. S., & Phillips, S. M. (2005). Fasted-state skeletal muscle protein synthesis after resistance exercise is altered with training. *J Physiol*, 568(Pt 1), 283-290. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2005.093708
- Kim, Y. A., Kim, Y. S., Oh, S. L., Kim, H. J., & Song, W. (2013). Autophagic response to exercise training in skeletal muscle with age. *J Physiol Biochem*, 69(4), 697-705. doi: 10.1007/s13105-013-0246-7
- Kim, Y. A., Kim, Y. S., & Song, W. (2012). Autophagic response to a single bout of moderate exercise in murine skeletal muscle. *J Physiol Biochem*, 68(2), 229-235. doi: 10.1007/s13105-011-0135-x
- Kimball, S. R., O'Malley, J. P., Anthony, J. C., Crozier, S. J., & Jefferson, L. S. (2004). Assessment of biomarkers of protein anabolism in skeletal muscle during the life span of the rat: sarcopenia despite elevated protein synthesis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 287(4), E772-780. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00535.2003
- Kirby, T. J., Lee, J. D., England, J. H., Chaillou, T., Esser, K. A., & McCarthy, J. J. (2015). Blunted hypertrophic response in aged skeletal muscle is associated with decreased ribosome biogenesis. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 119*(4), 321-327. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00296.2015
- Kirisako, T., Ichimura, Y., Okada, H., Kabeya, Y., Mizushima, N., Yoshimori, T., ... Ohsumi, Y. (2000). The reversible modification regulates the membrane-binding state of Apg8/Aut7 essential for autophagy and the cytoplasm to vacuole targeting pathway. J Cell Biol, 151(2), 263-276.
- Klionsky, D. J., Abdelmohsen, K., Abe, A., Abedin, M. J., Abeliovich, H., Acevedo Arozena, A., . . . Zughaier, S. M. (2016). Guidelines for the use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy (3rd edition). *Autophagy*, *12*(1), 1-222. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2015.1100356
- Komatsu, M., Kageyama, S., & Ichimura, Y. (2012). p62/SQSTM1/A170: physiology and pathology. *Pharmacol Res*, 66(6), 457-462. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2012.07.004
- Komatsu, M., Kurokawa, H., Waguri, S., Taguchi, K., Kobayashi, A., Ichimura, Y., . . . Yamamoto, M. (2010). The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the

stress responsive transcription factor Nrf2 through inactivation of Keap1. *Nat Cell Biol, 12*(3), 213-223. doi: 10.1038/ncb2021

- Komatsu, M., Waguri, S., Chiba, T., Murata, S., Iwata, J., Tanida, I., . . . Tanaka, K. (2006). Loss of autophagy in the central nervous system causes neurodegeneration in mice. *Nature*, 441(7095), 880-884. doi: 10.1038/nature04723
- Komatsu, M., Waguri, S., Koike, M., Sou, Y. S., Ueno, T., Hara, T., . . . Tanaka, K. (2007). Homeostatic levels of p62 control cytoplasmic inclusion body formation in autophagy-deficient mice. *Cell*, 131(6), 1149-1163. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.10.035
- Koopman, R., Beelen, M., Stellingwerff, T., Pennings, B., Saris, W. H., Kies, A. K., ... van Loon, L. J. (2007). Coingestion of carbohydrate with protein does not further augment postexercise muscle protein synthesis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 293(3), E833-842. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00135.2007
- Kortebein, P., Symons, T. B., Ferrando, A., Paddon-Jones, D., Ronsen, O., Protas, E., . . . Evans, W. J. (2008). Functional impact of 10 days of bed rest in healthy older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 63(10), 1076-1081.
- Kostek, M. C., & Delmonico, M. J. (2011). Age-related changes in adult muscle morphology. *Curr Aging Sci*, 4(3), 221-233.
- Kovacina, K. S., Park, G. Y., Bae, S. S., Guzzetta, A. W., Schaefer, E., Birnbaum, M. J., & Roth, R. A. (2003). Identification of a proline-rich Akt substrate as a 14-3-3 binding partner. *J Biol Chem*, 278(12), 10189-10194. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M210837200
- Kovacs, A. L., Rez, G., Palfia, Z., & Kovacs, J. (2000). Autophagy in the epithelial cells of murine seminal vesicle in vitro. Formation of large sheets of nascent isolation membranes, sequestration of the nucleus and inhibition by wortmannin and 3-ethyladenine. *Cell Tissue Res*, *302*(2), 253-261.
- Kuma, A., Hatano, M., Matsui, M., Yamamoto, A., Nakaya, H., Yoshimori, T., ... Mizushima, N. (2004). The role of autophagy during the early neonatal starvation period. *Nature*, 432(7020), 1032-1036. doi: 10.1038/nature03029
- Kumar, V., Atherton, P. J., Selby, A., Rankin, D., Williams, J., Smith, K., . . . Rennie, M. J. (2012). Muscle protein synthetic responses to exercise: effects of age, volume, and intensity. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 67(11), 1170-1177. doi: 10.1093/gerona/gls141
- Kumar, V., Selby, A., Rankin, D., Patel, R., Atherton, P., Hildebrandt, W., ... Rennie,M. J. (2009). Age-related differences in the dose-response relationship of muscle

protein synthesis to resistance exercise in young and old men. *J Physiol*, 587(1), 211-217. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2008.164483

- Kuusisto, E., Suuronen, T., & Salminen, A. (2001). Ubiquitin-binding protein p62 expression is induced during apoptosis and proteasomal inhibition in neuronal cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 280*(1), 223-228. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.2000.4107
- Kwon, I., Jang, Y., Cho, J. Y., Jang, Y. C., & Lee, Y. (2017). Long-term resistance exercise-induced muscular hypertrophy is associated with autophagy modulation in rats. *J Physiol Sci*. doi: 10.1007/s12576-017-0531-2
- Kwon, I., Lee, Y., Cosio-Lima, L. M., Cho, J. Y., & Yeom, D. C. (2015). Effects of long-term resistance exercise training on autophagy in rat skeletal muscle of chloroquine-induced sporadic inclusion body myositis. *J Exerc Nutrition Biochem*, 19(3), 225-234. doi: 10.5717/jenb.2015.15090710
- Kwon, J., Han, E., Bui, C. B., Shin, W., Lee, J., Lee, S., . . . Shin, J. (2012). Assurance of mitochondrial integrity and mammalian longevity by the p62-Keap1-Nrf2-Nq01 cascade. *EMBO Rep*, *13*(2), 150-156. doi: 10.1038/embor.2011.246
- Lapauw, B., Goemaere, S., Zmierczak, H., Van Pottelbergh, I., Mahmoud, A., Taes, Y., ... Kaufman, J. M. (2008). The decline of serum testosterone levels in community-dwelling men over 70 years of age: descriptive data and predictors of longitudinal changes. *Eur J Endocrinol*, 159(4), 459-468. doi: 10.1530/eje-07-0873
- Lauretani, F., Bandinelli, S., Bartali, B., Di Iorio, A., Giacomini, V., Corsi, A. M., . . . Ferrucci, L. (2006). Axonal degeneration affects muscle density in older men and women. *Neurobiol Aging*, 27(8), 1145-1154. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.06.009
- Lee, J. H., Budanov, A. V., Park, E. J., Birse, R., Kim, T. E., Perkins, G. A., ... Karin, M. (2010). Sestrin as a feedback inhibitor of TOR that prevents age-related pathologies. *Science*, 327(5970), 1223-1228. doi: 10.1126/science.1182228
- Lee, Y., Kang, E. B., Kwon, I., Cosio-Lima, L., Cavnar, P., & Javan, G. T. (2016). Cardiac Kinetophagy Coincides with Activation of Anabolic Signaling. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 48(2), 219-226. doi: 10.1249/mss.000000000000774
- Lee, You-Kyung, & Lee, Jin- A. (2016). Role of the mammalian ATG8/LC3 family in autophagy: differential and compensatory roles in the spatiotemporal regulation of autophagy. *BMB Reports*, 49(8), 424-430. doi: 10.5483/BMBRep.2016.49.8.081
- Leger, B., Derave, W., De Bock, K., Hespel, P., & Russell, A. P. (2008). Human sarcopenia reveals an increase in SOCS-3 and myostatin and a reduced efficiency of Akt phosphorylation. *Rejuvenation Res*, 11(1), 163-175B. doi: 10.1089/rej.2007.0588
- Lerner, C., Bitto, A., Pulliam, D., Nacarelli, T., Konigsberg, M., Van Remmen, H., ... Sell, C. (2013). Reduced mammalian target of rapamycin activity facilitates mitochondrial retrograde signaling and increases life span in normal human fibroblasts. *Aging Cell*, *12*(6), 966-977. doi: 10.1111/acel.12122
- Li, W. W., Li, J., & Bao, J. K. (2012). Microautophagy: lesser-known self-eating. *Cell Mol Life Sci*, 69(7), 1125-1136. doi: 10.1007/s00018-011-0865-5
- Li, Y., Corradetti, M. N., Inoki, K., & Guan, K. L. (2004). TSC2: filling the GAP in the mTOR signaling pathway. *Trends Biochem Sci*, 29(1), 32-38. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2003.11.007
- Lin, L., Hron, J. D., & Peng, S. L. (2004). Regulation of NF-kappaB, Th activation, and autoinflammation by the forkhead transcription factor Foxo3a. *Immunity*, 21(2), 203-213. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.06.016
- Lippai, M., & Szatmari, Z. (2017). Autophagy-from molecular mechanisms to clinical relevance. *Cell Biol Toxicol*, *33*(2), 145-168. doi: 10.1007/s10565-016-9374-5
- Lira, V. A., Okutsu, M., Zhang, M., Greene, N. P., Laker, R. C., Breen, D. S., . . . Yan, Z. (2013). Autophagy is required for exercise training-induced skeletal muscle adaptation and improvement of physical performance. *Faseb j*, 27(10), 4184-4193. doi: 10.1096/fj.13-228486
- Louis, E., Raue, U., Yang, Y., Jemiolo, B., & Trappe, S. (2007). Time course of proteolytic, cytokine, and myostatin gene expression after acute exercise in human skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 103*(5), 1744-1751. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00679.2007
- Louis, M., Poortmans, J. R., Francaux, M., Berre, J., Boisseau, N., Brassine, E., ... Rennie, M. J. (2003). No effect of creatine supplementation on human myofibrillar and sarcoplasmic protein synthesis after resistance exercise. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 285(5), E1089-1094. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00195.2003
- Luo, L., Lu, A. M., Wang, Y., Hong, A., Chen, Y., Hu, J., . . . Qin, Z. H. (2013). Chronic resistance training activates autophagy and reduces apoptosis of muscle cells by modulating IGF-1 and its receptors, Akt/mTOR and Akt/FOXO3a signaling in aged rats. *Exp Gerontol*, 48(4), 427-436. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2013.02.009

- Ma, X. M., Yoon, S. O., Richardson, C. J., Julich, K., & Blenis, J. (2008). SKAR links pre-mRNA splicing to mTOR/S6K1-mediated enhanced translation efficiency of spliced mRNAs. *Cell*, *133*(2), 303-313. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.02.031
- MacDougall, J. D., Gibala, M. J., Tarnopolsky, M. A., MacDonald, J. R., Interisano, S. A., & Yarasheski, K. E. (1995). The time course for elevated muscle protein synthesis following heavy resistance exercise. *Can J Appl Physiol*, 20(4), 480-486.
- Macnaughton, L. S., Wardle, S. L., Witard, O. C., McGlory, C., Hamilton, D. L., Jeromson, S., . . . Tipton, K. D. (2016). The response of muscle protein synthesis following whole-body resistance exercise is greater following 40 g than 20 g of ingested whey protein. *Physiol Rep*, 4(15). doi: 10.14814/phy2.12893
- Malafarina, V., Uriz-Otano, F., Iniesta, R., & Gil-Guerrero, L. (2013). Effectiveness of nutritional supplementation on muscle mass in treatment of sarcopenia in old age: a systematic review. J Am Med Dir Assoc, 14(1), 10-17. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2012.08.001
- Mammucari, C., Milan, G., Romanello, V., Masiero, E., Rudolf, R., Del Piccolo, P., . . . Sandri, M. (2007). FoxO3 controls autophagy in skeletal muscle in vivo. *Cell Metab*, 6(6), 458-471. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2007.11.001
- Marcotte, G. R., West, D. W., & Baar, K. (2015). The molecular basis for load-induced skeletal muscle hypertrophy. *Calcif Tissue Int*, *96*(3), 196-210. doi: 10.1007/s00223-014-9925-9
- Markofski, M. M., Dickinson, J. M., Drummond, M. J., Fry, C. S., Fujita, S., Gundermann, D. M., . . . Volpi, E. (2015). Effect of age on basal muscle protein synthesis and mTORC1 signaling in a large cohort of young and older men and women. *Exp Gerontol*, 65, 1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2015.02.015
- Martin, K. R., Koster, A., Murphy, R. A., Van Domelen, D. R., Hung, M. Y., Brychta, R. J., . . . Harris, T. B. (2014). Changes in daily activity patterns with age in U.S. men and women: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003-04 and 2005-06. J Am Geriatr Soc, 62(7), 1263-1271. doi: 10.1111/jgs.12893
- Martinez-Lopez, N., Athonvarangkul, D., & Singh, R. (2015). Autophagy and aging. *Adv Exp Med Biol*, 847, 73-87. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2404-2\_3
- Martins, R., Lithgow, G. J., & Link, W. (2016). Long live FOXO: unraveling the role of FOXO proteins in aging and longevity. *Aging Cell*, 15(2), 196-207. doi: 10.1111/acel.12427
- Maruzs, T., Lorincz, P., Szatmari, Z., Szeplaki, S., Sandor, Z., Lakatos, Z., ... Sass, M. (2015). Retromer Ensures the Degradation of Autophagic Cargo by Maintaining

