SPORTS MEDICINE

Cohen's MRI scoring system has limited value in predicting return to play

Bruce Hamilton^{1,2} · Arnlaug Wangensteen^{1,3} · Rod Whiteley¹ · Emad Almusa¹ · Liesel Geertsema¹ · Stephen Targett¹ · Johannes L. Tol^{1,4}

Received: 11 May 2016 / Accepted: 7 December 2016 © The Author(s) 2017. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract

Purpose Numerous authors have hypothesised that MRI scoring systems provide a valid means of predicting return to play duration following an acute hamstring muscle strain. The purpose is to prospectively investigate the predictive value of the MRI scoring system of Cohen for return to sport (RTS), following an acute hamstring injury. *Methods* Male football (soccer) players (n = 139) with acute onset posterior thigh pain underwent standardised clinical and MRI examinations within 5 days after injury. All players underwent a standardised physiotherapy regimen with RTS documented. The MRI scoring was statistically evaluated against RTS.

Results One hundred and ten MRI-positive hamstring injuries were evaluated with RTS duration ranging from 1 to 66 days. Total Cohen's MRI score accounted for approximately 4% of the variance in RTS duration. When comparing those with an MRI score of 10 or more took on average 9.8 days longer to RTS than those with an MRI score less than 10 (effect size: 0.85, p < 0.01).

Johannes L. Tol johannes.tol@aspetar.com

- ² High Performance Sport NZ, Sport Research Institute of New Zealand, Millenium Institute of Sport and Health, Mairangi Bay, Auckland, New Zealand
- ³ Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center, Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sports Science, Oslo, Norway
- ⁴ Acedemic Center for Evidence Based Medicine (ACES), Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Conclusions Utilising the Cohen's MRI scoring system previously described, we were unable to provide a clinically useful prognosis for RTS in male soccer players. This may reflect the broader challenges of attempting to accurately determine RTS duration from imaging performed at a single point in time.

Level of evidence Prospective case series, IV.

Keywords Muscle injury · MRI · Return to play

Abbreviations

CI	Confidence interval
Cm	Centimetres
IQR	Interquartile range
PD-FS	Proton density fat saturated
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
RTS	Return to sport
SD	Standard deviation

Introduction

Hamstring muscle injuries remain one of the most common injuries in sport, with a correspondingly high re-injury rate [10–13, 19]. For medical staff, it remains a challenge to accurately diagnose and treat hamstring muscle injury. Furthermore, providing an accurate estimation of return to sport (RTS) proves to be even more difficult.

Recently, a number of authors have presented classification and grading systems for muscle injuries, with the goal of assisting in the prediction of RTS duration [4, 5, 21, 24]. Ekstrand et al. and Mueller-Wohlfhart et al. [10, 21] presented a comprehensive system for the classification and grading of muscle injuries, incorporating history, examination and radiological features, which was subsequently



¹ Aspetar, Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, Doha, Qatar

validated suggesting some predictive capability in footballers. Despite conflicting evidence [20, 25], authors have attempted to grade and classify hamstring injuries based solely on MRI interpretation, in an effort to improve prediction of time to RTS. Pollock et al. [23, 24] described a system for the grading of muscle injuries in track and field competitors, and a retrospective validation supports elements of its classification. Chan et al. [4] described an MRI-based classification and grading methodology but present no data to support this approach.

Cohen et al. [5] developed an MRI-based grading system, incorporating a novel scoring system based on age and a range of MRI variables. In a retrospective analysis of 43 injuries in NFL players over a 10-year period, Cohen et al. assessed the relationship between their MRI observations and the number of games lost to injury. The authors concluded that a rapid return to play was more likely in those injuries with an MRI score of less than 10, compared to a score of greater than 10 (range 11-maximal 16). Indicators of a poor prognosis included multiple muscle involvement, a higher percentage (>75%) of transverse muscle involvement, more than 10 cm of craniocaudal involvement and muscle retraction. Ultimately, they concluded that their MRI score was useful in determining injury severity and predicting RTS duration in professional footballers.

However, the work of Cohen has several limitations, including its retrospective nature with potential recall bias, limited subject numbers and a lack of detail regarding the return to sport process. Our goal was to prospectively evaluate the predictive value of Cohen's MRI scoring system in a football (soccer) setting.

