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This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.
eTable 1: Questions to assess participation in leisure time physical activity

| Types of physical activity | - Walking for pleasure (not as a means of transport) |
| :--- | :--- |
| in last 4 weeks UK |  |
| Biobank Field ID: 6164: | - Other exercises (eg: swimming, cycling, keep fit, bowling) |
|  | - Strenuous sports <br> - Light DIY (eg: pruning, watering the lawn) <br> - Heavy DIY (eg: weeding, lawn mowing, carpentry, digging) <br> - None of the above <br> - Prefer not to answer |
|  | - |

eTable 2: characteristics of the non-exercisers who were excluded from the analyses

|  | Included $(\mathrm{n}=22,398)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluded* } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=68,782) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Follow up in yrs, mean (sd) | 6.7 (1.2) | 6.8 (1.2) |
| Age, mean (sd) | 62.0 (7.6) | 61.9 (7.9) |
| Male, n (\%) | 10,122 (45.2) | 29,754 (43.3) |
| Ethnicity, n (\%) |  |  |
| Asian | 303 (1.4) | 740 (1.1) |
| Black | 237 (1.1) | 519 (0.8) |
| Mixed | 142 (0.6) | 354 (0.5) |
| Other | 207 (0.9) | 552 (0.8) |
| White | 21,509 (96.0) | 66,575 (96.9) |
| Smoking history, n (\%) |  |  |
| Current | 2,066 (9.2) | 4,177 (6.1) |
| Never | 12,499 (55.8) | 39,492 (57.6) |
| Previous | 7,833 (35.0) | 24,893 (36.3) |
| asmoking pack years, n (\%) |  |  |
| Current and <22 pack years | 474 (2.1) | 1,004 (1.5) |
| Current and 22 to 38 pack years | 494 (2.2) | 723 (1.1) |
| Current and >38 pack years | 502 (2.2) | 606 (0.9) |
| Never | 12,499 (55.8) | 39,545 (57.7) |
| Previous and <11 pack years | 1,656 (7.4) | 5,977 (8.7) |
| Previous and 11 to 25 pack years | 1,854 (8.3) | 5,878 (8.6) |
| Previous and >25 pack years | 1,687 (7.5) | 3,878 (5.7) |
| Alcohol consumption, $\mathbf{n}$ (\%) |  |  |
| Never | 861 (3.8) | 1,781 (2.6) |
| Ex-drinker | 752 (3.4) | 1,728 (2.5) |
| Within guidelines | 13,278 (59.3) | 38,406 (56.2) |
| Above guidelines | 7,507 (33.5) | 26,376 (38.6) |
| Education, $\mathbf{n}$ (\%) |  |  |
| College/University | 8,081 (36.1) | 31,189 (45.3) |
| A/AS level | 2,854 (12.7) | 9,048 (13.2) |
| O level | 4,904 (21.9) | 13,607 (19.8) |
| CSE | 1,178 (5.3) | 2,419 (3.5) |
| NVQ/HND/HNC | 1,420 (6.3) | 3,464 (5.0) |
| Other | 3,961 (17.7) | 9,055 (13.2) |
| Fruit and vegetable consumption | 7.4 (4.2) | 8.4 (4.5) |
| Prevalent CVD, n (\%) | 2,342 (10.5) | 6,414 (9.3) |
| Family history of cancer, n (\%) | 5,596 (25.0) | 17,364 (25.2) |
| Medication, $\mathbf{n}$ (\%) |  |  |
| Cholesterol | 3,781 (16.9) | 9,407 (13.7) |


