

Steffen, K., Nilstad, A., Kristianslund, E. K., Myklebust, G., Bahr, R.,  
Krosshaug, T. (2016). Association between Lower Extremity Muscle  
Strength and Noncontact ACL Injuries. *Medicine & Science in Sports &  
Exercise*, 48, s. 2082-2089.

---

Dette er siste tekst-versjon av artikkelen, og den kan inneholde små forskjeller  
fra forlagets pdf-versjon. Forlagets pdf-versjon finner du på insights.ovid.com:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001014>

---

This is the final text version of the article, and it may contain minor differences  
from the journal's pdf version. The original publication is available at  
insights.ovid.com: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001014>

---

# Association between lower extremity muscle strength and non-contact ACL injuries

Kathrin Steffen,<sup>1</sup> Agnethe Nilstad,<sup>1</sup> Eirik Klami Kristianslund,<sup>1</sup> Grethe Myklebust,<sup>1</sup> Roald Bahr,<sup>1</sup> Tron Krosshaug<sup>1</sup>

## Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center, Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway

## Correspondence to:

Kathrin Steffen, PhD, Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center, Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, PB 4014 Ullevaal Stadion, N-0806 Oslo, Norway.

E-mail: [kathrin.steffen@nih.no](mailto:kathrin.steffen@nih.no)

Phone: +47 99004398, Fax +47 23262307

Word count: 4184

## Abstract

**Purpose:** To prospectively investigate the association between isolated and functional lower extremity muscle strength, and the risk for non-contact ACL injury in Norwegian female elite handball and football players.

**Methods:** From 2007 through 2015, premier league players participated in strength testing and were prospectively followed for ACL injury risk. At baseline, we recorded player demographics, playing and ACL injury history, and measured peak concentric isokinetic quadriceps and hamstrings torques ( $60^\circ/s$ ), HQ-ratio, isometric hip abduction strength and 1RM in a seated leg press. We followed a pre-defined statistical protocol where we generated 5 separate logistic regression models, one for each of the proposed strength risk factors and adjusted for confounding factors. New ACL injury was the outcome, using the leg as the unit of analysis.

**Results:** A total of 57 (6.6%) out of 867 players (age:  $21 \pm 4$  yrs; height:  $170 \pm 6$  cm; body mass:  $66 \pm 8$  kg) suffered from a non-contact ACL injury after baseline testing ( $1.8 \pm 1.8$  yrs). The OR of sustaining a new injury among those with an ACL injury history was 3.1 (95% CI 1.6 to 6.1). None of the 5 strength variables selected were statistically associated with an increased risk of ACL rupture when adjusted for sport, dominant leg, ACL injury history, and height.

**Conclusion:** Peak lower extremity strength was not associated with an increased ACL injury risk among female elite handball and football players. Hence, peak strength, as measured in the present study, cannot be used to screen elite female athletes to predict injury risk.

**Key words:** Female; handball; football; anterior cruciate ligament; muscle strength; screening, injury risk

## 1 Introduction

2 Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries represent a serious concern in sports, not only  
3 because of time-loss from sport, but also the long-term health consequences: a substantially  
4 increased risk of knee osteoarthritis and impaired lower limb function (26). Typically, ACL  
5 injuries occur in pivoting sports with rapid direction changes and frequent single-leg  
6 landings, often with the athlete out of balance and almost always without direct contact to  
7 the knee (13,27). Females participating in sports such as football, basketball, floorball, and  
8 handball have a 3-5 times higher ACL injury risk than their male counterparts (29,37).

9 Although the etiology for ACL injuries is not fully understood, ACL injuries are likely  
10 multifactorial in nature, possibly related to a combination of neuromuscular, biomechanical,  
11 anatomical, and hormonal factors (30). Though associations between knee kinematics and  
12 future ACL injury risk are weak (14), motion patterns are suggested to play a crucial role in  
13 the injury causation (10,13,27). Effective ACL injury prevention programs focus on teaching  
14 frontal plane knee control and proper knee alignment during static and dynamic tasks  
15 (18,24,28,36).

16 The role of lower extremity strength, as modifiable risk factor to counterbalance poor knee  
17 joint stability and motion patterns, is widely discussed. Muscle strength, recruitment and co-  
18 activation of hamstrings and quadriceps muscles may be critical to successfully stabilize the  
19 joint and protect the ACL from rupture (4,17). Following a hamstring exercise protocol to  
20 fatigue, the estimated ACL load during side-step cutting increased by 36% in recreationally  
21 active females (38). Thus, it may be hypothesized that deficits in hamstring strength  
22 contribute to an increased ACL injury risk in female athletes.