Lysosome Function in Drosophila. *Traffic, 16*(10), 1088-1107. doi: 10.1111/tra.12309

- Masiero, E., Agatea, L., Mammucari, C., Blaauw, B., Loro, E., Komatsu, M., . . . Sandri, M. (2009). Autophagy is required to maintain muscle mass. *Cell Metab*, *10*(6), 507-515. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2009.10.008
- Mayhew, D. L., Kim, J. S., Cross, J. M., Ferrando, A. A., & Bamman, M. M. (2009). Translational signaling responses preceding resistance training-mediated myofiber hypertrophy in young and old humans. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 107*(5), 1655-1662. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.91234.2008
- McEwan, D. G., & Dikic, I. (2011). The Three Musketeers of Autophagy: phosphorylation, ubiquitylation and acetylation. *Trends Cell Biol*, 21(4), 195-201. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2010.12.006
- McGlory, C., Devries, M. C., & Phillips, S. M. (2017). Skeletal muscle and resistance exercise training; the role of protein synthesis in recovery and remodeling. J Appl Physiol (1985), 122(3), 541-548. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00613.2016
- McGlory, C., & Phillips, S. M. (2016). Amino Acids and Exercise. 67-78. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-802167-5.00006-2
- McNeil, C. J., Doherty, T. J., Stashuk, D. W., & Rice, C. L. (2005). Motor unit number estimates in the tibialis anterior muscle of young, old, and very old men. *Muscle Nerve*, *31*(4), 461-467. doi: 10.1002/mus.20276
- Mejias-Pena, Y., Estebanez, B., Rodriguez-Miguelez, P., Fernandez-Gonzalo, R., Almar, M., de Paz, J. A., . . . Cuevas, M. J. (2017). Impact of resistance training on the autophagy-inflammation-apoptosis crosstalk in elderly subjects. *Aging* (*Albany NY*), 9(2), 408-418. doi: 10.18632/aging.101167
- Meneilly, G. S., Elliot, T., Bryer-Ash, M., & Floras, J. S. (1995). Insulin-mediated increase in blood flow is impaired in the elderly. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 80(6), 1899-1903. doi: 10.1210/jcem.80.6.7775638
- Mihaylova, M. M., & Shaw, R. J. (2011). The AMPK signalling pathway coordinates cell growth, autophagy and metabolism. *Nat Cell Biol*, *13*(9), 1016-1023. doi: 10.1038/ncb2329
- Milan, G., Romanello, V., Pescatore, F., Armani, A., Paik, J. H., Frasson, L., . . . Sandri, M. (2015). Regulation of autophagy and the ubiquitin-proteasome system by the FoxO transcriptional network during muscle atrophy. *Nat Commun*, *6*, 6670. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7670

- Miller, B. F., Olesen, J. L., Hansen, M., Dossing, S., Crameri, R. M., Welling, R. J., ... Rennie, M. J. (2005). Coordinated collagen and muscle protein synthesis in human patella tendon and quadriceps muscle after exercise. *J Physiol*, 567(Pt 3), 1021-1033. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2005.093690
- Mitchell, C. J., Churchward-Venne, T. A., Cameron-Smith, D., & Phillips, S. M. (2015). Last Word on Viewpoint: What is the relationship between the acute muscle protein synthetic response and changes in muscle mass? *J Appl Physiol* (1985), 118(4), 503. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01056.2014
- Mittendorfer, B., Andersen, J. L., Plomgaard, P., Saltin, B., Babraj, J. A., Smith, K., & Rennie, M. J. (2005). Protein synthesis rates in human muscles: neither anatomical location nor fibre-type composition are major determinants. *J Physiol*, 563(Pt 1), 203-211. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2004.077180
- Miyazaki, M., McCarthy, J. J., Fedele, M. J., & Esser, K. A. (2011). Early activation of mTORC1 signalling in response to mechanical overload is independent of phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt signalling. *J Physiol*, 589(Pt 7), 1831-1846. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.205658
- Mizushima, N. (2004). Methods for monitoring autophagy. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*, 36(12), 2491-2502. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2004.02.005
- Mizushima, N., & Komatsu, M. (2011). Autophagy: renovation of cells and tissues. *Cell*, 147(4), 728-741. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.026
- Mizushima, N., Yamamoto, A., Hatano, M., Kobayashi, Y., Kabeya, Y., Suzuki, K., . . . Yoshimori, T. (2001). Dissection of autophagosome formation using Apg5deficient mouse embryonic stem cells. *J Cell Biol*, 152(4), 657-668.
- Mizushima, N., & Yoshimori, T. (2007). How to interpret LC3 immunoblotting. *Autophagy*, *3*(6), 542-545.
- Mizushima, N., Yoshimori, T., & Levine, B. (2010). Methods in mammalian autophagy research. *Cell*, *140*(3), 313-326. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.028
- Moller, A. B., Vendelbo, M. H., Christensen, B., Clasen, B. F., Bak, A. M., Jorgensen, J. O., . . . Jessen, N. (2015). Physical exercise increases autophagic signaling through ULK1 in human skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 118*(8), 971-979. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01116.2014
- Monico-Neto, M., Antunes, H. K., Lee, K. S., Phillips, S. M., Giampa, S. Q., Souza Hde, S., . . . de Mello, M. T. (2015). Resistance training minimizes catabolic effects induced by sleep deprivation in rats. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*, 40(11), 1143-1150. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2015-0061

- Moore, D. R., Churchward-Venne, T. A., Witard, O., Breen, L., Burd, N. A., Tipton, K. D., & Phillips, S. M. (2015). Protein ingestion to stimulate myofibrillar protein synthesis requires greater relative protein intakes in healthy older versus younger men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 70(1), 57-62. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glu103
- Moore, D. R., Robinson, M. J., Fry, J. L., Tang, J. E., Glover, E. I., Wilkinson, S. B., . . Phillips, S. M. (2009). Ingested protein dose response of muscle and albumin protein synthesis after resistance exercise in young men. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 89(1), 161-168. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2008.26401
- Moro, T., Ebert, S. M., Adams, C. M., & Rasmussen, B. B. (2016). Amino Acid Sensing in Skeletal Muscle. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*, 27(11), 796-806. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2016.06.010
- Morris, B. J. (2005). A forkhead in the road to longevity: the molecular basis of lifespan becomes clearer. *J Hypertens*, 23(7), 1285-1309.
- Moscat, J., & Diaz-Meco, M. T. (2011). Feedback on fat: p62-mTORC1-autophagy connections. *Cell*, *147*(4), 724-727. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.021
- Nakaso, K., Yoshimoto, Y., Nakano, T., Takeshima, T., Fukuhara, Y., Yasui, K., ... Nakashima, K. (2004). Transcriptional activation of p62/A170/ZIP during the formation of the aggregates: possible mechanisms and the role in Lewy body formation in Parkinson's disease. *Brain Res*, *1012*(1-2), 42-51. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2004.03.029
- Neel, B. A., Lin, Y., & Pessin, J. E. (2013). Skeletal muscle autophagy: a new metabolic regulator. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*, 24(12), 635-643. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2013.09.004
- Nezis, I. P., & Stenmark, H. (2012). p62 at the interface of autophagy, oxidative stress signaling, and cancer. *Antioxid Redox Signal*, *17*(5), 786-793. doi: 10.1089/ars.2011.4394
- Nilwik, R., Snijders, T., Leenders, M., Groen, B. B., van Kranenburg, J., Verdijk, L. B., & van Loon, L. J. (2013). The decline in skeletal muscle mass with aging is mainly attributed to a reduction in type II muscle fiber size. *Exp Gerontol*, 48(5), 492-498. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2013.02.012
- O'Leary, M. F., Vainshtein, A., Carter, H. N., Zhang, Y., & Hood, D. A. (2012). Denervation-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and autophagy in skeletal muscle of apoptosis-deficient animals. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*, 303(4), C447-454. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00451.2011

- Ochala, J., Frontera, W. R., Dorer, D. J., Van Hoecke, J., & Krivickas, L. S. (2007). Single skeletal muscle fiber elastic and contractile characteristics in young and older men. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 62(4), 375-381.
- Ogura, Y., Iemitsu, M., Naito, H., Kakigi, R., Kakehashi, C., Maeda, S., & Akema, T. (2011). Single bout of running exercise changes LC3-II expression in rat cardiac muscle. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 414(4), 756-760. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.09.152
- Overend, T. J., Cunningham, D. A., Paterson, D. H., & Lefcoe, M. S. (1992). Thigh composition in young and elderly men determined by computed tomography. *Clin Physiol*, *12*(6), 629-640.
- Paddon-Jones, D., Sheffield-Moore, M., Zhang, X. J., Volpi, E., Wolf, S. E., Aarsland, A., . . . Wolfe, R. R. (2004). Amino acid ingestion improves muscle protein synthesis in the young and elderly. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 286(3), E321-328. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00368.2003
- Pagano, A. F., Py, G., Bernardi, H., Candau, R. B., & Sanchez, A. M. (2014). Autophagy and protein turnover signaling in slow-twitch muscle during exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 46(7), 1314-1325. doi: 10.1249/mss.00000000000237
- Pallafacchina, G., Calabria, E., Serrano, A. L., Kalhovde, J. M., & Schiaffino, S. (2002). A protein kinase B-dependent and rapamycin-sensitive pathway controls skeletal muscle growth but not fiber type specification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 99(14), 9213-9218. doi: 10.1073/pnas.142166599
- Passtoors, W. M., Beekman, M., Deelen, J., van der Breggen, R., Maier, A. B., Guigas, B., . . . Slagboom, P. E. (2013). Gene expression analysis of mTOR pathway: association with human longevity. *Aging Cell*, 12(1), 24-31. doi: 10.1111/acel.12015
- Penna, F., Costamagna, D., Pin, F., Camperi, A., Fanzani, A., Chiarpotto, E. M., . . . Costelli, P. (2013). Autophagic degradation contributes to muscle wasting in cancer cachexia. *Am J Pathol*, 182(4), 1367-1378. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.12.023
- Pennings, B., Groen, B., de Lange, A., Gijsen, A. P., Zorenc, A. H., Senden, J. M., & van Loon, L. J. (2012). Amino acid absorption and subsequent muscle protein accretion following graded intakes of whey protein in elderly men. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 302(8), E992-999. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00517.2011
- Pennings, B., Koopman, R., Beelen, M., Senden, J. M., Saris, W. H., & van Loon, L. J. (2011). Exercising before protein intake allows for greater use of dietary protein-

derived amino acids for de novo muscle protein synthesis in both young and elderly men. Am J Clin Nutr, 93(2), 322-331. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.29649