| Blood pressure | $4,438(19.8)$ | $10,999(16.0)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Insulin | $205(0.9)$ | $422(0.6)$ |
| Sedentary behaviour in mins, median [IQR] | $652[593,712]$ | $638[580,695]$ |
| Light intensity in mins, median [IQR] | $88.5[59.5,136.1]$ | $90.9[62.7,139.2]$ |
| Moderate intensity in mins, median [IQR] | $23.8[13.5,39.6]$ | $27.8[16.2,44.9]$ |
| Vigorous intensity in mins, median [IQR] | $4.1[1.4,10.4]$ | $4.8[2.3,12.1]$ |
| Sleep duration in mins, median [IQR] | $437[396,475]$ | $439[400,474]$ |
| VILPA bout duration up to 1 minute, median [IQR] | $3.9[1.2,9.1]$ | $4.6[1.5,8.5]$ |
| VILPA bout duration up to $\mathbf{2}$ minute, median [IQR] | $4.1[1.4,10.0]$ | $4.8[2.3,11.6]$ |
| *Due to missing data, values may not add up to full sample; sd - standard deviation; $1 Q R$ - interquartile range |  |  |

eTable 3: Number of events per physical activity-related cancer site. Total Cancer Incidence was defined as hospital episode, cancer registration, or death attributed to any cancer excluded in situ, benign, uncertain, non-melanoma skin cancers, or non-well-defined cancers. (ICD-10 codes beginning with 'C0' 'C1' 'C2' 'C3' 'C4' (excluding C49.9) 'C5' 'C6' 'C70' 'C71' 'C72' 'C73' 'C74' 'C75' 'C7A' 'C8' 'C9')).
Physical activity-related cancer
n
incidence included the following 13
sites:
Esophageal adenocarcinoma
59
Liver
47
Lung 114
Kidney
37
Gastric Cardia 29
Endometrial 52
Myeloid Leukemia 39
Myeloma 48
Colorectal* 188
Head and neck 137
Bladder 80
Breast 254
TOTAL 1084
*Colon and rectal cancer have been collapsed into colorectal in accordance with UKBB linkage (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/~bbdatan/DeathSummaryReport.html)
eTable 4: Covariate definitions

| Variable | Definition | UK Biobank field ID (if applicable) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Continuous | 34, 52, accelerometer date-timestamp |
| Sex | Female/Male | 31 |
| Light intensity | Continuous <br> Ambulatory activities $<3$ METs | Derived from accelerometer data (see Supplemental Text methods) |
| Moderate intensity | Continuous <br> Brisk walking, energetic activities ( $\geq 3$ to $<6$ METs) | Derived from accelerometer data (see Supplemental Text methods) |
| VILPA Duration from longer bouts | VILPA Duration from bouts lasting over 1 or 2 minutes Eg, for the analysis of bouts lasting up to 2 minutes in duration, this variable contained VILPA duration from bouts that lasted more | Derived from accelerometer data (see Supplemental Text methods) |
| Smoking status | Never, past, current | 20116 |
| Alcohol consumption (main analyses) | Never, ex-drinker, within guidelines drinker (up to 14 units a week), above guidelines drinker (>14 units a week). 1 unit = 8 grams of ethanol | 20117, 1558 |
| Alcohol consumption (sensitivity analyses) | Never drinker, Ex-drinker Within guidelines and <1 unit/wk, Within guidelines and 1 to 4 units/wk, Within guidelines and $>4$ units/wk, Above guidelines and <15 units/wk, Above guidelines and $\geq 15$ units/wk |  |
| Sleep duration | Hours spent sleeping | Derived from accelerometer data (see Online Methods) |
| Diet | Fruits and vegetables servings/day | 1309, 1319, 1289, 1299 |
| *Prevalent cancer | Identified by self-report and cancer registry | 20001, 100092 |
| Prevalent CVD | Yes/No Identified by self-report and hospitalisation. The ICD-10 codes included were: 10, I11, I13, I20-I51, I60-I69. | 20002, 41259 |
| Education | College/University; A/AS level; O levels; CSE; <br> NVQ/HND/HNC; other. | 6138 |