Materials and methods

This study is based on pooled data from a randomised controlled trial (RCT) [15] and a prospective cohort study of acute hamstring injuries [28]. Both studies were conducted at Aspetar Hospital, Qatar. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Eligibility criteria for enrolment in both pools of the study are presented in Table 1. Between 2011 and 2014, professional football players with MRI-positive hamstring strain injuries were recruited from sporting clubs and federations in Qatar. All patients were assessed by a sports physician using a standardised approach [15], including an MRI within 5 days of injury.

Athletes in the RCT study were randomised into three groups, receiving an injection of platelet-rich plasma (PRP), platelet-poor plasma (PPP) or no injection. Each group followed a 6-stage criteria-based physiotherapy programme including sports-specific functional field testing designed to mimic the fatigue and competitiveness of full unrestricted training [27]. The RCT showed no benefit of PRP or PPP over no injection but a delayed time to RTS for PPP compared to PRP. The athletes included in the prospective case series received either the rehabilitation described above or a custom-made rehabilitation at either the study centre or their club or federation.

Time to RTS was defined as the number of days from initial injury until the athlete was cleared to resume full, unrestricted training by the treating physician. Players receiving rehabilitation at the study centre were evaluated by the treating physician on the day of completing the final stage of the functional field testing. Criteria for the

Table 1 Study eligibility criteria

Prospective case series	Randomised controlled trial
Inclusion criteria	Inclusion criteria
Male professional soccer player	Male professional soccer player
Age 18–50 years	Age 18–50 years
Acute onset of posterior thigh pain when training or competing ≤ 5 days	Acute onset of posterior thigh pain
after injury	Presenting and MRI within 5 days from injury
Clinical diagnosis ≤ 5 days after injury	MRI confirmed hamstring lesion
MRI performed \leq 5 days from injury	Able to perform five sessions of physiotherapy a week at our clinic
Available for follow-up	Available for follow-up
MRI confirmed hamstring lesion	Exclusion criteria
Exclusion criteria	Contraindication to MRI
Re-injury ≤ 2 months after RTS [11]	Re-injury ≤ 2 months after RTS [2] or chronic hamstring injury
Chronic hamstring complaints >2 months	>2 months
Grade III hamstring tear	Other concurrent injury inhibiting rehabilitation
Contraindications to MRI	Unwilling to comply with follow-up
Already included with prior injury	Needle phobia
	Overlying skin infection
	Diabetes, immune-compromised state
	Medication with increasing bleeding risk
	Medical contraindication to injection

RTS decision-making included successful and asymptomatic completion of the criteria-driven rehabilitation programme, clinical evaluation and the subjective interpretation of an isokinetic assessment [27]. For athletes receiving rehabilitation in the clubs or federations, time to RTS was recorded as when the athlete returned to full, unrestricted training. The time to RTS was provided by club medical staff to the research team by phone or email on a weekly basis. The RTS decision-makers were not blinded to the baseline assessments or the MRI findings, but were blind to the Cohen MRI score, which was not included in the MRI report.

Magnetic resonance imaging

MRI images were taken of the hamstring muscles within 5 days of injury with a 1.5-T magnet system (Magnetom Espree, Siemens) using a body matrix coil. Initially, coronal and transversal proton density (PD)-weighted images (TR/TE of 3000/30 ms, FOV of 220–240 mm, slice thickness of 5 mm and a 333 \times 512 matrix) were collected. Subsequently, coronal and transversal proton density fat saturation (PD-FS) images (TR/TE of 3000+/30 ms, FOV of 220–320 mm, slice thickness of 3,5 mm, a 326 \times 512 matrix for the coronal images and a 333 \times 512 matrix for the transversal images) were obtained.

MRIs positive for injury were scored according to the variables as described by Cohen et al. (Tables 2, 3) [4] by a

radiologist with more than 9 years of experience in musculoskeletal radiology (EA). Using the same radiologist, excellent inter- and intra-rater correlation coefficients (ICCs) for grading and MRI variables have been previously reported [17].

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Aspetar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital and the Anti-Doping Laboratory Qatar Ethics Committee (reference number 2012-018).