- Phillips, B. E., Williams, J. P., Gustafsson, T., Bouchard, C., Rankinen, T., Knudsen, S., ... Atherton, P. J. (2013). Molecular networks of human muscle adaptation to exercise and age. *PLoS Genet*, 9(3), e1003389. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003389
- Phillips, S. M. (2004). Protein requirements and supplementation in strength sports. *Nutrition*, 20(7-8), 689-695. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2004.04.009
- Phillips, S. M. (2009). Physiologic and molecular bases of muscle hypertrophy and atrophy: impact of resistance exercise on human skeletal muscle (protein and exercise dose effects). *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*, 34(3), 403-410. doi: 10.1139/h09-042
- Phillips, S. M. (2015). Nutritional supplements in support of resistance exercise to counter age-related sarcopenia. Adv Nutr, 6(4), 452-460. doi: 10.3945/an.115.008367
- Phillips, S. M., Parise, G., Roy, B. D., Tipton, K. D., Wolfe, R. R., & Tamopolsky, M. A. (2002). Resistance-training-induced adaptations in skeletal muscle protein turnover in the fed state. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol*, 80(11), 1045-1053.
- Phillips, S. M., Tipton, K. D., Aarsland, A., Wolf, S. E., & Wolfe, R. R. (1997). Mixed muscle protein synthesis and breakdown after resistance exercise in humans. *Am J Physiol*, 273(1 Pt 1), E99-107.
- Phillips, S. M., Tipton, K. D., Ferrando, A. A., & Wolfe, R. R. (1999). Resistance training reduces the acute exercise-induced increase in muscle protein turnover. *Am J Physiol*, 276(1 Pt 1), E118-124.
- Pietrangelo, T., Puglielli, C., Mancinelli, R., Beccafico, S., Fano, G., & Fulle, S. (2009). Molecular basis of the myogenic profile of aged human skeletal muscle satellite cells during differentiation. *Exp Gerontol*, 44(8), 523-531. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2009.05.002
- Proud, C. G. (2007). Amino acids and mTOR signalling in anabolic function. *Biochem* Soc Trans, 35(Pt 5), 1187-1190. doi: 10.1042/bst0351187
- Rasmussen, B. B., Fujita, S., Wolfe, R. R., Mittendorfer, B., Roy, M., Rowe, V. L., & Volpi, E. (2006). Insulin resistance of muscle protein metabolism in aging. *Faseb j*, 20(6), 768-769. doi: 10.1096/fj.05-4607fje

- Raue, U., Slivka, D., Jemiolo, B., Hollon, C., & Trappe, S. (2007). Proteolytic gene expression differs at rest and after resistance exercise between young and old women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 62(12), 1407-1412.
- Ravikumar, B., Vacher, C., Berger, Z., Davies, J. E., Luo, S., Oroz, L. G., . . . Rubinsztein, D. C. (2004). Inhibition of mTOR induces autophagy and reduces toxicity of polyglutamine expansions in fly and mouse models of Huntington disease. *Nat Genet*, *36*(6), 585-595. doi: 10.1038/ng1362
- Reed, S. A., Sandesara, P. B., Senf, S. M., & Judge, A. R. (2012). Inhibition of FoxO transcriptional activity prevents muscle fiber atrophy during cachexia and induces hypertrophy. *Faseb j*, 26(3), 987-1000. doi: 10.1096/fj.11-189977
- Reid, K. F., & Fielding, R. A. (2012). Skeletal muscle power: a critical determinant of physical functioning in older adults. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*, 40(1), 4-12. doi: 10.1097/JES.0b013e31823b5f13
- Reidy, P. T., Borack, M. S., Markofski, M. M., Dickinson, J. M., Fry, C. S., Deer, R. R., ... Rasmussen, B. B. (2017). Post-absorptive muscle protein turnover affects resistance training hypertrophy. *Eur J Appl Physiol*, 117(5), 853-866. doi: 10.1007/s00421-017-3566-4
- Reidy, P. T., & Rasmussen, B. B. (2016). Role of Ingested Amino Acids and Protein in the Promotion of Resistance Exercise-Induced Muscle Protein Anabolism. J Nutr, 146(2), 155-183. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.203208
- Rennie, M. J. (2007). Exercise- and nutrient-controlled mechanisms involved in maintenance of the musculoskeletal mass. *Biochem Soc Trans*, 35(Pt 5), 1302-1305. doi: 10.1042/bst0351302
- Rennie, M. J., Wackerhage, H., Spangenburg, E. E., & Booth, F. W. (2004). Control of the size of the human muscle mass. *Annu Rev Physiol*, 66, 799-828. doi: 10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.052102.134444
- Rindom, E., & Vissing, K. (2016). Mechanosensitive Molecular Networks Involved in Transducing Resistance Exercise-Signals into Muscle Protein Accretion. *Front Physiol*, 7, 547. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00547
- Rommel, C., Bodine, S. C., Clarke, B. A., Rossman, R., Nunez, L., Stitt, T. N., ... Glass, D. J. (2001). Mediation of IGF-1-induced skeletal myotube hypertrophy by PI(3)K/Akt/mTOR and PI(3)K/Akt/GSK3 pathways. *Nat Cell Biol*, *3*(11), 1009-1013. doi: 10.1038/ncb1101-1009
- Roubenoff, R. (2000). Sarcopenia: a major modifiable cause of frailty in the elderly. J Nutr Health Aging, 4(3), 140-142.

- Rubinsztein, D. C., Cuervo, A. M., Ravikumar, B., Sarkar, S., Korolchuk, V., Kaushik, S., & Klionsky, D. J. (2009). In search of an "autophagomometer". *Autophagy*, 5(5), 585-589.
- Rubinsztein, D. C., Marino, G., & Kroemer, G. (2011). Autophagy and aging. *Cell*, *146*(5), 682-695. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.030
- Rudrappa, S. S., Wilkinson, D. J., Greenhaff, P. L., Smith, K., Idris, I., & Atherton, P. J. (2016). Human Skeletal Muscle Disuse Atrophy: Effects on Muscle Protein Synthesis, Breakdown, and Insulin Resistance-A Qualitative Review. *Front Physiol*, 7, 361. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00361
- Russ, D. W., Boyd, I. M., McCoy, K. M., & McCorkle, K. W. (2015). Musclespecificity of age-related changes in markers of autophagy and sphingolipid metabolism. *Biogerontology*, 16(6), 747-759. doi: 10.1007/s10522-015-9598-4
- Russ, D. W., Grandy, J. S., Toma, K., & Ward, C. W. (2011). Ageing, but not yet senescent, rats exhibit reduced muscle quality and sarcoplasmic reticulum function. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)*, 201(3), 391-403. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-1716.2010.02191.x
- Sakuma, K., Aoi, W., & Yamaguchi, A. (2017). Molecular mechanism of sarcopenia and cachexia: recent research advances. *Pflugers Arch.* doi: 10.1007/s00424-016-1933-3
- Sakuma, K., Kinoshita, M., Ito, Y., Aizawa, M., Aoi, W., & Yamaguchi, A. (2016). p62/SQSTM1 but not LC3 is accumulated in sarcopenic muscle of mice. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle, 7(2), 204-212. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12045
- Salminen, A., Kaarniranta, K., & Kauppinen, A. (2016). Age-related changes in AMPK activation: Role for AMPK phosphatases and inhibitory phosphorylation by upstream signaling pathways. *Ageing Res Rev, 28*, 15-26. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2016.04.003
- Salminen, A., & Vihko, V. (1984). Autophagic response to strenuous exercise in mouse skeletal muscle fibers. Virchows Arch B Cell Pathol Incl Mol Pathol, 45(1), 97-106.
- Sanchez, A. M., Csibi, A., Raibon, A., Cornille, K., Gay, S., Bernardi, H., & Candau, R. (2012). AMPK promotes skeletal muscle autophagy through activation of forkhead FoxO3a and interaction with Ulk1. *J Cell Biochem*, 113(2), 695-710. doi: 10.1002/jcb.23399
- Sandri, M. (2010a). Autophagy in health and disease. 3. Involvement of autophagy in muscle atrophy. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol, 298(6), C1291-1297. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00531.2009

- Sandri, M. (2010c). Autophagy in skeletal muscle. *FEBS Lett*, 584(7), 1411-1416. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2010.01.056
- Sandri, M. (2011). New findings of lysosomal proteolysis in skeletal muscle. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*, 14(3), 223-229. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e3283457a75
- Sandri, M., Barberi, L., Bijlsma, A. Y., Blaauw, B., Dyar, K. A., Milan, G., . . . Schiaffino, S. (2013). Signalling pathways regulating muscle mass in ageing skeletal muscle: the role of the IGF1-Akt-mTOR-FoxO pathway. *Biogerontology*, 14(3), 303-323. doi: 10.1007/s10522-013-9432-9
- Sandri, M., Sandri, C., Gilbert, A., Skurk, C., Calabria, E., Picard, A., . . . Goldberg, A. L. (2004). Foxo transcription factors induce the atrophy-related ubiquitin ligase atrogin-1 and cause skeletal muscle atrophy. *Cell*, 117(3), 399-412.
- Sarbassov, D. D., Guertin, D. A., Ali, S. M., & Sabatini, D. M. (2005). Phosphorylation and regulation of Akt/PKB by the rictor-mTOR complex. *Science*, 307(5712), 1098-1101. doi: 10.1126/science.1106148
- Satoo, K., Noda, N. N., Kumeta, H., Fujioka, Y., Mizushima, N., Ohsumi, Y., & Inagaki, F. (2009). The structure of Atg4B-LC3 complex reveals the mechanism of LC3 processing and delipidation during autophagy. *Embo j*, 28(9), 1341-1350. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.80
- Schiaffino, S., Dyar, K. A., Ciciliot, S., Blaauw, B., & Sandri, M. (2013). Mechanisms regulating skeletal muscle growth and atrophy. *FEBS J*, 280(17), 4294-4314. doi: 10.1111/febs.12253
- Schneider, J. L., & Cuervo, A. M. (2014). Liver autophagy: much more than just taking out the trash. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 11(3), 187-200. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2013.211
- Schrager, M. A., Metter, E. J., Simonsick, E., Ble, A., Bandinelli, S., Lauretani, F., & Ferrucci, L. (2007). Sarcopenic obesity and inflammation in the InCHIANTI study. J Appl Physiol (1985), 102(3), 919-925. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00627.2006
- Schwalm, C., Jamart, C., Benoit, N., Naslain, D., Premont, C., Prevet, J., . . . Francaux, M. (2015). Activation of autophagy in human skeletal muscle is dependent on exercise intensity and AMPK activation. *Faseb j*, 29(8), 3515-3526. doi: 10.1096/fj.14-267187
- Sebastian, D., Sorianello, E., Segales, J., Irazoki, A., Ruiz-Bonilla, V., Sala, D., . . . Zorzano, A. (2016). Mfn2 deficiency links age-related sarcopenia and impaired autophagy to activation of an adaptive mitophagy pathway. *Embo j, 35*(15), 1677-1693. doi: 10.15252/embj.201593084

- Settembre, C., Zoncu, R., Medina, D. L., Vetrini, F., Erdin, S., Erdin, S., . . . Ballabio, A. (2012). A lysosome-to-nucleus signalling mechanism senses and regulates the lysosome via mTOR and TFEB. *Embo j, 31*(5), 1095-1108. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2012.32
- Shad, B. J., Thompson, J. L., & Breen, L. (2016). Does the muscle protein synthetic response to exercise and amino acid-based nutrition diminish with advancing age? A systematic review. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 311(5), E803-E817. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00213.2016
- Shaid, S., Brandts, C. H., Serve, H., & Dikic, I. (2013). Ubiquitination and selective autophagy. *Cell Death Differ*, 20(1), 21-30. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2012.72
- Shang, L., Chen, S., Du, F., Li, S., Zhao, L., & Wang, X. (2011). Nutrient starvation elicits an acute autophagic response mediated by Ulk1 dephosphorylation and its subsequent dissociation from AMPK. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 108(12), 4788-4793. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1100844108
- Sharples, A. P., Hughes, D. C., Deane, C. S., Saini, A., Selman, C., & Stewart, C. E. (2015). Longevity and skeletal muscle mass: the role of IGF signalling, the sirtuins, dietary restriction and protein intake. *Aging Cell*, 14(4), 511-523. doi: 10.1111/acel.12342
- Sharples, A. P., Player, D. J., Martin, N. R., Mudera, V., Stewart, C. E., & Lewis, M. P. (2012). Modelling in vivo skeletal muscle ageing in vitro using threedimensional bioengineered constructs. *Aging Cell*, 11(6), 986-995. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2012.00869.x
- Sheffield-Moore, M., Paddon-Jones, D., Sanford, A. P., Rosenblatt, J. I., Matlock, A. G., Cree, M. G., & Wolfe, R. R. (2005). Mixed muscle and hepatic derived plasma protein metabolism is differentially regulated in older and younger men following resistance exercise. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 288(5), E922-929. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00358.2004
- Slagboom, P. E., Beekman, M., Passtoors, W. M., Deelen, J., Vaarhorst, A. A., Boer, J. M., . . . Westendorp, R. G. (2011). Genomics of human longevity. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 366(1561), 35-42. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0284
- Smith, G. I., Atherton, P., Villareal, D. T., Frimel, T. N., Rankin, D., Rennie, M. J., & Mittendorfer, B. (2008). Differences in muscle protein synthesis and anabolic signaling in the postabsorptive state and in response to food in 65-80 year old men and women. *PLoS One*, 3(3), e1875. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001875
- Smith, G. I., & Mittendorfer, B. (2016). Sexual dimorphism in skeletal muscle protein turnover. J Appl Physiol (1985), 120(6), 674-682. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00625.2015