|  | A/AS = Advanced Placement; O level = High school certificate; <br> CSE = Certificate of secondary education; NVQ/HND/HNC = Vocational qualification / Associates degree. <br> A level, typically at age 18 years; <br> O level, typically at age 16 years; <br> CSE, typically at age 16 years; <br> These categories correspond roughly to the US education levels: : <br> A/AS = Advanced Placement O level = High school certificate CSE = Certificate of secondary education NVQ/HND/HNC = Vocational qualification / Associates degree |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use of cholesterol medication | Yes/No | 6177, 6153 |
| Use of blood pressure medication | Yes/No | 6177, 6153 |
| Use of diabetes medication | Yes/No | 6177, 6153 |
| Parental history of cancer | Yes/No <br> Self-reported mother or father diagnosed with cancer | 20107, 20110 |
| Adiposity | Body mass index (body weight/height ${ }^{2}$; continuous | 21001 |
| Prevalent diabetes | Yes/No <br> Identified by general practitioner and hospitalisation records. The ICD 10 code used was E11 | 42040, 41259 |

*Used to exclude participants
eTable 5: STROBE Statement

|  | Item No | Recommendation | Page <br> No |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | 1,4 |  |
|  |  | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | 4 |  |
| Introduction |  |  |  |  |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | 5 |  |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 5 |  |
| Methods |  |  |  |  |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 5 |  |
| Setting |  | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, followup, and data collection | 5 |  |
| Participants | $6$ | (a) Cohort study-Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <br> Case-control study-Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <br> Cross-sectional study-Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants | 5 |  |
|  |  | (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <br> Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case | NA |  |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | 5 |  |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | 5 |  |



|  |  | Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | $N A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95\% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included | 6 |
|  |  | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | NA |
|  |  | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | NA |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | 6 |
| Discussion |  |  |  |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 7 |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | 7 |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | 7 |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | 7 |
| Other information |  |  |  |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | 9 |

eTable 6: Sample characteristics by rounded tertiles of average daily duration of up to 1 minute bouts of vigorous intermittent lifestyle physical activity (VILPA) in a sample of UK Biobank participants reporting no exercise or sports ( $\mathrm{N}=22,398$ ), and comparisons with full sample with valid data (including exercisers).

|  | No VILPA $\mathrm{n}=1,392$ (6.2\%) | $\begin{gathered} >0 \text { to }<3(\text { mins/d }) \\ \mathrm{n}=8,168(36.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >3 \text { to }<8(\text { mins } / \mathrm{d}) \\ \mathrm{n}=6,346(28.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >=8(\text { mins } / \mathrm{d}) \\ \mathrm{n}=6,492(29.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Overall (VILPA Sample) $\mathrm{n}=22,398$ | ```Overall* eligible sample ((n=76,956)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Follow up in yrs, mean (SD) | 6.5 (1.4) | 6.7 (1.3) | 6.7 (1.2) | 6.7 (1.2) | 6.7 (1.2) | 6.8 (1.0) |
| Age, mean (SD) | 65.2 (6.8) | 63.2 (7.4) | 61.5 (7.6) | 60.2 (7.6) | 62.0 (7.6) | 61.4 (7.9) |
| Male, n (\%) | 449 (32.3) | 3,082 (37.7) | 2,953 (46.5) | 3,638 (56.0) | 10,122 (45.2) | 34,362 (44.7) |
| Ethnicity, n (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 10 (0.7) | 100 (1.2) | 90 (1.4) | 103 (1.6) | 303 (1.4) | 895 (1.2) |
| Black | 10 (0.7) | 73 (0.9) | 63 (1.0) | 91 (1.4) | 237 (1.1) | 653 (0.8) |
| Mixed | 8 (0.6) | 46 (0.6) | 38 (0.6) | 50 (0.8) | 142 (0.6) | 423 (0.5) |
| Other | 11 (0.8) | 79 (1.0) | 44 (0.7) | 73 (1.1) | 207 (0.9) | 632 (0.8) |
| White | 1,353 (97.2) | 7,870 (96.4) | 6,111 (96.3) | 6,175 (95.1) | 21,509 (96.0) | 74,353 (96.6) |
| Smoking history, n (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | 180 (12.9) | 796 (9.7) | 540 (8.5) | 550 (8.5) | 2,066 (9.2) | 5,276 (6.9) |
| Never | 694 (49.9) | 4,462 (54.6) | 3,603 (56.8) | 3,740 (57.6) | 12,499 (55.8) | 44,484 (57.8) |
| Previous | 518 (37.2) | 2,910 (35.6) | 2,203 (34.7) | 2,202 (33.9) | 7,833 (35.0) | 27,196 (35.3) |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Smoking pack years, n (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current and <22 pack years | 31 (2.2) | 178 (2.2) | 128 (2.0) | 137 (2.1) | 474 (2.1) | 1,276 (1.7) |
| Current and 22 to 38 pack years | 54 (3.9) | 222 (2.7) | 113 (1.8) | 105 (1.6) | 494 (2.2) | 900 (1.2) |
| Current and >38 pack years | 53 (3.8) | 200 (2.4) | 111 (1.7) | 138 (2.1) | 502 (2.2) | 1,038 (1.3) |
| Never | 694 (49.9) | 4,462 (54.6) | 3,603 (56.8) | 3,740 (57.6) | 12,499 (55.8) | 44,484 (57.8) |