23 In a case-control study, hamstrings strength and hamstrings-to-quadriceps (HQ) strength  
24 ratio was lower among 22 female athletes who went on to suffer an ACL injury compared to  
25 88 matched controls (23), implying that a decreased HQ-ratio may represent a risk factor for  
26 a future ACL injury. However, in a 4-year prospective study following 859 military academy  
27 cadets there was no effect of concentric or eccentric quadriceps or hamstrings strength when  
28 comparing the 16 male and 8 female cadets who suffered an ACL injury to those without  
29 injury, irrespective of gender (33). In other words, the literature in this field is limited, and  
30 the results conflicting.

31 Hip strength is ascribed a significant role in the control of frontal plane knee motion (7).  
32 Weakness in the hip abductor muscles may predispose an athlete to greater hip adduction  
33 and hip internal rotation, thereby increasing medial knee motion and knee abduction  
34 moments, possibly increasing ACL injury risk (11,31). It follows that greater hip abduction  
35 strength should reduce ACL load. To date, there are no prospective cohort studies  
36 addressing hip strength as a potential risk factor for ACL injury.

37 In addition to reduced peak knee extension and flexion measures, low functional lower  
38 extremity strength, defined as the combined strength of the gluteal, quadriceps and  
39 hamstrings muscles, is hypothesized to increase injury risk. However, as with hip abduction  
40 strength, no data are available to link functional strength to prospective ACL injury risk.

41 To successfully tailor and implement injury prevention programs, it is of utmost importance  
42 to identify modifiable risk factors. Many effective multicomponent lower limb injury  
43 prevention programs include exercises focusing on lower extremity strength and core  
44 stability (15), and are also fundamental components of conditioning programs at the elite  
45 level (19). As compliance with injury prevention training remains a challenge in real life for

46 various reasons, as e.g. being considered as time consuming or not sport-specific (20),  
47 establishing a positive link between improved strength and reduced ACL injury risk would  
48 strengthen the rationale behind such programs.

49 Thus, the purpose of this prospective cohort study was to assess whether reduced peak  
50 isokinetic, isometric, or functional lower extremity strength were associated with an  
51 increased risk for ACL injuries in female elite handball and football players.

## 52 **Methods**

### 53 **Study design and participants**

54 This investigation represents a secondary analysis of a cohort study designed to examine risk  
55 factors for noncontact ACL injuries in female elite handball and football players (14). The a  
56 priori hypothesis was to assess whether motion patterns, specifically dynamic knee valgus,  
57 during landing and side-step cuttings are associated with ACL injury risk. We also measured  
58 a range of other neuromuscular, anatomic, genetic, and biomechanical variables, but these  
59 analyses should be considered explorative to better understand the multifactorial etiology of  
60 ACL injuries.

61 Data were collected over an 8-year period (2007-2015). Players with a first-team contract  
62 who were expected to play in the premier league during the 2007 season were eligible for  
63 participation. From 2008 through 2014, new teams advancing to the premier league and new  
64 players from included teams were invited for pre-season tests. From 2009, we also included  
65 football players from the female premier league. We have baseline screening data of 429  
66 handball and 451 football players. To examine the reproducibility of the strength tests, we  
67 also assessed a total of 144 players twice with 1 to 5 yrs between tests.

68 Following the start of screening tests in 2007, we recorded all complete ACL injuries  
69 through May 2015. For any ACL injury occurring during regular team training or  
70 competition, we contacted the injured player by phone to obtain detailed medical data and a  
71 description of the injury situation. The injury mechanisms were self-reported as contact (i.e.  
72 direct contact to the lower extremity), indirect contact (i.e. contact with other body parts) or  
73 non-contact, and injuries were categorized into two groups; non-contact/indirect contact or  
74 contact (27). All ACL injuries were verified by MRI and/or arthroscopy.

75

#### 76 **Risk factor screening tests**

77 We used a comprehensive test battery to assess potential demographic, neuromuscular,  
78 biomechanical, anatomical, and genetic risk factors for an ACL injury. The screening tests  
79 were conducted at the Norwegian School of Sport Sciences in the pre-season, June through  
80 August for handball and February through March for football. Each player spent about 7 h  
81 in total to complete the screening, which also included information, warm-up trials at all test  
82 stations, as well as a lunch break. We asked all players to complete a questionnaire to collect  
83 data on demographics, elite playing experience and history of any previous injuries to the  
84 ACL. Data from 4 strength tests were included in the current study. Before each of the  
85 strength test stations, the players warmed up for 5 min on a cycle ergometer with moderate  
86 load (70-100 W).