Statistical analysis

Examination of the relationship between the Cohen MRI score and the time to RTS was initially performed using descriptive statistics (including tests of normality) and construction of a simple scatter plot, upon which a line of best fit and associated 95% confidence intervals were constructed. As the test for normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov) was violated for both variables (significance for Cohen's MRI score: 0.003 and time to RTS: 0.041), Spearman's rho was estimated as the correlation coefficient. Finally, the group was split into two cohorts: those with a Cohen MRI score of less than (<10) or greater than/equal to 10 (>10). These two groups were compared for their time to RTS with descriptive summary statistics, between group differences and their associated 95% confidence intervals. No formal sample size calculation was performed.

MRI variable	Detail
Muscles or tendons involved	Semimembranosus; biceps femoris short head, biceps femoris long head, semitendinosus
Location of involvement	Origin avulsion, proximal myotendinous junction, muscle belly, distal myotendinous junction, insertion avulsion
Cross-sectional area percentage of involvement	0, 25, 50, 75, 100%
Tendon or muscle retraction	Centimetres
Signs of chronic tendinopathy	Abnormal morphology or signal in uninjured structures, peritendinous and perimuscular oedema, intramuscular cysts
Craniocaudal sagittal extent	Extent of abnormal hyperintense signal on the T2 PD-FS- weighted sequences. Centimetres
	MRI variable Muscles or tendons involved Location of involvement Cross-sectional area percentage of involvement Tendon or muscle retraction Signs of chronic tendinopathy Craniocaudal sagittal extent

Table 3	Scoring	of MRI	variables	measured	(based)	on Cohen	et al.	. [5])

Score	Age (years)	Muscles involved (<i>n</i>)	Location	Insertion	Muscle injury (transverse %)	Retraction (cm)	Sagittal length (cm)
0				No	0-<25	None	0
1	≤25	1	Proximal		25-<50	<2	1-<5
2	26-31	2	Middle	Yes	50-<75	<u>≥</u> 2	5-<10
3	≥32	3	Distal		≥75		≥10

cm centimetres

Table 4	Summary	statistics for	Cohen's	s score and	time to	RTS
---------	---------	----------------	---------	-------------	---------	-----

	Total Cohen's score	Time to RTS (days)
Mean (95% CI)	7.9 (7.5–8.2)	22.7 (20.6–24.7)
Median (IQR)	8 (2)	21 (13)
SD	1.7	11.025
Minimum	4	1
Maximum	12	66
Pearson's rho	0.205 (p = 0.032)	

RTS return to sport, *CI* confidence interval, *IQR* interquartile range, *SD* standard deviation

Results

We identified 139 professional footballers with a clinically suspected acute hamstring injury. Twenty-eight injuries were MRI negative, and one injury did not have a cross-sectional area documented, and they were therefore excluded from the analysis. Of the 110 included footballers, the average age at injury was 26 years (range 18–39 years), height 177 cm and weight 73 kg and represented a range of ethnicities including 52.7% Arab, 30.0% Black, 1.8% Caucasian, 5.5% South and East Asian, 4.5% Persian and 5.5% other.

In 89 patients (80.2%), only one muscle was identified as injured, in 21 patients (18.9%) two muscles were injured and in one case (0.9%), three muscles were injured. The primary injury was observed to the long head of the biceps femoris (n = 89, 81%), semimembranosus (n = 17, 15%), semitendinosus (n = 3, 3%) or the short head of the biceps femoris (n = 1, 1%). Twenty-one (18.9%) injuries were located in the proximal muscle, 46 (41.4%) mid-muscle and 44 (39.6%) distally. No insertional injuries or muscle retraction was noted, as complete (Grade 3) ruptures were exclusion criteria. Cross-sectional muscle involvement was <25% (n = 76, 69.7%), 25–49% (n = 18, 16.5%), 50–74% (n = 10, 9.2%) and $\geq 75\%$ (n = 5, 4.6%). Longitudinal muscle involvement was 1–5 cm (n = 16, 14.6%), 6–10 cm (n = 37, 33.6%) and >10 cm (n = 57, 51.8%). The average total Cohen's MRI score was 7.9 (range 4–12, SD: 1.72). RTS duration ranged from 1 to 66 days with a mean of 22.65 days (SD: 11.03) (Table 4).

The relationship between the total Cohen's MRI score and time to RTS is illustrated in Fig. 1. On average, RTS in those with a score of 10 or more (mean 30 days; median 30 days) took 9.8 days longer than a score of less than 10 (mean 20 days; median 19 days; effect size: 0.85, p < 0.01) (Fig. 2). Assuming the Cohen MRI score as a continuous variable, Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.21(p = 0.03), and when not considered as a continuous variable, Spearman's correlation coefficient = 0.18 (n.s.), suggesting only approximately 4% of the variance in time to RTS is explained by the Cohen MRI score.