| Previous and < 11 pack years | 94 (6.8) | 606 (7.4) | 467 (7.4) | 489 (7.5) | 1,656 (7.4) | 6,398 (8.3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous and 11 to 25 pack years | 109 (7.8) | 667 (8.2) | 533 (8.4) | 545 (8.4) | 1,854 (8.3) | 6,446 (8.4) |
| Previous and >25 pack years | 161 (11.6) | 683 (8.4) | 454 (7.2) | 389 (6.0) | 1,687 (7.5) | 4,511 (5.9) |
| Alcohol consumption, $\mathbf{n}$(\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 62 (4.5) | 348 (4.3) | 223 (3.5) | 228 (3.5) | 861 (3.8) | 2,206 (2.9) |
| Ex-drinker | 61 (4.4) | 322 (3.9) | 192 (3.0) | 177 (2.7) | 752 (3.4) | 2,071 (2.7) |
| Within guidelines | 877 (63.0) | 4,980 (61.0) | 3,718 (58.6) | 3,703 (57.0) | 13,278 (59.3) | 43,770 (56.9) |
| Above guidelines | 392 (28.2) | 2,518 (30.8) | 2,213 (34.9) | 2,384 (36.7) | 7,507 (33.5) | 28,909 (37.6) |
| Education, n (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College/University | 487 (35.0) | 2,935 (35.9) | 2,299 (36.2) | 2,360 (36.4) | 8,081 (36.1) | 33,569 (43.6) |
| A/AS level | 185 (13.3) | 1,053 (12.9) | 797 (12.6) | 819 (12.6) | 2,854 (12.7) | 10,186 (13.2) |
| O level | 313 (22.5) | 1,757 (21.5) | 1,432 (22.6) | 1,402 (21.6) | 4,904 (21.9) | 15,528 (20.2) |
| CSE | 49 (3.5) | 393 (4.8) | 307 (4.8) | 429 (6.6) | 1,178 (5.3) | 3,118 (4.1) |
| NVQ/HND/HNC | 77 (5.5) | 471 (5.8) | 408 (6.4) | 464 (7.1) | 1,420 (6.3) | 4,117 (5.3) |
| Other | 281 (20.2) | 1,559 (19.1) | 1,103 (17.4) | 1,018 (15.7) | 3,961 (17.7) | 10,438 (13.6) |
| Fruit and vegetable consumption (servings/day)*, mean (SD) | 7.5 (4.3) | 7.4 (4.4) | 7.3 (4.0) | 7.3 (4.2) | 7.4 (4.2) | 8.1 (4.4) |
| Prevalent CVD, n (\%) | 248 (17.8) | 985 (12.1) | 637 (10.0) | 472 (7.3) | 2,342 (10.5) | 7,006 (9.1) |
| Family history of cancer, n (\%) | 348 (25.0) | 2,071 (25.4) | 1,585 (25.0) | 1,592 (24.5) | 5,596 (25.0) | 19,066 (24.8) |
| Medication, n (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cholesterol | 382 (27.4) | 1,640 (20.1) | 972 (15.3) | 787 (12.1) | 3,781 (16.9) | 10,693 (13.9) |
| Blood pressure | 449 (32.3) | 1,921 (23.5) | 1,178 (18.6) | 890 (13.7) | 4,438 (19.8) | 12,462 (16.2) |
| Insulin | 32 (2.3) | 88 (1.1) | 55 (0.9) | 30 (0.5) | 205 (0.9) | 513 (0.7) |
| Body mass index, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 29.8 (6.0) | 28.5 (5.4) | 27.3 (4.8) | 26.4 (4.2) | 27.6 (5.1) | 26.7 (4.5) |
| Waist circumference, cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 104.3 (13.2) | 100.8 (12.1) | 98.1 (11.2) | 95.2 (10.5) | 98.2 (11.6) | 95.4 (10.8) |