#### 87 **Quadriceps and hamstrings strength**

88 Maximal isokinetic knee extension and flexion torque were tested in a Technogym REV  
89 9000 dynamometer (Gamboletta, Cesena, Italy). We used a standardized test protocol with  
90 gradually increasing intensity and recorded the peak torque (Nm) for concentric quadriceps

91 and hamstring contraction on both legs. The axis of rotation of the dynamometer was  
92 individually aligned with the knee joint, and the hip angle was 90°. We used straps to  
93 minimize movements of the torso and the thigh segment of the tested extremity. The player  
94 held her arms across the chest. The test range of motion was 90° through 15° of knee  
95 flexion, with an angular velocity of 60°/s. Consequently, HQ-ratio was calculated for  
96 concentric strength at 60°/s.

97 After the testing in February 2012, the dynamometer was replaced by a new isokinetic  
98 dynamometer (Humac Norm, CSMi, Stoughton, MA, USA), and a similar proportion of  
99 handball (20%) and football players (22%) was tested with the new isokinetic dynamometer.  
100 Test-retest reliability of 27 recreational athletes from a separate cohort revealed excellent  
101 reproducibility with ICC-values (3,k) of 0.93 to 0.96 between the two dynamometers.  
102 However, mean peak muscle torque was on average 10 to 12% higher on the new  
103 dynamometer.

#### 104 **Hip abduction strength**

105 We measured maximal isometric hip abduction strength with a handheld dynamometer  
106 (Hydraulic Push-Pull Dynamometer, Baseline® Evaluation instruments, White Plains, NY,  
107 USA through 2011; MicroFET, Hoggan Health Industries, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA from  
108 2012) with the player in a supine position on an examination table. The pelvis, across spina  
109 iliaca anterior superior, and contralateral thigh were fixed with straps to the table. The player  
110 held her arms across the chest to avoid support from the arms or torso, while contracting  
111 her hip abductors. Isometric abduction strength was measured with the leg in extended and  
112 neutral position. We placed the dynamometer 3-5 cm proximal to the lateral malleolus and  
113 applied resistance in a fixed position for at least 2 s until a maximal contraction had been



114 reached. The player was allowed one test trial followed by 2 trials, of which the best,  
115 measured in kg, was recorded for analysis.

### 116 **Functional lower extremity strength**

117 To assess the combined maximal strength of the gluteal, quadriceps and hamstrings muscles,  
118 we used a custom-made plate-loaded, seated leg press machine. The measurements proved  
119 to have excellent reliability, even with different testers involved (ICC=0.83, unpublished  
120 data). The feet were placed approximately shoulder width apart and the players lowered the  
121 weights until 100° of knee flexion was achieved, before pushing back to the starting position  
122 of extended legs (25). We measured the footplate position at 100° of knee flexion during  
123 warm up and provided visual feedback of the proper depth throughout the remaining lifts.  
124 Based on a standardized test protocol with gradually increasing load, we recorded one  
125 repetition maximum (1 RM, kg). For the 2008 handball sub-cohort (6% of all players), all leg  
126 press strength measures are missing due to test procedural errors.

### 127 **Ethics approval**

128 The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics; South-Eastern Norway Regional  
129 Health Authority, and by the Norwegian Social Science Data Services, Norway approved the  
130 study. Players signed a written informed consent form before inclusion, including parental  
131 consent for players aged <18 yrs.

### 132 **Statistical protocol**

133 Data were analysed using STATA, version 12 (StataCorp, College station, Texas, USA), and  
134 descriptive data are presented as means with standard deviations (SD) and frequencies with  
135 corresponding percentages. The dominant leg was defined as the preferred kicking leg.

136 Muscle strength measures are presented as absolute and body mass normalized values. We  
137 did not impute individual missing strength values, as the average proportion of missing data  
138 did not exceed 6%. Only legs with missing data in all 4 strength tests were excluded from the  
139 final analyses. For players sustaining more than one ACL injury following baseline testing,  
140 we only included their first non-contact injury in the analyses.

141 Demographic data and baseline screening results were compared between players/legs with  
142 and without a new ACL injury by using chi-square tests for categorical data, Student's t-test  
143 for continuous variables when the criterion of independency was fulfilled, or by conducting  
144 robust regression models to account for dependencies between legs. A new non-contact  
145 ACL injury was the main outcome. We calculated the odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence  
146 intervals (CI) for players with and without an ACL injury history. For the final analyses the  
147 significance level was set at  $P < .05$ .