Of the individual variables incorporated into the Cohen model, only the number of muscles involved was found to have a significant relationship with time to RTS (Fig. 3).



Fig. 1 Scatter plot illustrating the correlation between the total Cohen's score and time to return to sport (days) including regression line (*solid line*) with 95% confidence intervals (*dashed lines*)



Fig. 2 Relationship between total Cohen's score < 10 and ≥ 10 with RTS duration. *Dots* represent time to RTS (days; *left axis*) for individual subjects. The *horizontal bars and vertical* whiskers represent mean time to RTS (days) and standard deviation, respectively (*right axis*)



Fig. 3 Mean number of days to return to sport grouped by number of muscles involved (whiskers represent SD). Note, as there was only one subject with three muscles involved, no SD is presented for this series

Discussion

The most important finding of this prospective study was that the MRI scoring system of Cohen et al. has no clinical value for predicting time to RTS. Examination of the relationship between the total Cohen's MRI score and time to RTS shows that nearly all Cohen's MRI scores identified may be associated with a time to RTS spanning the entire time to RTS range (Fig. 1), and that the Cohen MRI score accounted for only 4% of the variance in RTS duration following an acute hamstring injury. While a total Cohen's MRI score of less than 10 had a significantly distinct mean outcome in comparison with those with a score of 10 or more, the large standard deviation effectively eliminates any clinical utility (Fig. 2). Furthermore, of all the variables recorded, only the total number of muscles involved correlated with time to RTS (Fig. 3). Based on this evaluation using the scoring system described by Cohen et al. [5], MRI provided no prognostic relevance for time to RTS. This finding is in conflict with the original retrospective study of 43 hamstring injuries, in which the authors concluded that a higher score, muscle tendon involvement, a high (>75%) muscle involvement and retraction were predictive of a delayed time to RTS, and that MRI was "reliable in determining severity of injury and time away from sport in hamstring injuries...".

Clinical muscle injury grading systems, purporting to establish injury severity, have been utilised for over 50 years, but until recently have lacked any empirical validation [16]. Since the late 1990s, the use of MRI has become increasingly popular in both elucidating a clear diagnosis and establishing a prognosis, but until recently there has been no attempt to validate their ability to determine time to RTS. The literature remains conflicting on the ability of various grading and classification systems to predict time to RTS [9]. In particular, recent classification and grading systems developed by the "Munich consensus group" [21] and the British Athletics group [23, 24] have proposed comprehensive systems, purporting to provide prognostic value. Despite these classification and grading proposals, recent investigators have questioned the prognostic merit of a single MRI investigation following injury [9, 20]. Rationale for this may relate to the observation that each specific injury pathology will vary in three dimensional size, location, tissue involvement (muscle/tendon/ fascia), and will sit within an even more biologically complex individual. Time to RTS following injury depends on a multitude of inter-related factors, of which injury "pathology", while likely an important factor, sits within a broader psychological, social and political framework [7, 26]. For these reasons, the expectation that a single image may provide an accurate prognosis appears unrealistic [18, 28].

Using the number of games missed as their outcome measure, they found a strong correlation between the total MRI score and outcome, with higher scores missing more games. Using a prospective design, with a more sensitive outcome measure (days missed) and a larger sample, we have been unable to reproduce this finding. There are a number of technical reasons why we found a different result to that of Cohen et al., including difficulties we experienced reproducing the original methodology. Unfortunately, the nature of the original manuscript makes it difficult to determine whether we have followed exactly the same methodology. For example, the muscle injury area is reported as absolute (i.e. 0, 25, 50 or >75%), while we interpreted this slightly differently (see Table 2). Similarly, while the authors report that the lowest MRI score possible is 2, they also describe two MRI-negative injuries (reported as grade 0 on the "traditional MRI grade"); with an MRI-negative injury, it would appear possible to score 1. While Cohen et al. also utilised a "traditional grading system", we restricted the current assessment to the evaluation of the MRI score developed by Cohen et al., as this is both novel and aligned with other recently proposed MRI grading systems. That Cohen et al. [5] utilised games missed as their outcome measure, while we utilised days missed, may also have altered the findings, although days missed before return to sport, appear a more appropriate measure of injury severity.