| Female | 91.4 (14.1) | 87.8 (13.3) | 83.9 (12.2) | 80.7 (11.0) | 85.4 (13.0) | 82.5 (11.8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body fat percentage | 36.5 (8.5) | 34.2 (8.5) | 31.4 (8.2) | 28.5 (7.9) | 31.9 (8.6) | 30.4 (8.4) |
| Sedentary behaviour in mins, median [IQR] | 697 [638, 762] | 670 [612, 727] | 649 [591, 706] | 624 [566, 681] | 652.0 [593, 712] | $\begin{gathered} 640.0 \text { [581.6, } \\ 698.0] \end{gathered}$ |
| Light intensity in mins, median [IQR] | 78.9 [51.8, 132.6] | 88.0 [57.2, 138.6] | 87.2 [59.3, 134.0] | 91.5 [63.8, 135.1] | 88.5 [59.5, 136.1] | 91.5 [62.7, 139.8] |
| Moderate intensity in mins, median [IQR] | 10.1 [5.0, 18.8] | 17.6 [10.2, 30.0] | 25.3 [15.6, 39.1] | 35.0 [22.8, 52.8] | 23.8 [13.5, 39.6] | 27.0 [15.7, 43.6] |
| Vigorous intensity in mins, median [IQR] | - | 1.2 [0.6, 2.1] | 5.2 [4.0, 6.8] | 16.2 [11.5, 26.2] | 4.1 [1.4, 10.4] | 4.6 [2.0, 11.7] |
| Sleep duration, in mins, median [IQR] | 432 [385, 476] | 438 [395, 477] | 438 [397, 474] | 436 [397, 473] | 437 [396, 475] | $\begin{gathered} 438.0[399.5 \\ 473.5] \end{gathered}$ |
| VILPA bout duration up to 1 minute, median [IQR] | - | 1.2 [0.6, 2.0] | 4.9 [3.8, 6.2] | 13.9 [10.4, 16.0] | 3.9 [1.2, 9.1] | - |
| VILPA bout duration up to 2 minute, median [IQR] | - | 1.2 [0.6, 2.0] | 5.1 [4.0, 6.7] | 15.7 [11.2, 16.0] | 4.1 [1.4, 10.0] | - |

sd - standard deviation; IQR - interquartile range; *includes fresh and dried fruit, and raw and cooked vegetables. *Sample with valid data (including exercisers); asmoking pack years shown for those with available data
eTable 7: Hazard ratios associated with the minimum dose ${ }^{1}$ and median VILPA values
A. Total incident cancer

| Duration | Dose | HR (95\%CI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| up to 1 min bout minimum dose | 3.4 | $0.83(0.73,0.93)$ |
| up to 1 min bout median VILPA dose | 4.5 | $0.80(0.69,0.92)$ |
|  |  |  |
| up to 2 min bout minimum dose | 3.6 | $0.82(0.71,0.93)$ |
| up to 2 min bout median VILPA dose | 4.5 | $0.79(0.68,0.93)$ |