148 We followed a rigorous protocol with pre-defined procedures and variables of interest as  
149 described below. To ensure high statistical power, we decided to limit the number of primary  
150 variables (potential risk factors), and we generated 5 separate logistic regression models, one  
151 for each of the proposed risk factors; quadriceps, hamstrings, HQ-ratio, hip abduction, leg  
152 press (expressed as peak strength, normalized for body mass. New ACL injury was the  
153 outcome, using the leg as the unit of analysis. All models included the same set of  
154 adjustment factors to compensate for factors that could potentially influence injury risk: 1)  
155 sport, 2) dominant leg, 3) ACL injury history, 4) height, and if appropriate 5) isokinetic  
156 dynamometer. We calculated standardized odds ratios per 1 SD change with 95% confidence  
157 intervals for each risk factor and adjustment factor. In case there were significant  
158 associations between risk factor and outcome measure, we calculated receiver operating

159 characteristic (ROC) curves to investigate the sensitivity and specificity characteristics of the  
160 particular variable (21).

161 To examine long-term changes in strength, we retested 144 players (aged  $20.9 \pm 3.2$  yrs) 1 to 5  
162 yrs after the first test session ( $2.2 \pm 0.8$  yrs). We calculated the mean difference, the standard  
163 method error (SEM) (the SD of the difference divided by the square root of two) and the  
164 intraclass correlation (ICC (3,1)) with 95% CI between test session 1 and 2 (5).

## 165 **Results**

166 A total of 867 players were included in the final analyses, 420 handball and 447 football  
167 players (Figure 1). During follow-up, through May 2015, we recorded 80 ACL injuries. Of  
168 these, 12 players had multiple ACL injuries (11 players with 2 injuries and one player with 3  
169 injuries), which means that 67 players had at least one new ACL injury after baseline testing.  
170 Of the 67 index injuries suffered by these players, we recorded 9 as contact and 58 as non-  
171 contact/indirect contact. One of the players with a non-contact injury had to be excluded  
172 due to missing strength data, leaving us with 57 non-contact ACL injuries for analyses. The  
173 mean time between strength testing and a non-contact ACL injury was  $1.8 \pm 1.8$  yrs. Player  
174 demographics and injury history for prospectively ACL injured and uninjured players are  
175 presented in Table 1.

176

177 *[Table 1 near here]*

178 *[Figure 1 near here]*

179

180 **Univariate risk analysis**

181 Players with a new ACL injury did not differ significantly from those who remained free  
182 from ACL injury for any of the demographic or training history data. Almost every fourth  
183 player with a history of previous ACL injury ( $3.5 \pm 2.5$  yrs before baseline screening)  
184 sustained a new ACL rupture ( $n=13$ , 23%); 4 of these re-ruptured the same knee and 9  
185 suffered an ACL injury to the contralateral knee. The OR of sustaining a new ACL injury  
186 among those with a previous ACL injury compared to those with no ACL injury history was  
187 3.14 (95% CI 1.61 to 6.12). A total of 31 players (54%) sustained a new ACL injury in their  
188 non-dominant leg, with no greater injury risk in the non-dominant compared to the  
189 dominant leg ( $P>.05$ ). Among the 57 players who went on to suffer a new ACL injury there  
190 was no difference between their injured and uninjured leg for any of the 4 bilateral strength  
191 measurements ( $P>.05$ ) Strength in the dominant and non-dominant leg did not differ  
192 between injured and uninjured players for any of the 4 strength measures ( $P>.05$ ) (Table 2).

193

194 *[Table 2 near here]*

195

196 In a univariate comparison of strength, normalized to body mass, between injured and  
197 uninjured legs, significant differences were observed within the handball cohort, but not for  
198 the cohort at large when adjusted for leg dependencies (Table 3).

199

200 *[Table 3 near here]*

201

202 **Multivariate risk analysis**

203 The standardized ORs for each of the 5 multivariate logistic regression analyses are listed in  
204 Table 4. Adjusted for sport, dominant leg, ACL injury history, and height, none of the  
205 strength variables selected were significantly associated with a new ACL injury.

206

207 *[Table 4 near here]*

208

### 209 **Change of strength variables over time (reliability study)**

210 With an average time of 2.2 (SD 0.8) years between the 2 test-sessions, there were significant  
211 changes for leg press and hip abduction strength measures across test years (0-13%) (Table  
212 5). ICC values ranged between 0.21 for isometric hip strength to 0.75 for isokinetic strength  
213 measures.

214

215 *[Table 5 near here]*

## 216 **Discussion**

217 The main findings of this prospective cohort study on female elite players do not lend  
218 support to low muscle strength being a risk factor for ACL injury. None of the 5 strength  
219 variables selected, isokinetic quadriceps, hamstring and HQ-ratio (all concentric at 60°/s),  
220 isometric hip abduction in supine position, and 1 repetition maximum in a seated leg press,  
221 were associated with an increased injury risk among female elite handball and football players.  
222 Neither isolated nor functional strength seem to play a role in ACL injury risk. Hence, the  
223 contribution of peak strength to ACL injury risk needs to be questioned. Our findings are  
224 supported by a recent nested, matched case-control study, where Vacek et al measured knee,  
225 hip and ankle strength in a group of high school and college athletes, concluding that none

226 of these factors were significantly associated with ACL injury risk in a multivariate analysis  
227 (35).