- Smith, G. I., Reeds, D. N., Hall, A. M., Chambers, K. T., Finck, B. N., & Mittendorfer, B. (2012). Sexually dimorphic effect of aging on skeletal muscle protein synthesis. *Biol Sex Differ*, 3(1), 11. doi: 10.1186/2042-6410-3-11
- Smith, G. I., Yoshino, J., Reeds, D. N., Bradley, D., Burrows, R. E., Heisey, H. D., . . . Mittendorfer, B. (2014). Testosterone and progesterone, but not estradiol, stimulate muscle protein synthesis in postmenopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 99(1), 256-265. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-2835
- Smith, K., Barua, J. M., Watt, P. W., Scrimgeour, C. M., & Rennie, M. J. (1992). Flooding with L-[1-13C]leucine stimulates human muscle protein incorporation of continuously infused L-[1-13C]valine. Am J Physiol, 262(3 Pt 1), E372-376.
- Smith, K., Reynolds, N., Downie, S., Patel, A., & Rennie, M. J. (1998). Effects of flooding amino acids on incorporation of labeled amino acids into human muscle protein. *Am J Physiol*, 275(1 Pt 1), E73-78.
- Smuder, A. J., Kavazis, A. N., Min, K., & Powers, S. K. (2011). Exercise protects against doxorubicin-induced oxidative stress and proteolysis in skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 110*(4), 935-942. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00677.2010
- Staples, A. W., Burd, N. A., West, D. W., Currie, K. D., Atherton, P. J., Moore, D. R., . . Phillips, S. M. (2011). Carbohydrate does not augment exercise-induced protein accretion versus protein alone. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 43(7), 1154-1161. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31820751cb
- Stefanetti, R. J., Lamon, S., Wallace, M., Vendelbo, M. H., Russell, A. P., & Vissing, K. (2015). Regulation of ubiquitin proteasome pathway molecular markers in response to endurance and resistance exercise and training. *Pflugers Arch*, 467(7), 1523-1537. doi: 10.1007/s00424-014-1587-y
- Stefanetti, R. J., Zacharewicz, E., Della Gatta, P., Garnham, A., Russell, A. P., & Lamon, S. (2014). Ageing has no effect on the regulation of the ubiquitin proteasome-related genes and proteins following resistance exercise. *Front Physiol*, 5, 30. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2014.00030
- Stephens, F. B., Chee, C., Wall, B. T., Murton, A. J., Shannon, C. E., van Loon, L. J., & Tsintzas, K. (2015). Lipid-induced insulin resistance is associated with an impaired skeletal muscle protein synthetic response to amino acid ingestion in healthy young men. *Diabetes*, 64(5), 1615-1620. doi: 10.2337/db14-0961
- Stipanuk, M. H. (2007). Leucine and protein synthesis: mTOR and beyond. *Nutr Rev,* 65(3), 122-129.
- Stitt, T. N., Drujan, D., Clarke, B. A., Panaro, F., Timofeyva, Y., Kline, W. O., ... Glass, D. J. (2004). The IGF-1/PI3K/Akt pathway prevents expression of muscle

atrophy-induced ubiquitin ligases by inhibiting FOXO transcription factors. *Mol Cell*, *14*(3), 395-403.

- Symons, T. B., Sheffield-Moore, M., Wolfe, R. R., & Paddon-Jones, D. (2009). A moderate serving of high-quality protein maximally stimulates skeletal muscle protein synthesis in young and elderly subjects. *J Am Diet Assoc*, 109(9), 1582-1586. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2009.06.369
- Tam, B. T., & Siu, P. M. (2014). Autophagic cellular responses to physical exercise in skeletal muscle. Sports Med, 44(5), 625-640. doi: 10.1007/s40279-013-0140-z
- Tang, J. E., Perco, J. G., Moore, D. R., Wilkinson, S. B., & Phillips, S. M. (2008). Resistance training alters the response of fed state mixed muscle protein synthesis in young men. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 294(1), R172-178. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00636.2007
- Tanida, I. (2011). Autophagy basics. *Microbiol Immunol*, 55(1), 1-11. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2010.00271.x
- Tanida, I., Sou, Y. S., Ezaki, J., Minematsu-Ikeguchi, N., Ueno, T., & Kominami, E. (2004). HsAtg4B/HsApg4B/autophagin-1 cleaves the carboxyl termini of three human Atg8 homologues and delipidates microtubule-associated protein light chain 3- and GABAA receptor-associated protein-phospholipid conjugates. J Biol Chem, 279(35), 36268-36276. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M401461200
- Taniguchi, K., Yamachika, S., He, F., & Karin, M. (2016). p62/SQSTM1-Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde that prevents oxidative stress but promotes liver cancer. *FEBS Lett*, 590(15), 2375-2397. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12301
- Tanner, R. E., Brunker, L. B., Agergaard, J., Barrows, K. M., Briggs, R. A., Kwon, O. S., . . . Drummond, M. J. (2015). Age-related differences in lean mass, protein synthesis and skeletal muscle markers of proteolysis after bed rest and exercise rehabilitation. *J Physiol*, 593(18), 4259-4273. doi: 10.1113/jp270699
- Tassa, A., Roux, M. P., Attaix, D., & Bechet, D. M. (2003). Class III phosphoinositide 3-kinase--Beclin1 complex mediates the amino acid-dependent regulation of autophagy in C2C12 myotubes. *Biochem J*, 376(Pt 3), 577-586. doi: 10.1042/bj20030826
- Tatti, M., Motta, M., Di Bartolomeo, S., Scarpa, S., Cianfanelli, V., Cecconi, F., & Salvioli, R. (2012). Reduced cathepsins B and D cause impaired autophagic degradation that can be almost completely restored by overexpression of these two proteases in Sap C-deficient fibroblasts. *Hum Mol Genet*, 21(23), 5159-5173. doi: 10.1093/hmg/dds367

- Terada, N., Patel, H. R., Takase, K., Kohno, K., Nairn, A. C., & Gelfand, E. W. (1994). Rapamycin selectively inhibits translation of mRNAs encoding elongation factors and ribosomal proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 91(24), 11477-11481.
- Tieland, M., Borgonjen-Van den Berg, K. J., van Loon, L. J., & de Groot, L. C. (2012). Dietary protein intake in community-dwelling, frail, and institutionalized elderly people: scope for improvement. *Eur J Nutr*, 51(2), 173-179. doi: 10.1007/s00394-011-0203-6
- Tipton, K. D., Gurkin, B. E., Matin, S., & Wolfe, R. R. (1999). Nonessential amino acids are not necessary to stimulate net muscle protein synthesis in healthy volunteers. *J Nutr Biochem*, *10*(2), 89-95.
- Tran, H., Brunet, A., Griffith, E. C., & Greenberg, M. E. (2003). The many forks in FOXO's road. *Sci STKE*, 2003(172), RE5. doi: 10.1126/stke.2003.172.re5
- Trommelen, J., Groen, B. B., Hamer, H. M., de Groot, L. C., & van Loon, L. J. (2015). MECHANISMS IN ENDOCRINOLOGY: Exogenous insulin does not increase muscle protein synthesis rate when administered systemically: a systematic review. *Eur J Endocrinol*, 173(1), R25-34. doi: 10.1530/eje-14-0902
- Tsai, S., Sitzmann, J. M., Dastidar, S. G., Rodriguez, A. A., Vu, S. L., McDonald, C. E., ... Kennedy, B. K. (2015). Muscle-specific 4E-BP1 signaling activation improves metabolic parameters during aging and obesity. *J Clin Invest*, 125(8), 2952-2964. doi: 10.1172/jci77361
- Ulbricht, A., Gehlert, S., Leciejewski, B., Schiffer, T., Bloch, W., & Hohfeld, J. (2015). Induction and adaptation of chaperone-assisted selective autophagy CASA in response to resistance exercise in human skeletal muscle. *Autophagy*, *11*(3), 538-546. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2015.1017186
- Vainshtein, A., Grumati, P., Sandri, M., & Bonaldo, P. (2014). Skeletal muscle, autophagy, and physical activity: the menage a trois of metabolic regulation in health and disease. *J Mol Med (Berl)*, 92(2), 127-137. doi: 10.1007/s00109-013-1096-z
- Vainshtein, A., & Hood, D. A. (2016). The regulation of autophagy during exercise in skeletal muscle. J Appl Physiol (1985), 120(6), 664-673. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00550.2015
- van der Vos, K. E., Eliasson, P., Proikas-Cezanne, T., Vervoort, S. J., van Boxtel, R., Putker, M., . . . Coffer, P. J. (2012). Modulation of glutamine metabolism by the PI(3)K-PKB-FOXO network regulates autophagy. *Nat Cell Biol*, 14(8), 829-837. doi: 10.1038/ncb2536

- Vendelbo, M. H., Moller, A. B., Christensen, B., Nellemann, B., Clasen, B. F., Nair, K. S., . . . Moller, N. (2014). Fasting increases human skeletal muscle net phenylalanine release and this is associated with decreased mTOR signaling. *PLoS One*, 9(7), e102031. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102031
- Verdijk, L. B., Koopman, R., Schaart, G., Meijer, K., Savelberg, H. H., & van Loon, L. J. (2007). Satellite cell content is specifically reduced in type II skeletal muscle fibers in the elderly. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 292(1), E151-157. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00278.2006
- Visser, M., Goodpaster, B. H., Kritchevsky, S. B., Newman, A. B., Nevitt, M., Rubin, S. M., . . . Harris, T. B. (2005). Muscle mass, muscle strength, and muscle fat infiltration as predictors of incident mobility limitations in well-functioning older persons. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 60(3), 324-333.
- Vissing, K., McGee, S., Farup, J., Kjolhede, T., Vendelbo, M., & Jessen, N. (2013). Differentiated mTOR but not AMPK signaling after strength vs endurance exercise in training-accustomed individuals. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*, 23(3), 355-366. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2011.01395.x
- Volpi, E., Mittendorfer, B., Rasmussen, B. B., & Wolfe, R. R. (2000). The response of muscle protein anabolism to combined hyperaminoacidemia and glucoseinduced hyperinsulinemia is impaired in the elderly. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 85(12), 4481-4490. doi: 10.1210/jcem.85.12.7021
- Volpi, E., Mittendorfer, B., Wolf, S. E., & Wolfe, R. R. (1999). Oral amino acids stimulate muscle protein anabolism in the elderly despite higher first-pass splanchnic extraction. Am J Physiol, 277(3 Pt 1), E513-520.
- von Haehling, S., Morley, J. E., & Anker, S. D. (2010). An overview of sarcopenia: facts and numbers on prevalence and clinical impact. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*, 1(2), 129-133. doi: 10.1007/s13539-010-0014-2
- Waddell, D. S., Baehr, L. M., van den Brandt, J., Johnsen, S. A., Reichardt, H. M., Furlow, J. D., & Bodine, S. C. (2008). The glucocorticoid receptor and FOXO1 synergistically activate the skeletal muscle atrophy-associated MuRF1 gene. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 295(4), E785-797. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00646.2007
- Wall, B. T., Dirks, M. L., Snijders, T., van Dijk, J. W., Fritsch, M., Verdijk, L. B., & van Loon, L. J. (2016). Short-term muscle disuse lowers myofibrillar protein synthesis rates and induces anabolic resistance to protein ingestion. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 310(2), E137-147. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00227.2015

- Wang, X., Li, W., Williams, M., Terada, N., Alessi, D. R., & Proud, C. G. (2001).
  Regulation of elongation factor 2 kinase by p90(RSK1) and p70 S6 kinase. *Embo j*, 20(16), 4370-4379. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.16.4370
- Welle, S., Thornton, C., Jozefowicz, R., & Statt, M. (1993). Myofibrillar protein synthesis in young and old men. *Am J Physiol*, 264(5 Pt 1), E693-698.
- Welle, S., Thornton, C., & Statt, M. (1995). Myofibrillar protein synthesis in young and old human subjects after three months of resistance training. *Am J Physiol*, 268(3 Pt 1), E422-427.
- Welsh, G. I., Stokes, C. M., Wang, X., Sakaue, H., Ogawa, W., Kasuga, M., & Proud, C. G. (1997). Activation of translation initiation factor eIF2B by insulin requires phosphatidyl inositol 3-kinase. *FEBS Lett*, 410(2-3), 418-422.
- Wilkes, E. A., Selby, A. L., Atherton, P. J., Patel, R., Rankin, D., Smith, K., & Rennie, M. J. (2009). Blunting of insulin inhibition of proteolysis in legs of older subjects may contribute to age-related sarcopenia. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 90(5), 1343-1350. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.27543
- Wilkinson, D. J., Franchi, M. V., Brook, M. S., Narici, M. V., Williams, J. P., Mitchell, W. K., . . . Smith, K. (2014). A validation of the application of D(2)O stable isotope tracer techniques for monitoring day-to-day changes in muscle protein subfraction synthesis in humans. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 306(5), E571-579. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00650.2013
- Wilkinson, S. B., Phillips, S. M., Atherton, P. J., Patel, R., Yarasheski, K. E., Tarnopolsky, M. A., & Rennie, M. J. (2008). Differential effects of resistance and endurance exercise in the fed state on signalling molecule phosphorylation and protein synthesis in human muscle. *J Physiol*, 586(15), 3701-3717. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2008.153916
- Williamson, D. L., Raue, U., Slivka, D. R., & Trappe, S. (2010). Resistance exercise, skeletal muscle FOXO3A, and 85-year-old women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 65(4), 335-343. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glq005
- Witard, O. C., Jackman, S. R., Breen, L., Smith, K., Selby, A., & Tipton, K. D. (2014). Myofibrillar muscle protein synthesis rates subsequent to a meal in response to increasing doses of whey protein at rest and after resistance exercise. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 99(1), 86-95. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.055517
- Witard, O. C., McGlory, C., Hamilton, D. L., & Phillips, S. M. (2016). Growing older with health and vitality: a nexus of physical activity, exercise and nutrition. *Biogerontology*, 17(3), 529-546. doi: 10.1007/s10522-016-9637-9