Minimal dose (ED50 value): defined as the duration/frequency of VILPA associated with $50 \%$ of the optimal risk reduction. The VILPA duration median values were calculated in the sample excluding participants with zero VILPA. Analyses adjusted for age, sex, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, self-reported parental history cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one/two minute as appropriate.
B. Incidence of physical activity-related cancer

| Duration | Dose | HR (95\%CI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| up to 1 min bout minimum dose | 3.7 | $0.72(0.59,0.88)$ |
| up to 1 min bout VILPA median | 4.5 | $0.69(0.55,0.86)$ |
|  |  |  |
| up to 2 min bout minimum dose | 3.7 | $0.71(0.58,0.88)$ |
| up to 2 min bout VILPA median | 4.5 | $0.68(0.53,0.87)$ |

Minimal dose (ED50 value): defined as the duration/frequency of VILPA associated with $50 \%$ of the optimal risk reduction. The VILPA duration median values were calculated in the sample excluding participants with zero VILPA. Analyses adjusted for age, sex, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, self-reported parental history cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration/bouts lasting more than one/two minute as appropriate.
eTable 8: E-values for minimum dose, and median/maximum VILPA values for incident cancer
A. Total cancer incidence

|  | E-Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Duration |  |
|  |  |
| up to 1 min bout minimum dose | $1.70(1.36)$ |
| up to 1 min bout VILPA median | $1.81(1.39)$ |
| up to 2 min bout minimum dose | $1.74(1.36)$ |
| up to 2 min bout VILPA median | $1.85(1.36)$ |

B. Physical activity-related cancer incidence

|  | E-Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Duration |  |
|  |  |
| up to 1 min bout minimum dose | $2.12(1.53)$ |
| up to 1 min bout VILPA median | $2.26(1.60)$ |
| up to 2 min bout minimum dose | $2.17(1.53)$ |
| up to 2 min bout VILPA median | $2.30(1.56)$ |

Values represent: point estimate (and lower limit of the confidence interval in brackets) that an unmeasured confounder would need to have with both the exposure and outcome, conditional on the measured covariates to explain away the exposure-outcome association
eFigure 1: Flow diagram of participants in the study
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eFigure 2: Adjusted absolute risk dose-response of VILPA with total incident cancer


VILPA: vigorous intermittent lifestyle physical activity. Absolute risk adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than two minutes.
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eFigure 3: Dose-response of up to 1-minute VILPA duration with exclusion for poor health, underweight, and cancer events occurring during the first 2 years of follow up ( $n=21,011$ )


Diamond: ED50 value, the minimal dose, defined as the duration of VILPA associated with 50\% of the optimal risk reduction; Circle: Median VILPA value. Analyses adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than two minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models
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eFigure 4: Dose-response of up to 1-minute VILPA duration with no adjustment for body mass index ( $\mathrm{n}=22,507$ )


Diamond: ED50 value, the minimal dose, defined as the duration of VILPA associated with 50\% of the optimal risk reduction; Circle: Median VILPA value. Analyses adjusted for age, sex, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than two minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models
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eFigure 5: Dose-response of up to 1-minute VILPA duration with adjustment for VILPA energy expenditure (KJ/kg per day)


Blue= main analysis; Orange= main analysis with adjustment for VILPA energy expenditure (KJ/kg per day). Analyses adjusted for age, sex, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than two minutes. Adjustment for energy expenditure was done with the residual method Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models
eFigure 6: Sequential dose-response of up to 1-minute VILPA duration


Black= Model 1 (age and sex adjustment); Orange= Model 2 (Model 1 plus light intensity activity, moderate intensity, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, sleep duration, diet); Blue= Main analysis. Analyses adjusted for age, sex, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one minutes. Adjustment for energy expenditure was done with the residual method Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models © 2023 Stamatakis E et al. JAMA Oncol
eFigure 7: Categorical analysis of VILPA with total cancer and PA-related cancer


Analyses adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one (bouts up to 1 minute exposure) or more than two (bouts up to 2 minutes exposure) minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models
eFigure 8: Dose-response association of Vigorous Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity (VILPA) daily duration from bouts lasting up to one and two minutes with total cancer incidence; adjustment for smoking pack-years ( $n=19,103$; events=1,962). For direct comparisons with the main analyses we also present results in the same sample of the analyses adjusted for the existing smoking status variable.