#### 228 **Quadriceps and hamstrings strength and injury risk**

229 Neither knee extension or flexion torques at 60°/s with the hip at 90°, nor a HQ-strength  
230 ratio were associated with ACL injury risk in the current study population. Corresponding  
231 findings were reported from a study on military academy cadets (33), whereas low strength  
232 and HQ-strength ratios were found to increase injury risk in female high school and college  
233 athletes (23). Hamstring and quadriceps forces will generate compression of the knee joint,  
234 which in turn stabilizes the joint and possibly reduces frontal and transverse plane  
235 movements, and joint translation (17). Thereby, in theory, ACL loads generated during  
236 sudden changes of direction, jumping and landing tasks can be counterbalanced. As there  
237 was no significant association between peak strength and injury risk in our cohort, it seems  
238 clear that increasing muscle strength will not reduce ACL injury risk. However, this does not  
239 necessarily mean that we should stop focusing on the role of muscles in ACL injury  
240 causation. It can be speculated that muscle activation patterns rather than strength could  
241 differ between injured and uninjured players, or that muscle support for some reason was  
242 insufficient in the injury situation due to inadequate activation. Given that a rapid  
243 recruitment of the hamstring and quadriceps muscles may be important for “unloading” the  
244 ACL from high ground reaction and tibial translation forces during foot contact (3), we  
245 should probably focus on muscle pre-activation rather than on peak strength.

#### 246 **Hip abduction strength and injury risk**

247 Based on one prospective risk factor study assessing hip abduction strength on ACL injury  
248 risk (12) and on biomechanical understanding and the current literature, weakness in hip

249 abductor strength are suggested to predispose an athlete to higher hip adduction and hip  
250 internal rotation, which in turn will lead to greater knee medial motion and knee abduction  
251 moments (11,32). Interestingly, in conflict with this hypothesis, we observed a borderline  
252 association between *greater* hip abduction strength, when normalized for body mass, and  
253 ACL rupture ( $P=.06$ ). Reliability measures for hip strength were poor. Thus, these results  
254 should be interpreted with caution.

255 Female recreational athletes with greater hip external rotation strength combined with  
256 greater quadriceps and hamstring strength have been reported to exhibit a significant  
257 decrease in vertical ground reaction force during single-leg drop landings, and consequently  
258 less loading of the ACL (16). Despite of not having measured hip external rotation strength,  
259 we expected decreased, rather than increased, hip abduction strength to be associated with a  
260 subsequent ACL injury in our cohort. However, greater isometric external hip rotation  
261 strength has been found to be related to reduced frontal plane knee control during drop  
262 jumping in recreational female athletes (2), and in subjects who subsequently developed  
263 patellofemoral pain syndrome (6). Also, ACL-injured female athletes from the present  
264 cohort have displayed greater medial knee motion during a vertical drop jump task compared  
265 to uninjured controls (14). Based on these studies, it can be hypothesized that these players  
266 may have developed increased hip external rotation and hip abduction strength over time to  
267 counterbalance the increased dynamic knee valgus during dynamic tasks. This hypothesis  
268 needs to be confirmed in other cohorts.

#### 269 **Injury history, demographic factors and injury risk**

270 The consistent identification of previous injury as a risk factor for a subsequent new injury  
271 highlights the importance of avoiding the first injury. In the current study, the odds for

272 sustaining a new ACL injury in the group of players with an ACL injury history were tripled.  
273 Therefore, identifying intrinsic and extrinsic risk factors, also for recurrent ACL injuries, is  
274 significant when evaluating athletes, and specifically those with an injury history.

275 There are also investigations indicating that injuries increase the risk to sustain not just  
276 identical injuries, but also injuries to other body parts, most likely through changed motion  
277 patterns and altered biomechanics (9). As we did not have consistent information available on  
278 injury history other than a previous ACL injury, we could not investigate the role of injuries  
279 in general on ACL injury risk.

280

#### 281 **Methodological considerations**

282 We have to acknowledge several strengths and limitations of the present study when  
283 interpreting the findings.

284 With almost 900 female elite athletes tested, this prospective risk factor study is currently  
285 among the largest assessing potential associations between neuromuscular, biomechanical,  
286 and anatomical measurements and ACL injury risk. The strength variables selected for the  
287 present approach are in line with hypotheses taken from the literature to best address the  
288 current research question. Still, with 57 non-contact ACL injuries as the outcome measure,  
289 the study is not sufficiently powered to address more than 5 candidate risk factors including  
290 covariates at a time (1). As can be seen from the 95% confidence intervals, it is clear that  
291 none of the factors examined have strong associations with injury risk. In other words,  
292 increasing sample size would provide more precise odds ratios; yet they would still be  
293 clinically insignificant.