- Wohlgemuth, S. E., Seo, A. Y., Marzetti, E., Lees, H. A., & Leeuwenburgh, C. (2010). Skeletal muscle autophagy and apoptosis during aging: effects of calorie restriction and life-long exercise. *Exp Gerontol*, 45(2), 138-148. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2009.11.002
- Wolfe, R. R. (2006). The underappreciated role of muscle in health and disease. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 84(3), 475-482.
- Yan, X., Sun, Q., Ji, J., Zhu, Y., Liu, Z., & Zhong, Q. (2012). Reconstitution of leucinemediated autophagy via the mTORC1-Barkor pathway in vitro. *Autophagy*, 8(2), 213-221. doi: 10.4161/auto.8.2.18563
- Yang, Y., Breen, L., Burd, N. A., Hector, A. J., Churchward-Venne, T. A., Josse, A. R., . . . Phillips, S. M. (2012). Resistance exercise enhances myofibrillar protein synthesis with graded intakes of whey protein in older men. *Br J Nutr*, *108*(10), 1780-1788. doi: 10.1017/s0007114511007422
- Yang, Z., & Ming, X. F. (2012). mTOR signalling: the molecular interface connecting metabolic stress, aging and cardiovascular diseases. *Obes Rev, 13 Suppl 2*, 58-68. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2012.01038.x
- Yarasheski, K. E., Zachwieja, J. J., & Bier, D. M. (1993). Acute effects of resistance exercise on muscle protein synthesis rate in young and elderly men and women. *Am J Physiol*, 265(2 Pt 1), E210-214.
- Yorimitsu, T., & Klionsky, D. J. (2005). Autophagy: molecular machinery for selfeating. Cell Death Differ, 12 Suppl 2, 1542-1552. doi: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4401765
- Yu, F., Hedstrom, M., Cristea, A., Dalen, N., & Larsson, L. (2007). Effects of ageing and gender on contractile properties in human skeletal muscle and single fibres. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)*, 190(3), 229-241. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-1716.2007.01699.x
- Zhao, J., Brault, J. J., Schild, A., Cao, P., Sandri, M., Schiaffino, S., . . . Goldberg, A. L. (2007). FoxO3 coordinately activates protein degradation by the autophagic/lysosomal and proteasomal pathways in atrophying muscle cells. *Cell Metab*, 6(6), 472-483. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2007.11.004
- Zhao, J., Brault, J. J., Schild, A., & Goldberg, A. L. (2008). Coordinate activation of autophagy and the proteasome pathway by FoxO transcription factor. *Autophagy*, 4(3), 378-380.
- Zoncu, R., Efeyan, A., & Sabatini, D. M. (2011). mTOR: from growth signal integration to cancer, diabetes and ageing. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 12(1), 21-35. doi: 10.1038/nrm3025

# **Overview of tables**

<b>Table 2.1:</b> Examples from the literature of rates of muscle protein synthesis captured 2         4 h following resistance exercise	2- 13
Table 5.1: Baseline characteristics of the participants included in this study.         3	31
Table 5.2: Summary of inclusion and exclusion criteria       and a second	32
Table 5.3: Baseline characteristics of the two frail elderly groups; RE+PRO and PRO.	33
Table 5.4: Overview of primary and secondary antibodies.       3	37
<b>Table 6.1:</b> Overview of the protein results for the different groups. $\leftrightarrow$ =No change. $\uparrow$ =Significant increase (p<0.05). $\downarrow$ =Significant decrease (p<0.05). $\nearrow$ =Nonsignificant increase (p<0.10). $\searrow$ =Nonsignificant decrease (p<0.10)	45

# **Overview of figures**

**Figure 6.1:** LC3-II/I ratio at baseline in cytosol fraction (A), and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. Y: Young, E: Elderly, FE: Frail elderly. #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05).......38

 **Figure 6.5:** Percent change in p62 from baseline in cytosol fraction (A), and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. Y: Young, E: Elderly, FE: Frail elderly. #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05)....41

**Figure 6.8:** Change in LC3-II/I ratio from baseline in cytosol fraction (A) and membrane fraction (B). Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. RE+PRO: resistance exercise and protein supplement, PRO: protein supplement only, #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05)......43

**Figure 6.9:** Percent change in LC3-II from baseline in the membrane fraction. Baseline levels are illustrated with a dotted line. RE+PRO: resistance exercise and protein supplement, PRO: protein supplement only, #: difference between groups (P<0.05);\*: different from pre (P<0.05)......43

# Abbreviations

4E-BP1	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein 1
Akt/PKB	Protein kinase B
АМРК	Adenosine monophosphat-activated protein kinase
Atg	Autophagy-related gene or protein
Atrogin-1	muscle atrophy F-box
BMI	Body mass index
DXA	Dual X-ray absorptiometry
EEA	Essential amino acids
eEF2K	Eukaryotic elongation factor 2 kinase
eEF2B	Eukaryotic initiation factor 2B
eIF4A	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4A
eIF4E	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E
eIF4F	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4F
eIF4G	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4G
FoxO3	Forkhead box O transcription factor 3
IMVC	Isometric maximum voluntary contraction
LBM	Lean body mass
LC3	Microtubule-associated protein light chain 3
LLM	Lean leg mass
MPB	Muscle protein breakdown
MPS	Muscle protein synthesis
mRNA	Messenger ribo nuclei acid
mTOR	Mammalian/mechanistic target of rapamycin
MuRF1	Muscle specific RING finger 1
NFκB	Nuclear factor kappa B

P62/SQSTM1	Sequestosome 1
P70S6k	70-kD S6 protein kinase
PI3K	Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase
RM	Repetition maximum
TSC1/2	Tuberous sclerosis 1/2
UPS	Ubiquitin-proteasome system
ULK1	Unc-51 like Autophagy Activating Kinase 1



FORESPØRSEL OM DELTAKELSE I FORSKNINGSPROSJEKTET

# STYRKETRENING FOR ELDRE MED LAVT FUNKSJONSNIVÅ

Dette er et spørsmål til deg om å delta i et forskningsprosjekt hvor vi ønsker å undersøke effekten av et enkelt og tidseffektivt styrketreningsopplegg sammen med proteinsupplementering på muskelmasse, muskelstyrke, muskelkvalitet og fysisk prestasjonsevne hos eldre med lavt funksjonsnivå.

Med økende alder ser man en gradvis reduksjon i både muskelmasse og muskelstyrke, men tapet av muskelstyrke er større enn tapet av muskelmasse. Som et resultat reduseres muskelkvaliteten med økende alder (definert som muskelstyrke/muskeltverrsnitt). Ved styrketrening er utviklingen den motsatte; muskelstyrken øker vesentlig mer enn muskelmassen, og muskelkvaliteten økes. Dette er spesielt tydelig hos eldre personer som i utgangspunktet har lav muskelstyrke. Vi vet likevel lite om det relative bidraget fra de ulike faktorene som kan tenkes å påvirke muskelkvaliteten ved styrketrening. Vi ønsker derfor å rekruttere eldre med lav muskelstyrke til en studie hvor vi undersøker endringer i muskelkvalitet som følge av styrketrening og proteinsupplementering. Norges idrettshøgskole er ansvarlig for gjennomføring av prosjektet, og de fleste tester vil gjennomføres her. All styrketrening gjennomføres på ditt sykehjem/dagsenter eller i nærheten av din omsorgsbolig.

## HVA INNEBÆRER PROSJEKTET?

Dette er en randomisert kontrollert studie. Det betyr at du trekkes tilfeldig til en av to grupper. Den ene gruppen skal gjennomføre styrketrening to ganger per uke i 10 uker, og innta to enheter Tine Styrk (0.33 ml) daglig gjennom perioden. Den andre gruppen skal innta samme mengde Tine Styrk, men ikke gjennomføre styrketrening. På denne måten kan vi sammenligne effekten av økt proteininntak alene og økt proteininntak i kombinasjon med styrketrening. Dersom du trekkes til treningsgruppen, vil all trening finne sted på ditt sykehjem, dagsenter, eller like i nærheten av der du bor. <u>Før</u> og <u>etter</u> intervensjonsperioden vil det gjennomføres ulike tester ved Norges idrettshøgskole.

#### Tester på sykehjemmet/omsorgsboligen

For å vurdere hvorvidt du kan inkluderes som forsøksperson i denne studien, vil vi gjennomføre noen tester der du holder til. Vi kommer til å måle høyde og vekt, blodtrykk og blodprofil (fingerstikk). I tillegg kommer vi til å gjennomføre ulike funksjonelle tester, hvor vi måler balanse, ganghastighet, og hvor raskt du kan reise deg opp fra en stol. Vi vil også gjennomføre en enkel test for å måle grepstyrke. Før du inkluderes som deltaker vil du også måtte besvare et spørreskjema omhandlende hjerteproblematikk, medisinbruk med mer. På bakgrunn av dine svar her vil vi vurdere hvorvidt en legeundersøkelse skal gjennomføres før du eventuelt inkluderes i studien. Vi vil også gjennomføre en test som evaluerer kognitiv funksjon (enkle tester på forståelse av ulike oppgaver). Både funksjonelle tester, kognitiv test, og en eventuell legesjekk vil avgjøre hvorvidt du kan inkluderes i studien eller ikke.

#### Tester på Norges idrettshøgskole

Dersom du blir inkludert i prosjektet skal du møte på Norges idrettshøgskole tre ganger før treningsperioden og to ganger etter treningsperioden. Vi vil bistå med transport. Hvert oppmøte vil vare i 2-5 timer, og du skal <u>møte fastende (ikke spise frokost før du ankommer)</u>. Tidspunkter for de ulike testdagene avtales individuelt. Felles for alle testdager er at du må <u>avstå fra fysisk trening de siste to</u> <u>dagene før testing.</u>

<u>Testdag 1</u> gjennomføres den første gangen du kommer til Norges idrettshøgskole. Denne testdagen tar omtrent 3 timer å gjennomføre, og du skal møte fastende. Vi vil bistå med transport til og fra Norges idrettshøgskole.

- *DXA:* En DXA-analyse vil gjennomføres for å måle kroppssammensetningen din. Denne testen innebærer at man ligger stille i ca. 10 minutter. Tas fastende.
  - Frokost
- Kostholdsintervju
- *Ultralyd:* For å måle tverrsnitt og arkitektur av *m. quadriceps femoris,* en muskelgruppe på fremsiden av låret.
- *Muskelfunksjonstest:* Gir et mål på styrke og eksplosivitet i musklene som strekker kneleddet.
- *Voluntær muskelaktivering:* For å undersøke i hvor stor grad du greier å aktivere muskulaturen når du tar i alt du kan.
- *1RM:* Maksimal styrke i øvelsene beinpress og kneekstensjon.
- *Funksjonelle tester:* For å teste hvor raskt du kan reise seg fra en stol fem ganger på rad, samt hvor raskt du kan gå opp en trapp. Gir informasjon om funksjon i hverdagen og mobilitet. I tillegg måler vi vanlig og maksimal ganghastighet.

<u>Testdag 2</u> gjennomføres andre gang du møter på Norges idrettshøgskole, og denne gangen trenger du ikke møte fastende. Denne dagen skal du gjennomføre de samme testene som du gjennomførte testdag 1, unntatt DXA. Årsaken til at mange av testene gjennomføres to ganger er at noen av testene krever litt tilvenning/trening, og ved å gjennomføre disse to ganger er det større sannsynlighet for at resultatene blir riktige. Testdag 2 vil ta omtrent 2,5 timer å gjennomføre. <u>Testdag 3</u> gjennomføres også på Norges idrettshøgskole. Denne dagen skal du ta muskelbiopsier og blodprøver før og etter en styrketreningsøkt (dersom du trekkes til treningsgruppen). Dersom du trekkes til gruppen som bare får proteinsupplementering, skal du gjennomføre alle testene som er oppført nedenfor, med unntak av treningsøkten. Også denne dagen <u>skal du møte fastende</u>, men i likhet med testdag 1 vil du få frokost etter å ha gjennomført de første testene. Nedenfor følger en oversikt over testdagen, som tar 4-5 timer å gjennomføre. Vi vil bistå med transport til og fra Norges idrettshøgskole.