Of all the variables measured, only the number of muscles involved was associated with time to RTS. This is in contrast to previous research which has suggested that injury size [14] and location [1, 2] may play a role in time to RTS. Of note, many previous studies assessing the merits of MRI for prediction of time to RTS have typically only assessed the largest or most significantly injured muscle [10, 14]. Our observation that injury size did not correlate with time to RTS, suggests that this approach may be flawed. It is possible that a muscle with a smaller injury, but involving specific elements of the muscle–tendon unit, may be the determining component in time to RTS [3, 6, 8, 24].

Limitations of our study include that the return to sports decision-makers were not blinded to the results of the imaging. Awareness of the imaging may therefore have influenced the time to RTS, although the specific Cohen's MRI score was not utilised clinically nor were the specific MRI variables reported independently. Furthermore, we have only included MRI-positive injuries, which may not reflect the complete spectrum of hamstring injuries, thereby influencing our RTS duration. Utilising pooled data and differences in setting and rehabilitation received [22] may reduce the accuracy of our findings, although it increases the generalisability. High levels of compliance in reporting data and methodology were generally achieved.

Conclusions

Using the system of grading hamstring muscle injuries originally described by Cohen et al., which incorporates many factors thought to be relevant in determining the severity of hamstring injuries, we were unable to provide a clinically useful prognosis for return to sport, indicating the lack of clinical relevance of the Cohen classification system. Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the Sports Medicine Physicians and the staff in the Outpatient Department, the staff in the Radiology Department, the Rehabilitation Department and the Orthopaedic Department at Aspetar. In addition, we would like to recognise the contribution of the staff from the Qatar National Sports Medicine Program (NSMP) for their contribution to the study and to Sirine Boukarroum for her outstanding work with data collection.

Author's contribution BH, AW, RW and JT participated in the design of the study. RW performed the statistical analysis. BH, AW, RW, LG, ST and JT contributed to conduction of the study and participated the study inclusions. EA scored the MRIs. All authors helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors certify that they have no affiliations with or financial involvement in any organisation or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or material discussed in this article.

Funding No funding was obtained for the work associated with this study.

Ethical approval The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Aspetar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital and the Anti-Doping Laboratory Qatar Ethics Committee (reference number 2012-018).

Informed consent Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Askling CM, Tengvar M, Saartok T, Thorstensson A (2006) Acute first-time hamstring strains during high-speed running: a longitudinal study including clinical and magnetic resonance imaging findings. Am J Sports Med 35:197–206
- Askling CM, Tengvar M, Thorstensson A (2013) Acute hamstring injuries in Swedish elite football: a prospective randomised controlled clinical trial comparing two rehabilitation protocols. Br J Sports Med 47:953–959
- Brukner P, Connell D (2016) "Serious thigh muscle strains": beware the intramuscular tendon which plays an important role in difficult hamstring and quadriceps muscle strains. Br J Sports Med 50:205–208
- Chan O, Del Buono A, Best TM, Maffulli N (2012) Acute muscle strain injuries: a proposed new classification system. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 20:2356–2362
- Cohen SB, Towers JD, Zoga A, Irrgang JJ, Makda J, Deluca PF, Bradley JP (2011) Hamstring injuries in professional football

players: magnetic resonance imaging correlation with return to play. Sports Health Multidiscip Approach 3:423–430