294 Moreover, we measured strength with standard measurement procedures widely used in  
295 clinical practice (8,32). However, it can be argued that ball game activities will include knee  
296 joint excursions with considerably higher velocity than the 60°/s we used for testing  
297 hamstrings and quadriceps strength. Alternatively, we could have measured knee extension  
298 and flexion strength at different angular velocities

299 As hip flexion typically is seen in landing situations to absorb forces, we also could have  
300 considered measuring hip abduction strength in side-lying (12) or with the hip flexed rather  
301 than extended to better mirror real-life motion patterns. It has been shown that different  
302 muscles are responsible for generating hip abduction force in a flexed hip compared with an  
303 extended hip (34). Moreover, we did not measure hip external rotator strength, which has  
304 been suggested to be associated with ACL injuries (12,16).

305 To address lower extremity strength more functionally in a closed kinetic chain, we decided  
306 to measure leg extension strength in a seated leg press machine.

307 As the majority of other investigations including strength measures, we chose to measure  
308 strength and torque values. However, peak torques may provide limited information about  
309 muscle performance during the full range of motion (8). Considering the need to develop  
310 sufficient muscle tension rapidly enough to provide dynamic joint stability, rate of force  
311 development and electromechanical delay measures could have been considered for the  
312 present purpose to identify ACL injury risk factors.

313 One obvious limitation with the current study is its length and the time between baseline  
314 strength testing and the main outcome measure, ACL injury: on average 1.8 years following  
315 testing. We do not have follow-up information available on player exposure to elite level play,  
316 their general injury history or strength training habits, that all may have changed over the

317 course of the study and potentially could have influenced an individual's risk for subsequent  
318 injury (22). We do have strength data from 144 players who have been tested twice over a 2-  
319 yr period. These repeated measures revealed strength changes from 0% for quadriceps and  
320 hamstrings strength and up to 13% for hip abduction strength. To reduce variability for  
321 potential changes in risk factors in the cohort, a subgroup-analysis of 23 players with an ACL  
322 injury within 1 year following screening revealed that this group had a lower HQ-ratio than  
323 the control group, but this injured group was also highly biased by having an ACL injury (5  
324 of 23 players). Excluding those players from the analysis, the effect of a low HQ-ratio on  
325 injury risk disappeared”.

326 Another limitation is that we relied on interviews with the athlete and medical staff to  
327 classify injuries as contact, indirect contact or non-contact. Recall bias and the ability to  
328 interpret what happened in an injury situation may be problematic. For this reason, we  
329 performed separate regression analyses with all prospective ACL injuries, contact and non-  
330 contact injuries, included. However, the outcome remained the same, documenting that  
331 potential misclassification of the mechanism of injury is not likely to change the results of  
332 this study.

### 333 **Implications**

334 Effective multifaceted exercise programs exist focusing on neuromuscular and plyometric  
335 components, as well as on trunk and lower limb stability to prevent knee and ACL injuries  
336 (18,24,28,36). Based on our findings, peak muscle strength does not seem to be of  
337 importance for ACL injury risk among female elite athletes. We do not know if our findings  
338 are generalizable to younger or lower level players, still, it may be questioned if strength  
339 exercises should be prioritized in multicomponent injury prevention programs. We

340 furthermore know that injury prevention programs can be effective, even with minimal of  
341 strength training exercises. Following a one-season neuromuscular ACL injury prevention  
342 program focusing on knee control, technique and balance exercises, and previously proven  
343 to reduce injury risk among female elite handball players (24), players increased their muscle  
344 activation of the medial hamstring muscles prior to landing (39).

345 However, there may be other benefits of strength training exercises than pure muscle  
346 strength improvements. Combined training of strength, plyometric and balance exercises  
347 may contribute to improved muscle recruitment and neuromuscular control of lower limb  
348 joint stability thereby stimulating neuromuscular control rather than increasing maximal  
349 strength.

## 350 **Conclusion**

351 None of the lower extremity strength variables examined were associated with an increased  
352 ACL injury risk among female elite ball sport athletes. Hence, peak strength as measured in  
353 the present study, cannot be used to screen elite female athletes to predict injury risk.