- Blodprøve (fastende)
- Standardisert frokost (havregrøt)
- Muskelbiopsi fra ytre lårmuskel
- Styrketreningsøkt med øvelsene beinpress og kneekstensjon (gjelder bare treningsgruppen)
- Inntak av 0,33 ml Tine Styrk
- Muskelbiopsi fra ytre lårmuskel

Du skal totalt ta to muskelbiopsier denne dagen, men begge biopsiene vil bli tatt fra det samme snittet i huden. Muskelbiopsiene tas ut på følgende måte:

- Huden og bindevevet lokalbedøves der vevsprøven skal tas.
- Et snitt på ca. 1-2 cm gjøres gjennom hud og bindevevet over muskelen.
- En nål med diameter på 6 mm føres inn (2-3 cm) og 1-3 små biter av muskulaturen tas ut (total 200-300 mg muskelvev).
- Snittet lukkes med tape (strips).

#### CT på Currato røntgen

I tillegg til testdagene på Norges idrettshøgskole, skal du gjennomføre en CT-undersøkelse ved Currato røntgen (Oslo sentrum) både før og etter intervensjonsperioden. Hensikten med denne undersøkelsen er å måle tverrsnittet av lårmusklene dine. CT-bildene gir oss i tillegg muligheten til å undersøke grad av fettinfiltrering i muskulaturen. Denne undersøkelsen tar omtrent en halv time. Vi vil bistå med transport.

#### Muskelproteinnedbrytning

Vi ønsker å måle muskelproteinnedbrytning hos et utvalg av forsøkspersonene. Disse målingene gjøres ved hjelp av dobbeltmerket vann (<sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O), og forutsetter en ekstra muskelbiopsi mot slutten av intervensjonsperioden. Tre uker før intervensjonsperioden starter, skal du drikke en bestemt mengde dobbeltmerket vann (ca. 250 mL) utblandet i vanlig vann (ca. 100 mL). På denne måten vil muskelproteinene merkes, og vi vil i neste steg kunne måle nedbrytningshastigheten for muskelproteinene omtrent 80 dager senere. Bruken av dobbeltmerket vann er utbredt i forbindelse med forskning og diagnostikk.

#### Treningsperioden

Dersom du trekkes til treningsgruppen, skal du gjennomføre styrketrening i 10 uker. Treningsperioden

starter når du har gjennomført alle testene. Du skal gjennomføre styrketrening to ganger i uken i grupper på to/tre deltakere. Hver enkelt økt vil ha en varighet på 20-40 minutter, og den vil gjennomføres der du bor (sykehjem, dagsenter, i tilknytning omsorgsbolig). Alle treningsøkter gjennomføres med oppfølging av en instruktør. Treningsprogrammet som skal gjennomføres består av beinpress, kneekstensjon (knestrekk) og to øvelser der du går opp på en kasse. Alle øvelser vil tilpasses den enkeltes funksjonsnivå. Treningsøvelsene som er valgt belaster muskler som innehar en viktig rolle i mange daglige gjøremål. Etter treningsperioden gjennomføres <u>testdag 1</u> og <u>testdag 3</u> og <u>CT på Currato</u> <u>røntgen</u> på nytt for å måle endringer.

Vi vil kun lagre informasjon om deg under ditt forsøkspersonnummer. Undervis i forsøket vil vi oppbevare en kodeliste med navn og forsøkspersonnummer. Denne kodelisten vil fysisk være låst inne, slik at det er kun forskerne tilknyttet studien som har adgang til den. Alle som får innsyn i informasjon om deg har taushetsplikt. Innsamlet data vil bli anonymisert etter 15 år (kodelisten destrueres). Det vil ikke være mulig å identifisere deg i resultatene av studien når disse publiseres.

#### MULIGE FORDELER OG ULEMPER

Tidligere studier har vist at styrketrening har meget god effekt på muskelstyrke og fysisk funksjonsevne, spesielt for eldre som i utgangspunktet har et lavt funksjonsnivå. Forsøkspersoner som trekkes til treningsgruppen vil derfor med stor sannsynlighet oppleve god fremgang i styrke og funksjonsnivå, og potensielt erfare at mange daglige oppgaver vil gå lettere etter treningsperioden. I tillegg vil du som deltaker få god innsikt i hvordan treningen drives slik at du vil være i stand til å fortsette slik trening etter avsluttet prosjekt. Dersom du trekkes til gruppen som bare skal innta protein, vil du få tilbud om treningsoppfølging etter at den første intervensjonsperioden er gjennomført. Denne treningen vil foregå i perioden januar-april i 2017. Du vil med andre ord få treningsoppfølging uansett hvilken gruppe du trekkes til, men du må vente til januar 2017 hvis du trekkes inn i kontrollgruppen.

Deltakelse i prosjektet vil kreve en del tid og oppmerksomhet. Det blir tre oppmøter på Norges idrettshøgskole før treningsperioden, og to oppmøter etter endt 10-ukersperiode. I tillegg skal du gjennomføre en CT-undersøkelse ved Currato Røntgen i Oslo sentrum både før og etter treningsperioden. Som tidligere nevnt vil vi bistå med transport i forbindelse med all testing dersom det er nødvendig, og for å begrense belastningen for hver enkelt forsøksperson vil en del av testene bare gjennomføres for et utvalg av forsøkspersonene. Dette vil riktignok ikke redusere antall oppmøter, men vil redusere antall tester per oppmøte.

Vevsprøvetakninger (biopsier) medfører en liten infeksjonsfare, og ubehag/smerter kan oppleves under inngrepet. Du kan også oppleve lette til moderate smerter i 1-2 døgn etter inngrepet. Du vil få et lite arr etter snittet i huden; arret vil sakte bli mindre tydelig. Enkelte personer vil kunne få en fortykning av huden i arrområdet. Voluntær muskelaktivering som gjennomføres under testdag 1 og testdag 2 kan oppleves noe ubehagelig, da lårmusklene ved denne testen aktiveres ved hjelp av strøm-elektroder. Denne testen er ikke invasiv, og elektrodene er "lapper" som festes på huden.

CT-undersøkelsen medfører at forsøkspersonene utsettes for stråling. For å begrense strålemengden, undersøkes bare det ene beinet på tre steder.

Selve treningen skal gjennomføres med forholdsvis stor belastning, og vil medføre en viss risiko for skade og følelse av sårhet/stølhet i muskulaturen.

## FRIVILLIG DELTAKELSE OG MULIGHET FOR Å TREKKE SITT SAMTYKKE

Det er frivillig å delta i prosjektet. Dersom du ønsker å delta, undertegner du samtykkeerklæringen på siste side. Du kan når som helst og uten å oppgi noen grunn trekke ditt samtykke. Dersom du trekker deg fra prosjektet, kan du kreve å få slettet innsamlede prøver og opplysninger, med mindre opplysningene allerede er inngått i analyser eller brukt i vitenskapelige publikasjoner. Dersom du senere ønsker å trekke deg eller har spørsmål til prosjektet, kan du kontakte Sigve Nyvik Aas, tlf: 41499074, epost: s.a.nyvik@nih.no

## HVA SKJER MED INFORMASJONEN OM DEG?

Informasjonen som registreres om deg skal kun brukes slik som beskrevet i hensikten med studien. Du har rett til innsyn i hvilke opplysninger som er registrert om deg og rett til å få korrigert eventuelle feil i de opplysningene som er registrert. Alle opplysningene vil bli behandlet uten navn og fødselsnummer eller andre direkte gjenkjennende opplysninger. En kode knytter deg til dine opplysninger gjennom en navneliste.

Prosjektleder har ansvar for den daglige driften av forskningsprosjektet og at opplysninger om deg blir behandlet på en sikker måte. Informasjon om deg vil bli anonymisert eller slettet senest femten år etter prosjektslutt.

#### HVA SKJER MED PRØVER SOM BLIR TATT AV DEG?

Biopsiene og blodprøvene som tas av deg vil bli oppbevart i en forskningsbiobank uten kommersielle interesser (vurdert av Regional Etisk Komite). Hvis du sier ja til å delta i studien, gir du også samtykke til at det biologiske materialet og analyseresultater inngår i biobanken. Prøvene vil bli lagret til år 2031. Ansvarlig for biobanken er Dr. Truls Raastad ved Seksjon for fysisk prestasjonsevne ved NIH. Det biologiske materialet kan bare brukes etter godkjenning fra Regional komité for medisinsk og helsefaglig forskningsetikk (REK). Hvis du sier ja til å delta i studien, gir du også ditt samtykke til at prøver og avidentifiserte opplysninger utleveres til universitetet i Padova (Italia) og København (Danmark).

## FORSIKRING

Deltakere i prosjektet er forsikret dersom det skulle oppstå skade eller komplikasjoner som følge av

deltakelse i forskningsprosjektet. NIH er en statlig institusjon og er således selvassurandør. Dette innebærer at det er NIH som dekker en eventuell erstatning og ikke et forsikringsselskap.

## • UTLEVERING AV OPPLYSNINGER TIL ANDRE

Ved å delta i prosjektet, samtykker du også til at vevsprøver (muskelbiopsier og blodprøver) kan utleveres til utlandet. Koden som knytter deg til dine personidentifiserende opplysninger vil ikke bli utlevert.

## • OPPFØLGINGSPROSJEKT

Det kan være aktuelt med et oppfølgingsprosjekt innen fem år etter at dette prosjektet er gjennomført. Dersom du signerer samtykkeskjemaet, kan det derfor være at vi tar kontakt med deg innen fem år etter gjennomføring av dette prosjektet. Du vil naturligvis stå helt fritt til å avstå fra deltakelse i et eventuelt oppfølgingsprosjekt.

## • GODKJENNING

Prosjektet er godkjent av Regional komite for medisinsk og helsefaglig forskningsetikk, [sett inn saksnr. hos REK (20xx/yyy)].

## SAMTYKKE TIL DELTAKELSE I PROSJEKTET

Hvis du har lest informasjonsskrivet og ønsker å være med som forsøksperson i prosjektet, ber vi deg undertegne nedenfor, og returnere skjemaet til en av personene oppgitt nedenfor. Du bekrefter samtidig at du har fått kopi av og lest denne informasjonen.

Det er frivillig å delta og du kan når som helst trekke deg fra prosjektet uten videre begrunnelse. Alle data vil, som nevnt ovenfor, bli avidentifisert før de blir lagt inn i en database, og senere anonymisert.

Med vennlig hilsen,

Sigve Nyvik Aas, Stipendiat (tlf: 414 99 074)

Truls Raastad, Professor (tlf: 23 26 23 28 / 91 36 88 96)

# • JEG ER VILLIG TIL Å DELTA I PROSJEKTET

\_\_\_\_\_

Sted og dato

Deltakers signatur

Deltakers navn med trykte bokstaver

\_\_\_\_\_

Jeg bekrefter å ha gitt informasjon om prosjektet

Sted og dato

Signatur

Rolle i prosjektet

Designet (DA O)

Aldring og helse

# Helsedirektoratet

# NORSK REVIDERT MINI MENTAL STATUS EVALUERING (MMSE-NR2)

Strobel & Knut Engedal, 2014
------------------------------

Pasient (PAS):			_ Fødselsda	ato/alder:	
Nasjonalitet/morsmål/tolk:	asjonalitet/morsmål/tolk:				
Utdanning:		Antall år:	Yrke:		
Hørsel/høreapparat:	Syn/briller:		_ Geriatrisk	leseprøve:	
Testleder (TL):		Dato:		_ Klokken:	
Teststed/hjemmebesøk:	Er	PAS testet med N	IMSE-NR sa	mme sted tidligere? Ja 🗌 Ne	i 🗆
Hvis ja, når?	Når/hvor ble PAS sist teste	t med MMSE-NR (	oppgavesett	)?	

MMSE-NR er ikke en demenstest, kun et grovt kognitivt funksionsmål som supplerer annen utredning som somatisk undersøkelse (inkl. medikamentgjennomgang) og komparentintervju (inkl. forløp/varighet av kognitiv svikt og endret ADL-funksjon). Alle som administrerer MMSE-NR bør ha opplæring og god kjennskap til manual (lastes ned fra www.aldringoghelse.no). Følg standardisert instruksjon, ikke gi ledetråder, se retningslinjer for administrasjon, oppfølgende spørsmål og skåring på skjema og i manual. Ved lav norskspråklig kompetanse og annet morsmål enn norsk bruk fagutdannet tolk, ikke slektninger/bekjente. For oppgave 16 og 18, bruk standardiserte oversettelser og stimuliark der disse foreligger.