- Comin J, Malliaras P, Baquie P, Barbour T, Connell D (2012) Return to competitive play after hamstring injuries involving disruption of the central tendon. Am J Sports Med 41:111–115
- Creighton DW, Shrier I, Shultz R, Meeuwisse WH, Matheson GO (2010) Return-to-play in sport: a decision-based model. Clin J Sport Med 20:379–385
- Cross TM (2004) Acute quadriceps muscle strains: magnetic resonance imaging features and prognosis. Am J Sports Med 32:710–719
- De Vos R-J, Reurink G, Goudswaard G-J, Moen MH, Weir A, Tol JL (2014) Clinical findings just after return to play predict hamstring re-injury, but baseline MRI findings do not. Br J Sports Med 48:1377–1384
- Ekstrand J, Askling C, Magnusson H, Mithoefer K (2013) Return to play after thigh muscle injury in elite football players: implementation and validation of the Munich muscle injury classification. Br J Sports Med 47:769–774
- Ekstrand J, Hagglund M, Walden M (2011) Epidemiology of muscle injuries in professional football (soccer). Am J Sports Med 39:1226–1232
- Ekstrand J, Hagglund M, Walden M (2009) Injury incidence and injury patterns in professional football: the UEFA injury study. Br J Sports Med 45:553–558
- Ekstrand J, Lee JC, Healy JC (2016) MRI findings and return to play in football: a prospective analysis of 255 hamstring injuries in the UEFA Elite Club Injury Study. Br J Sports Med 50(12):738–743
- Gibbs NJ, Cross TM, Cameron M, Houang MT (2004) The accuracy of MRI in predicting recovery and recurrence of acute grade one hamstring muscle strains within the same season in Australian Rules football players. J Sci Med Sport 7:248–258
- Hamilton B, Tol JL, Almusa E, Boukarroum S, Eirale C, Farooq A, Whiteley R, Chalabi H (2015) Platelet-rich plasma does not enhance return to play in hamstring injuries: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Sports Med 49:943–950
- Hamilton B, Valle X, Rodas G, Til L, Pruna Grive R, Gutierrez Rincon JA, Tol JL (2015) Classification and grading of muscle injuries: a narrative review. Br J Sports Med 49:306
- Hamilton B, Whiteley R, Almusa E, Roger B, Geertsema C, Tol JL (2014) Excellent reliability for MRI grading and prognostic parameters in acute hamstring injuries. Br J Sports Med 48:1385–1387
- Jacobsen P, Witvrouw E, Muxart P, Tol JL, Whiteley R (2016) A combination of initial and follow-up physiotherapist examination

predicts physician-determined time to return to play after hamstring injury, with no added value of MRI. Br J Sports Med 50:431–439

- Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Es N, Wieldraaijer T, Sierevelt IN, Ekstrand J, Dijk CN (2012) Diagnosis and prognosis of acute hamstring injuries in athletes. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 21:500–509
- Moen MH, Reurink G, Weir A, Tol JL, Maas M, Goudswaard GJ (2014) Predicting return to play after hamstring injuries. Br J Sports Med 48:1358–1363
- Mueller-Wohlfahrt H-W, Haensel L, Mithoefer K, Ekstrand J, English B, McNally S, Orchard J, van Dijk CN, Kerkhoffs GM, Schamasch P, Blottner D, Swaerd L, Goedhart E, Ueblacker P (2012) Terminology and classification of muscle injuries in sport: the Munich consensus statement. Br J Sports Med 47:342–350
- 22. Pas HIMFL, Reurink G, Tol JL, Weir A, Winters M, Moen MH (2015) Efficacy of rehabilitation (lengthening) exercises, platelet-rich plasma injections, and other conservative interventions in acute hamstring injuries: an updated systematic review and metaanalysis. Br J Sports Med 49:1197–1205
- Pollock N, James SLJ, Lee JC, Chakraverty R (2014) British athletics muscle injury classification: a new grading system. Br J Sports Med 48:1347–1351
- 24. Pollock N, Patel A, Chakraverty J, Suokas A, James SLJ, Chakraverty R (2016) Time to return to full training is delayed and recurrence rate is higher in intratendinous ("c") acute hamstring injury in elite track and field athletes: clinical application of the British Athletics Muscle Injury Classification. Br J Sports Med 50(12):738–743
- Reurink G, Brilman EG, de Vos R-J, Maas M, Moen MH, Weir A, Goudswaard GJ, Tol JL (2015) Magnetic resonance imaging in acute hamstring injury: can we provide a return to play prognosis? Sports Med Auckl NZ 45:133–146
- Shrier I, Safai P, Charland L (2014) Return to play following injury: whose decision should it be? Br J Sports Med 48:394–401
- 27. Tol JL, Hamilton B, Eirale C, Muxart P, Jacobsen P, Whiteley R (2014) At return to play following hamstring injury the majority of professional football players have residual isokinetic deficits. Br J Sports Med 48:1364–1369
- 28. Wangensteen A, Almusa E, Boukarroum S, Farooq A, Hamilton B, Whiteley R, Bahr R, Tol JL (2015) MRI does not add value over and above patient history and clinical examination in predicting time to return to sport after acute hamstring injuries: a prospective cohort of 180 male athletes. Br J Sports Med 49:1579–1587