354 **Reference list**

- 355 1. Bahr R, Holme I. Risk factors for sports injuries--a methodological approach. *Br J Sports Med.* 2003;37(5):384-  
356 92.
- 357 2. Bandholm T, Thorborg K, Andersson E, *et al.* Increased external hip-rotation strength relates to reduced  
358 dynamic knee control in females: paradox or adaptation? *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2011;21(6):e215-21
- 359 3. Besier TF, Lloyd DG, Ackland TR. Muscle activation strategies at the knee during running and cutting  
360 maneuvers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35(1):119-27.
- 361 4. Beynnon BD, Fleming BC. Anterior cruciate ligament strain in-vivo: a review of previous work. *J Biomech.*  
362 1998;31(6):519-25.
- 363 5. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical  
364 measurement. *Lancet.* 1986;1(8476):307-10.
- 365 6. Boling MC, Padua DA, Alexander Creighton R. Concentric and eccentric torque of the hip musculature in  
366 individuals with and without patellofemoral pain. *J Athl Train.* 2009;44(1):7-13.
- 367 7. Claiborne TL, Armstrong CW, Gandhi V, Pincivero DM. Relationship between hip and knee strength and  
368 knee valgus during a single leg squat. *J Appl Biomech.* 2006;22(1):41-50.
- 369 8. Eitzen I, Eitzen TJ, Holm I, Snyder-Mackler L, Risberg MA. Anterior cruciate ligament-deficient potential  
370 copers and noncopers reveal different isokinetic quadriceps strength profiles in the early stage after injury. *Am J*  
371 *Sports Med.* 2010;38(3):586-93.
- 372 9. Hägglund M, Waldén M, Ekstrand J. Risk factors for lower extremity muscle injury in professional soccer:  
373 the UEFA Injury Study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2013;41(2):327-35.
- 374 10. Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, *et al.* Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus  
375 loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: a prospective study. *Am J*  
376 *Sports Med.* 2005;33(4):492-501.
- 377 11. Jacobs CA, Uhl TL, Mattacola CG, Shapiro R, Rayens WS. Hip abductor function and lower extremity  
378 landing kinematics: sex differences. *J Athl Train.* 2007;42(1):76-83.

- 379 12. Khayambashi K, Ghoddosi N, Straub RK, Powers CM. Hip Muscle Strength Predicts Noncontact Anterior  
380 Cruciate Ligament Injury in Male and Female Athletes: A Prospective Study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016;44(2):355-  
381 61.
- 382 13. Koga H, Nakamae A, Shima Y, *et al.* Mechanisms for noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries: knee  
383 joint kinematics in 10 injury situations from female team handball and basketball. *Am J Sports Med.*  
384 2010;38(11):2218-25.
- 385 14. Krosshaug T, Steffen K, Kristianslund EK, *et al.* Vertical drop jump tests cannot predict ACL injuries: A  
386 prospective cohort study of 710 female elite football (soccer) and handball players. *Am J Sports Med.*  
387 2016;44(4):874-83.
- 388 15. Lauersen JB, Bertelsen DM, Andersen LB. The effectiveness of exercise interventions to prevent sports  
389 injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48(11):871-  
390 7.
- 391 16. Lawrence RK 3rd, Kernozek TW, Miller EJ, Torry MR, Reuteman P. Influences of hip external rotation  
392 strength on knee mechanics during single-leg drop landings in females. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).*  
393 2008;23(6):806-13.
- 394 17. Lloyd DG, Buchanan TS, Besier TF. Neuromuscular biomechanical modeling to understand knee ligament  
395 loading. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2005;37(11):1939-47.
- 396 18. Mandelbaum BR, Silvers HJ, Watanabe DS, *et al.* Effectiveness of a neuromuscular and proprioceptive  
397 training program in preventing anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female athletes: 2-year follow-up. *Am J*  
398 *Sports Med.* 2005;33(7):1003-10.
- 399 19. McCall A, Davison M, Andersen TE, *et al.* Injury prevention strategies at the FIFA 2014 World Cup:  
400 perceptions and practices of the physicians from the 32 participating national teams. *Br J Sports Med.*  
401 2015;49(9):603-8.
- 402 20. McKay CD, Steffen K, Romiti M, Finch CF, Emery CA. The effect of coach and player injury knowledge,  
403 attitudes and beliefs on adherence to the FIFA 11+ programme in female youth soccer. *Br J Sports Med.*  
404 2014;48(17):1281-6.