#### Instruksion

Utfør testing en-til-en, uten pårørende til stede. Unngå at PAS ser skjema og skåring, bruk f.eks. skriveunderlag med klemme. Les fet skrift (bold) høyt, tydelig og langsomt. Pause (markert: [pause]) skal vare 1 sekund. Samtlige spørsmål skal stilles, også om PAS har besvart oppgaveledd under tidligere stilte spørsmål. Instruksjon kan gjentas, unntatt på oppgave 12 og 17 hvor det er svært viktig at instruksjon kun gis én gang. Skriv ordrett ned svar på hvert spørsmål. PAS kan korrigere svar underveis, gi derfor ikke tilbakemelding om svar er rett eller galt.

Ved retesting skift alltid oppgavesett som angitt på oppgave 11,12 og 13 for å redusere læringseffekt. Sett kryss i ruten for «0» ved feil svar og i ruten for «1» ved rett svar, gi aldri ½ poeng. Totalskåre regnes alltid fra 30 poeng: Er PAS ikke testbar på en oppgave pga. ikke-kognitive handikapp, angi hvorfor og sett ring rundt ruten for «0». Gir PAS utrykk for ikke å klare en oppgave, oppfordre likevel til å gjøre et forsøk. Er du usikker på hvordan et svar skåres etter å ha sjekket manual, rådfør deg med en erfaren kollega. Lavere alder og høyere utdanning gir ofte bedre skåre. Likeså testing på hjemmebesøk/vante omgivelser pga. stedsorienteringsledd. Lav motivasjon, dårlig dagsform, trettbarhet, afasi, lese- og skrivevansker, redusert syn og hørsel, depresjon, testangst, legemiddeleffekter (bivirkninger/interaksjoner), akutt somatisk sykdom, lav norskspråklig kompetanse, stress og liten testledererfaring kan påvirke resultat negativt. Totalskåre sier lite om spesifikke kognitive sviktområder som kan være diagnostisk og klinisk relevante, presisér derfor alltid utfall. Skåringsprofil og kvalitativ vurdering av utførelse kan også gi informasjon om kognitive restressurser og kompenserende mestringsstrategier som kan gi innspill til hvordan tilrettelegge aktivitet og samhandling. Bemerk påfallende forhold som lang tidsbruk, usikkerhet, mange korrigeringer, behov for gjentakelse av instruksjon, årsaker til testavbrudd e.l.

Skåring MMSE-NR2. Op	opgavesett (ordsett	/starttall oppgave 11, 12 og 13) administrert i dag: 1 📃 2 🔜 3 📃 4	5
		KOMMENTARER TIL SPESIFIKKE OPPGAVELEDD:	
Tidsorientering	(oppgave 1–5)		/5
Stedsorientering	(oppgave 6–10)		/5
Umiddelbar gjenkalling	(oppgave 11)		/3
Hoderegning	(oppgave 12)		/5
Utsatt gjenkalling	(oppgave 13)		/3
Språk og praksis	(oppgave 14-19)		/8
Figurkopiering	(oppgave 20)		/1
Total poengskåre			/30

Vurderer du som testleder (TL) at samarbeid/motivasjon/testinnsats var uten anmerkning? Ja 🗌 Nei 🗌 Usikker 🗌 Vurderer du at oppmerksomhet/bevissthetsnivå/våkenhet var uten anmerkning? Ja 🗌 Nei 🗌 Usikker 🗌 Vurderes ikke resultat som valid/gyldig, angi årsak(er):

Spesielt å bemerke (henvisningsgrunn, medikamenter som kan påvirke kognitiv funksjon, atferd, dagsform, stemningsleie, smerter, afasi, ikkekognitive handikapp, bruk av ikke-dominant hånd f.eks. ved lammelse, tidsbruk, vansker på distraksjonsbetingelsen, glemt briller/høreapparat e.l.):

Basert på: Folstein, M.F., Folstein, S.E., & McHugh, P.R. (1975). "Mini-Mental State": A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 12, 189-198. Engedal, K., Haugen, PK, Cille, K., & Laake, P. (1988). Efficacy of short mental tests in the detection of mental impairment in old age. Compr Gerontol A, 2, 87-93. Strobel, C., & Engedal, K. (2008). MMSE-NR. Norsk Revident Mini Mental Status Evaluering. Revider og uivider manual. JosiN. Nasjonalt kompetanseenter for aldring og helse. Palmquist, S., Terzis, B., Strobel, C., & Wallin, A. (2012). Mini Mental State Examination, Svensk Revidering (MMSE-SR). Svensk Förening för Kognitiva sjukdomar.

TI	DSORIENTERING	
De	t er TL sitt ansvar å forhindre at PAS kan ta i bruk ledetråder: Se ut av vindu (årstid, måned), bruke kalender, avis,	
inn	kallingsbrev (årstall, måned, ukedag, dato), sjekke dato på klokke, mobil e.l.	
1.	Hvilket årstall har vi nå? (Kun fullt årstall med 4 sifre gir poeng)	_ 0 🗆 1 🗆
2.	Hvilken årstid har vi nå? (Ta hensyn til vær og geografiske forhold)	_ 0 🗆 1 🗆
3.	Hvilken måned har vi nå? (Kun rett navn på måned gir poeng, ikke nummer på måned)	_ 0 🗆 1 🗆
4.	Hvilken dag har vi i dag? (Kun rett navn på ukedag gir poeng)	_ 0 🗆 1 🗆
5.	Hvilken dato har vi i dag? (Unngå følgefeil: Kun dagsledd må være rett, måned/år kan være feil)	_ 0□ 1□

#### STEDSORIENTERING

Bruk best egnet stedsord og spørsmålsstilling, sett ring rundt valgt alternativ. Landsdel\* skal kun benyttes ved testing i Oslo.

6.	Hvilket land er vi i nå?	0 1
7.	Hvilket (fylke/landsdel*) er vi i nå? (For landsdel gi poeng for Østlandet og Sør-Norge)	0 1
8.	Hvilken (by/tettsted/kommune) er vi i nå?	0 1
9.	Hva heter dette (stedet/sykehuset/sykehjemmet/legekontoret e.l.)? Eller Hvor er vi nå?	0 1
10	). I hvilken etasje er vi nå? (Still spørsmål selv der bygg kun har én etasje. Ta hensyn til språk/kultur)	0 1
Lin	nač at BAS kan so ut av vindu (stad. stasia). Avbangia av inngang vil hvog i skråpande terrang kunne appfattes å ha ulik stasia	

Unngå at PAS kan se ut av vindu (sted, etasje). Avhengig av inngang vil bygg i skrånende terreng kunne oppfattes å ha ulik etasjeangivelse for samme etasje. Gi poeng om PAS i tråd med språk/kultur benevner norsk 1. etasje som grunnplan (f.eks. Erdgeschoss, ground floor, stuen) og norsk 2. etasje som 1. etasje (1. Stock/Etage, first floor, 1. sal). Ved testing på hjemmebesøk, se manual.

#### UMIDDELBAR GJENKALLING

Bruk alltid nytt ordsett som angitt ved retesting for å hindre læringseffekt fra tidligere administrasjon. Sett ring rundt dagens ordsett. Ved 1. adm. bruk oppgavesett 1, ved 2. adm. bruk sett 2 osv., ved 6. adm. bruk sett 1, ved 7. adm. bruk sett 2 osv.

#### 11. Hør godt etter. Jeg vil si 3 ord som du skal gjenta etter meg. Disse skal du også prøve å huske, for jeg kommer til å spørre deg om dem litt senere. Er du klar?

Oppgavesett:	1	2	3	4	5	
Nå kommer ordene:	HUS	STOL	SAFT	KATT	FLY	0 □ 1 □
	KANIN	BANAN	LAMPE	AVIS	EPLE	0 □ 1 □
	TOG	NÅL	BÅT	LØK	SKO	0 □ 1 □

Etter 3 gjenkalte ord eller 3 presentasjoner, si: Husk disse ordene, for jeg vil spørre deg om hvilke de er litt senere.

HODEREGNING (Bruk alltid obligatorisk distraksjonsbetingelse i tillegg)

Bruk alltid nytt starttall som angitt ved retesting. Ved 6. adm. bruk oppgavesett 1 osv. Sett ring rundt dagens starttall, skriv ned tallsvar. Unngå følgefeil: Gi poeng når svar er minus 7 fra forrige tall, uavhengig av om forrige tallsvar var rett eller galt.

12. Nå vil jeg at du trekker 7 fra ........ [Gir ikke PAS tallsvar, si: Hva er ....... minus 7?] [Rett etter tallsvar, si]: Og så fortsetter du å trekke 7 fra tallet du kom frem til, helt til jeg sier stopp. [Instruksjon gis kun én gang. Ikke informer underveis om subtraksjonstall eller hvor langt PAS har kommet]. Ved færre enn 5 tallsvar, gå til distraksjonsbetingelsen.

Oppgavesett:	1	2	3	4	5	
Starttall: Nå vil jeg at du trekker 7 fra	80	50	90	40	60	
Og så fortsetter du å trekke 7 fra tallet	73	43	83	33	53	0 □ 1 □
du kom frem til, helt til jeg sier stopp>	66	36	76	26	46	0 □ 1 □
Ved behov si: <b>Og så videre</b>	59	29	69	19	39	0 🗆 1 🗆
Ved behov si: Og så videre	52	22	62	12	32	0 □ 1 □
Ved behov si: Og så videre	45	15	55	5	25	0 □ 1 □

Etter 5 subtraksjoner, si: Fint, det holder [Gå til distraksjonsbetingelsen].

Obligatorisk distraksjonsbetingelse – OBS, er ikke poenggivende!

Bruk alltid distraksjonsbetingelsen for å sikre tilstrekkelig tidsopphold med distraksjon. Dette for å fremme reell kartlegging av langtidshukommelse fremfor arbeidshukommelse på oppgave 13. Be PAS telle baklengs fra 100 ca. 30 sekunder med følgende instruksjon: Nå vil jeg at du teller baklengs fra 100 på denne måten: 99, 98, 97..., helt til jeg sier stopp. Vær så god! [Etter ca. 30 sek. si:] Fint, det holder.