Analyses adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history (pack years), alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one (bouts up to 1 minute exposure) or more than two (bouts up to 2 minutes exposure) minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from FineGray models
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eFigure 9: Dose-response association of Vigorous Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity (VILPA) daily duration from bouts lasting up to one and two minutes with PA-related cancer incidence; adjustment for smoking pack-years ( $n=19,103$; events=913). For direct comparisons with the main analyses we also present results in the same sample of the analyses adjusted for the existing smoking status variable


Analyses adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history (pack years), alcohol consumption, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one (bouts up to 1 minute exposure) or more than two (bouts up to 2 minutes exposure) minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from FineGray models
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eFigure 10: Dose-response association of Vigorous Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity (VILPA) daily duration from bouts lasting up to one and two minutes with total cancer incidence; adjustment for weekly units of alcohol (See eTable 4).


Analyses adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption (Never drinker, Ex-drinker, Within guidelines and <1 unit/wk, Within guidelines and 1 to 4 units/wk, Within guidelines and $>4$ units/wk, Above guidelines and $<15$ units/wk, Above guidelines and $\geq 15$ units/wk), accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one (bouts up to 1 minute exposure) or more than two (bouts up to 2 minutes exposure) minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models
eFigure 11: Dose-response association of Vigorous Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity (VILPA) daily duration from bouts lasting up to one and two minutes with PA-related incidence; adjustment for units per day of alcohol.


Analyses adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption (Never drinker, Ex-drinker, Within guidelines and $<1$ unit/wk, Within guidelines and 1 to 4 units/wk, Within guidelines and $>4$ units/wk, Above guidelines and $<15$ units/wk, Above guidelines and $\geq 15$ units/wk), accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one (bouts up to 1 minute exposure) or more than two (bouts up to 2 minutes exposure) minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models
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eFigure 12: Dose-response association of Vigorous Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity (VILPA) daily duration from bouts lasting up to one and two minutes with total cancer incidence; with adjustment for prevalent diabetes.


Analyses adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, prevalent diabetes, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one (bouts up to 1 minute exposure) or more than two (bouts up to 2 minutes exposure) minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models
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eFigure 13: Dose-response association of Vigorous Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity (VILPA) daily duration from bouts lasting up to one and two minutes with PA-related incidence; adjustment for prevalent diabetes.


Analyses adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, duration of light intensity physical activity, duration of moderate intensity physical activity, smoking history, alcohol consumption, prevalent diabetes, accelerometer estimated sleep duration, fruit and vegetable consumption, education, medication use, self-reported parental history of cancer, and prevalent CVD. All analyses were additionally adjusted for vigorous physical activity duration lasting more than one (bouts up to 1 minute exposure) or more than two (bouts up to 2 minutes exposure) minutes. Hazard ratios calculated from Fine-Gray models
© 2023 Stamatakis E et al. JAMA Oncol

## eMethods: unabridged sampling, design, and physical activity-related methods

## Follow-up ascertainment descriptives

In our analytic sample of 22,398 participants, 2,574 wore the accelerometer in 2013, 10,051 wore the accelerometer in 2014, and 9,773 wore the accelerometer in 2015. 20,255 were from England, 1,286 were from Scotland, and 857 were from Wales.

Deaths were ascertained through linkage with the National Health Service Digital of England and Wales or the National Health Service Central Register and National Records of Scotland. Censoring for England and Wales was up to September 30th, 2021. Censoring for Scotland was up to October 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}, 2021$.