- 405 21. Metz CE. Basic principles of ROC analysis. *Semin Nucl Med.* 1978;8(4):283-98.
- 406 22. Meeuwisse WH, Tyreman H, Hagel B, Emery C. A dynamic model of etiology in sport injury: the recursive  
407 nature of risk and causation. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2007;17(3):215-9.
- 408 23. Myer GD, Ford KR, Barber Foss KD, Liu C, Nick TG, Hewett TE. The relationship of hamstrings and  
409 quadriceps strength to anterior cruciate ligament injury in female athletes. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2009;19(1):3-8.
- 410 24. Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Brækken IH, Skjølberg A, Olsen OE, Bahr R. Prevention of anterior cruciate  
411 ligament injuries in female team handball players: a prospective intervention study over three seasons. *Clin J*  
412 *Sport Med.* 2003;13(2):71-8.
- 413 25. Nilstad A, Andersen TE, Bahr R, Holme I, Steffen K. Risk factors for lower extremity injuries in elite  
414 female soccer players. *Am J Sports Med.* 2014;42(4):940-8.
- 415 26. Oiestad BE, Holm I, Aune AK, *et al.* Knee function and prevalence of knee osteoarthritis after anterior  
416 cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective study with 10 to 15 years of follow-up. *Am J Sports Med.*  
417 2010;38(11):2201-10.
- 418 27. Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Injury mechanisms for anterior cruciate ligament injuries  
419 in team handball: a systematic video analysis. *Am J Sports Med.* 2004;32(4):1002-12.
- 420 28. Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Holme I, Bahr R. Exercises to prevent lower limb injuries in  
421 youth sports: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2005;330(7489):449.
- 422 29. Prodromos CC, Han Y, Rogowski J, Joyce B, Shi K. A meta-analysis of the incidence of anterior cruciate  
423 ligament tears as a function of gender, sport, and a knee injury-reduction regimen. *Arthroscopy.*  
424 2007;23(12):1320-1325.
- 425 30. Shultz SJ, Schmitz RJ, Nguyen AD, *et al.* ACL Research Retreat V: an update on ACL injury risk and  
426 prevention, March 25-27, 2010, Greensboro, NC. *J Athl Train.* 2010;45(5):499-508.
- 427 31. Stearns KM, Powers CM. Improvements in hip muscle performance result in increased use of the hip  
428 extensors and abductors during a landing task. *Am J Sports Med.* 2014;42(3):602-9.

- 429 32. Thorborg K, Bandholm T, Hölmich P. Hip- and knee-strength assessments using a hand-held  
430 dynamometer with external belt-fixation are inter-tester reliable. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.*  
431 2013;21(3):550-5.
- 432 33. Uhorchak JM, Scoville CR, Williams GN, Arciero RA, St Pierre P, Taylor DC. Risk factors associated with  
433 noncontact injury of the anterior cruciate ligament: a prospective four-year evaluation of 859 West Point cadets.  
434 *Am J Sports Med.* 2003;31(6):831-42.
- 435 34. Vaarbakken K, Steen H, Samuelsen G, *et al.* Lengths of the external hip rotators in mobilized cadavers  
436 indicate the quadriceps coxa as a primary abductor and extensor of the flexed hip. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).*  
437 2014;29(7):794-802.
- 438 35. Vacek PM, Slauterbeck, JR, Tourville TW, *et al.* Multivariate analysis of the risk factors for first-time  
439 noncontact ACL injury in high school and college athletes: a prospective cohort study with a nested, matched  
440 case-control analysis. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016: Published online March 29.
- 441 36. Waldén M, Atroshi I, Magnusson H, Wagner P, Hägglund M. Prevention of acute knee injuries in  
442 adolescent female football players: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2012;344:e3042.
- 443 37. Waldén M, Hägglund M, Werner J, Ekstrand J. The epidemiology of anterior cruciate ligament injury in  
444 football (soccer): a review of the literature from a gender-related perspective. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.*  
445 2011;19(1):3-10.
- 446 38. Weinhandl JT, Earl-Boehm JE, Ebersole KT, Huddleston WE, Armstrong BS, O'Connor KM. Reduced  
447 hamstring strength increases anterior cruciate ligament loading during anticipated sidestep cutting. *Clin Biomech*  
448 *(Bristol, Avon).* 2014;29(7):752-9.
- 449 39. Zebis MK, Bencke J, Andersen LL, *et al.* The effects of neuromuscular training on knee joint motor control  
450 during sidestepping in female elite soccer and handball players. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2008;18(4):329-37.

## Figure legend

Figure 1: Flowchart of included and excluded players



## **Acknowledgments**

The authors acknowledge all players in the Norwegian female premier football league and handball league for their participation in this study. We also thank Dr Ingar Holme and Dr Kam-Ming Mok for valuable discussions, and all members of the project group and research assistants who contributed to the data collection. The Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center has been established at the Norwegian School of Sport Sciences through generous grants from the Royal Norwegian Ministry of Culture, the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority, the International Olympic Committee, the Norwegian Olympic Committee & Confederation of Sport, and Norsk Tipping AS. This study also received financial support from the FIFA Medical Assessment and Research Centre.

## **Conflict of interest**

None of the co-authors declare any conflict of interest. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by the American College of Sports Medicine.