					our inig i air	at adgente er acent	
Oppgavesett:	1	2	3	4	5		
	HUS	STOL	SAFT	KATT	FLY		0 1
	KANIN	BANAN	LAMPE	AVIS	EPLE		0 1
	TOG	NÅL	BÅT	LØK	SKO		0□ 1
Nevnes mer enn 3 ord, må PAS velge hvill	ke 3 ord som skal	være svar	et rekkeføl	ae er uter	betydning	Gi kun poena for	
dagens ordsett og eksakt gjengivelse, dvs	. bolighus, hytte, ł	aninen, ka	niner, hare	, togbane,	lokomotiv e	.l. gir ikke poeng.	
BENEVNING							
14. Hva heter dette? [Vis stimuliarket	riktig vei og pel	k på blyan	ten]				0 1
15. Hva heter dette? [Vis stimuliarket	riktig vei og pel	c på armba	åndsuret]				0 1
Alternative poenggivende svar: Penn, gråt	olyant, fargeblyant	, ur, klokke	, klokkeren	n e.l.	TL	TL	
gjelder også retesting. Eneste unntak er te	esting av sterkt sy	nshemmete	eller blind	e,			
hvor stimuliobjektene blyant og armbåndsi	ur kan presentere	s taktilt mee	d konkreter	:	PAS	PAS	
FRASEREPETISJON							
16. Gjenta ordrett det jeg sier. Er du	klar? [Si tydeli	g]: «ALDF	RI ANNET	ENN O	M OG MEN	N».	
Gi poeng når hele frasen gjengis korrekt m	ned alle 6 ord i rikt	ig rekkeføl	ge. Dialektv	varianter g	godtas.		
TL kan si frasen 3 ganger, men gi kun poe	ng etter 1. presen	tasjon. Ant	all presenta	asjoner:	sti	ς.	
ALDRI ANNET ENN OM OG MEN _							0凵 1
3-LEDDET KOMMANDO							
Legg et ubrukt A4-ark på bordet midt foran	PAS, kortsiden mo	ot PAS. For	å unngå at	PAS start	er før hele in	struksjonen er gitt,	
legg egen hand på arket til all instruksjon el	r gitt. Gi poeng for	nver korrel	t utførte de	einandling.			
17. Hør godt etter, for jeg skal be de Ta arket med én hånd [nause], brett	eg gjøre 3 ting i arket på midter	en beste	mt rekkel	følge. Er	du klar?	nder [nause]	
og gi arket til meg [pause]. Vær så g	od! [Instruksjon	ais kun é	n anna lo	u en ene	i beyye ne	inuer [pause],	
		010110110	n yany, ei	nkeltleaa	kan ikke re	epeteres	
TAR ARKET MED KUN <i>EN</i> HÅND		gionario	n yang, ei	nkeltiedd	kan ikke re	epeteres]	0□ 1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> _ BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN	I EN GANG	gio nun o	n yang, ei	nkeltiedd	kan ikke re	epeteres]	0□ 1 0□ 1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> _ BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN <u>GIR</u> ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a	I <u>EN GANG</u>	det tydelig f	oran TL)	nkeitiedd	kan ikke re	epeteres]	0□ 1 0□ 1 0□ 1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> _ BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN <u>GIR</u> ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor	det tydelig f	oran TL)	nkeitiedd	kan ikke re	ppeteres]	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN <u>GIR</u> ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis I</i>	det tydelig f	oran TL)	nkeitieda nå lukke	øynene for	ppeteres]	0 0 1 0 1 0 1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN <u>GIR</u> ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis I</i> nger til. Hver prese	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir	oran TL) oran TL) en]. PAS n r mulighet fo	nkeitieda nå lukke or poeng.	kan ikke re øynene for Antall preser	ppeteres]	0    1 0    1 0    1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN GIR ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. <b>Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå</b> PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis F</i> nger til. Hver prese	det tydelig f PAS <i>tekste</i> entasjon gir	oran TL) en]. PAS rr mulighet fo	nkeitieda nå lukke or poeng.	kan ikke re øynene for Antall preser	poeng. Lukker ikke	0   1 0   1 0   1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN <u>GIR</u> ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. <b>Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå</b> PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE SETNINGSGENERERING	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis P</i> nger til. Hver prese	det tydelig f PAS tekste	oran TL) en]. PAS n	nkeitieda nå lukke or poeng.	kan ikke re øynene for Antall preser	ppeteres]	0   1 0   1 0   1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> _ BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN <u>GIR</u> ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. <b>Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå</b> PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis I</i> nger til. Hver preso kjema med kortsi	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet for AS, og gi v	nå lukke or poeng.	øynene for Antall preser	ppeteres] poeng. Lukker ikke ntasjoner: st	0   1 0   1 0   1 0   1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN <u>GIR</u> ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. <b>Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå</b> PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE SETNINGSGENERERING Legg nedre del av neste side MMSE-NR s 19. <b>Skriv en meningsfull setning he</b>	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis F</i> nger til. Hver prese kjema med kortsie <b>r.</b> [ <i>Pek på øvre 6</i>	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir den foran F	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet fo AS, og gi v te side]	nå lukke nå lukke or poeng.	øynene for Antall preser	poeng. Lukker ikke ntasjoner: stł	0   1 0   1 0   1 0   1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN <u>GIR</u> ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. <b>Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå</b> PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE SETNINGSGENERERING Legg nedre del av neste side MMSE-NR s 19. <b>Skriv en meningsfull setning he</b> Skrives imperativsetning med kun ett d	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis F</i> nger til. Hver prese kjema med kortsie <b>r.</b> [ <i>Pek på øvre G</i> ord, f.eks. «Spis	det tydelig f PAS tekste Intasjon gir Jen foran F del av nes », si: <b>Skri</b>	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet fo AS, og gi v te side] v en leng	nå lukke or poeng. redkomme	øynene for Antall preser ende en blya ng. Skrives	poeng. Lukker ikke tasjoner: sti nt	0   1 0   1 0   1 0   1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN GIR ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE SETNINGSGENERERING Legg nedre del av neste side MMSE-NR s 19. Skriv en meningsfull setning he Skrives imperativsetning med kun ett o gitt setning/frase, f.eks.«Lukk øynene	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis F</i> nger til. Hver prese kjema med kortsie <b>r.</b> [ <i>Pek på øvre</i> o ord, f.eks. «Spis dine» eller «En	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir den foran F del av nes », si: <b>Skri</b> meningsfu	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet fo AS, og gi v te side] v en leng ull setning	nå lukke or poeng. redkomme re setnir », si: Skr	øynene for Antall preser ende en blya ng. Skrives iv en setni	poeng. Lukker ikke tasjoner: sti nt. intet eller tidligere ng du lager selv.	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN GIR ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE SETNINGSGENERERING Legg nedre del av neste side MMSE-NR s 19. Skriv en meningsfull setning he Skrives imperativsetning med kun ett o gitt setning/frase, f.eks.«Lukk øynene Skriver ikke PAS noe nå heller, si: Skr	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis F</i> nger til. Hver prese kjema med kortsie <b>r.</b> [ <i>Pek på øvre c</i> ord, f.eks. «Spis dine» eller «En <b>iv om været.</b>	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir den foran F del av nes », si: <b>Skri</b> meningsfu	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet fr AS, og gi v <i>te side</i> ] <b>v en leng</b> ull setning	nå lukke or poeng. redkomme re setnir », si: Skr	øynene for Antall preser ende en blya ng. Skrives iv en setni	poeng. Lukker ikke tasjoner: sti nt. intet eller tidligere ng du lager selv.	
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN GIR ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis I</i> nger til. Hver prese kjema med kortsie <b>r.</b> [ <i>Pek på øvre G</i> ord, f.eks. «Spis dine» eller «En <b>iv om været.</b> a objekt og tidvis h ørelse etter supple	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir den foran F del av nes », si: <b>Skri</b> meningsfu eller ikke su rende instru	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet for AS, og gi v te side] v en leng ull setning ubjekt eller v ksjon og fo	nå lukke or poeng. redkomme re setnir », si: Skr verb, se ma r spørrese	øynene for Antall preser ende en blya ng. Skrives iv en setni anualeksemp tning, om krit	poeng. Lukker ikke tasjoner:sti nt. intet eller tidligere ng du lager selv. ler. Ignorer stave- erier ellers er innfrido	
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> _ BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN GIR ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor r på arket [ <i>Vis I</i> nger til. Hver prese kjema med kortsie r. [ <i>Pek på øvre e</i> ord, f.eks. «Spis dine» eller «En <b>iv om været.</b> a objekt og tidvis h ørelse etter supple	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir den foran F del av nes », si: <b>Skri</b> meningsfu eller ikke su rende instru	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet fr AS, og gi v <i>te side</i> ] <b>v en leng</b> ull setning ubjekt eller v ksjon og fo	nå lukke or poeng. re setnir », si: Skr verb, se ma r spørrese	øynene for Antall preser ende en blya ng. Skrives iv en setni anualeksemp tning, om krit	poerg. Lukker ikke tasjoner: st nt. intet eller tidligere ng du lager selv. ler. Ignorer stave- erier ellers er innfride	
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN GIR ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE SETNINGSGENERERING Legg nedre del av neste side MMSE-NR s 19. Skriv en meningsfull setning he Skrives imperativsetning med kun ett o gitt setning/frase, f.eks.«Lukk øynene Skriver ikke PAS noe nå heller, si: Skr Setningen må gi mening, men trenger ikke h og grammatikalske feil. Gi poeng ved rett utf FIGURKOPIERING Legg figurarket som vist med figurspissene i av (skal ikke brukes som linjal). PAS får ikke	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor r på arket [ <i>Vis I</i> nger til. Hver prese kjema med kortsie r. [ <i>Pek på øvre c</i> ord, f.eks. «Spis dine» eller «En iv om været. a objekt og tidvis h ørelse etter supple mot PAS over øvre e rotere eller flytte p	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir den foran F del av nes », si: <b>Skri</b> meningsfu eller ikke su rende instru del av nes å figurarke	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet fr AS, og gi v te side] v en leng ubjekt eller v ubjekt eller v ubjekt eller v ubjekt eller v ubjekt eller v ubjekt eller v ubjekt eller v	nå lukke or poeng. redkomme re setnir », si: Skr r spørrese er setninge å sørge fo	øynene for Antall preser ende en blya iv en setni anualeksemp tning, om krit n PAS skrev) r at blir ligger	poerg. Lukker ikke ntasjoner:st nt. intet eller tidligere ng du lager selv ler. Ignorer stave- erier ellers er innfride , viskelær ved siden ide til PAS er ferdig.	
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN GIR ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor r på arket [ <i>Vis I</i> nger til. Hver prese kjema med kortsie r. [ <i>Pek på øvre c</i> ord, f.eks. «Spis dine» eller «En iv om været. a objekt og tidvis h ørelse etter supple not PAS over øvre rotere eller flytte p in her. [ <i>Pek på r</i>	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir den foran F del av nes », si: <b>Skri</b> meningsfu eller ikke su rende instru del av nes vå figurarke reder del a	oran TL) oran TL) m]. PAS m mulighet fr AS, og gi v te side] v en leng ubjekt eller v kksjon og fo te side (ove t som TL ma v neste si	nå lukke or poeng. redkomme re setnir », si: Skr verb, se m. r spørrese ir setninge å sørge fo de]	øynene for Antall preser ende en blya ng. Skrives iv en setni anualeksemp tning, om krit n PAS skrev) r at blir ligger	poerg. Lukker ikke tasjoner:st nt. intet eller tidligere ng du lager selv. ler. Ignorer stave- erier ellers er innfride , viskelær ved siden ide til PAS er ferdig.	
TAR ARKET MED KUN <u>EN HÅND</u> BRETTER ARKET PÅ MIDTEN KUN GIR ARKET TIL TL (gi også poeng om a LESNING 18. Nå vil jeg at du gjør det som stå PAS øynene, kan instruksjon gjentas 2 gar LUKK ØYNENE DINE SETNINGSGENERERING Legg nedre del av neste side MMSE-NR s 19. Skriv en meningsfull setning he Skrives imperativsetning med kun ett o gitt setning/frase, f.eks.«Lukk øynene Skriver ikke PAS noe nå heller, si: Skr Setningen må gi mening, men trenger ikke h og grammatikalske feil. Gi poeng ved rett utf FIGURKOPIERING Legg figurarket som vist med figurspissene f av (skal ikke brukes som linjal). PAS får ikke 20. Kopier figuren så nøyaktig du ka Du kan bruke viskelær. Ta deg god	I <u>EN GANG</u> arket legges på bor <b>r på arket</b> [ <i>Vis I</i> nger til. Hver preso kjema med kortsie <b>r.</b> [ <i>Pek på øvre o</i> ord, f.eks. «Spis dine» eller «En <b>iv om været.</b> a objekt og tidvis h ørelse etter supple mot PAS over øvre rotere eller flytte p in her. [ <i>Pek på r</i> <b>tid. Si fra når d</b>	det tydelig f PAS tekste entasjon gir den foran F del av nes », si: Skri meningsfu eller ikke su rende instru del av nes å figurarke reder del a u er ferdig	oran TL) oran TL) mulighet for AS, og gi v te side] v en leng ull setning ull setning ull setning ull setning v en lear v iksjon og for te side (ove i som TL m v neste si g.	nå lukke or poeng. redkomme re setnir », si: Skr verb, se m. r spørrese a sørge fo de]	øynene for Antall preser ende en blya ng. Skrives iv en setni anualeksemp tning, om krit n PAS skrev) r at blir ligger	poereres] poeng. Lukker ikke itasjoner:st intet eller tidligere ng du lager selv. ler. Ignorer stave- erier ellers er innfride i, viskelær ved siden ide til PAS er ferdig.	





# Fried Frailty Criteria, modified

Shrinking,	Unintentional loss of at least 5% of the previous year's body weight							
i.e. weight loss								
Weakness, i.e. low	Grip strength of the dominant hand (mean of three measurements)							
handgrip strength	BMI/male         Cutoff (kg)         BMI/female         Cutoff (kg)							
	≤24	≤29	≤23	≤17				
	24-26	≤30	23-26	≤17.3				
	26-28	≤30	26-29	≤18				
	>28	≤32	>29	≤21				
Poor endurance, i.e. self-	Evaluation of two state	ements of the CES-D sc	ale:	1				
reported exhaustion	<ul> <li>a) I felt that everything I did was an effort</li> <li>b) I could not get going</li> <li>Criteria fulfilled if at least one condition is present for 3 days or more during the last</li> </ul>							
	week.							
Slowness, i.e. low gait	Cutoff for time to walk	4 meters (static start)						
speed	Height/male (cm)	Cutoffs (s)	Height/female (cm)	Cutoffs (s)				
	≤173	≥6.15 (0.65 m/s)	≤159	≥6.15 (0.65 m/s)				
	>173	≥5.25 (0.76 m/s)	>159	≥5.25 (0.76 m/s)				
Low activity, i.e. reduced energy consumption	<ul> <li>Physical activity will be assessed during an interview. According to the level of leisure physical activity performed daily during the last year, participants will be assigned to on of the following categories.</li> <li>1) completely inactive or performing light-intensity physical activity (i.e., walking, light housework) less than 1 hour per week;</li> <li>2) light physical activity: light-intensity physical activity 2–4 hours per week;</li> <li>3) moderate—high physical activity: light physical activity at least 5 hours per week or moderate physical activity (i.e., gymnastics, playing soccer, gardening) at least 1–2 hour</li> </ul>							
	The low activity-criteri	a is fulfilled only for pa	rticipants in category 1					