Hospital inpatient data was ascertained through linkage with the National Health Service Digital for England, Information and Statistics Division for Scotland, and Secure Anonymised Information Linkage for Wales. Censoring for England and Scotland was up to September $30^{\text {th }}, 2021$ and July $31^{\text {st }}$, 2021, respectively. Censoring for Wales was up to February 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2018$.

Cancer registry data was ascertained through linkage with the National Health Service Digital for England and Wales, and the National Records of Scotland and National Health Service Central Register for Scotland. Censoring for England and Wales was up to February 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2020. Censoring for Scotland was up to January $31^{\text {st }}, 2021$.

## Sample \& design

The UK Biobank Study is a prospective cohort study of adults aged between 40-69 years whose baseline measurements took place between 2006-10. Participants provided informed consent and ethical approval was provided by the UK's National Health Service, National Research Ethics Service (Ref80 11/NW/0382).

Between 2013 and 2015 (median 5.5 years after the baseline measurements), 103,684 UK Biobank participants wore a wrist-worn accelerometer for 7 days ${ }^{1,2}$. We excluded participants with missing covariates and insufficient valid wear days. Monitoring days were considered valid if wear time was greater than 16 hours. To be included in analysis, participants were required to have at least three valid monitoring days, with at least one of those days being a weekend day. We excluded participants who reported that they cannot walk.

To enable examination of VILPA in our study (i.e. brief bouts of non-exercise vigorous physical activity occurring during daily living), we only included participants who reported no leisure time exercise participation and no more than one recreational walk per week.

Participation in exercise and recreational walking was measured through a close-ended touch-screen questionnaire that asked participants to report if, how often, and for how long they participate in such activities (Supplementary Table 1). Among the included 14,982 participants who were walking for recreation once a week or less, the average spacing of VILPA bouts was 165.7 (47.0) minutes within days and 16.7 (5.5) hours between days (last session of a day vs first session the day after). The modal median length of the (at most) one and only weekly walking session these participants reported was $30-60$ minutes ( $32.5 \%$ of the 14,982 participants), effectively eliminating the possibility that the devicerecorded VILPA bouts occurred during recreational walking.

To provide a comparison between effects of VILPA and (context-agnostic) VPA we repeated the main analyses among "exercisers", defined as those UK Biobank accelerometry sub-study participants who did not meet the above criteria to be considered non-exercisers, i.e., those who reported any leisure time exercise or more than one recreational walking session per week (Supplementary Table 1).

## Definition of VILPA \& choice of bout length

We based the choice of VILPA bouts length entered in our analyses on an ongoing study of 58 adults (mean age 55.7 (SD=10.1) years) aimed at developing an empirical definition of VILPA (unpublished data). Participants completed five activities of daily living while wearing an indirect calorimetry unit (Cosmed K5) and Polar heart-rate monitor. The activities included: 1) Walking on a flat surface at a self-selected "very fast" pace; 2) Walking on a flat surface whilst carrying shopping-like bags equivalent to $5 \%$ of body weight at a self-defined "fast" pace; 3) Walking on a flat surface whilst carrying shoppinglike bags equivalent to $10 \%$ of body weight at a self-defined "fast" pace; 4) walking at $2.5 \%$ gradient at a self-defined "very fast" pace (treadmill); 5) walking at $7.0 \%$ gradient at a selfdefined "very fast" pace (treadmill). The sequence of activities was randomised for each participant and counterbalanced across participants to prevent biases due to residual fatigue accumulating during the protocol.

Participants performed each activity until vigorous intensity was reached for two out of three criteria: 1) \%VO2max ( $\geq 64 \%$ ); 2) \%HRmax ( $\geq 77 \%$ ); 3) Rating of perceived exertion (Borg Scale) $\geq 15$. For \%VO2max and \%HRmax, the threshold had to be met for at least 30 consecutive seconds to minimise the effects of noise. $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ was calculated using the Ebbeling treadmill test and heart rate max was calculated using the Tanaka equation ${ }^{3}$. Between activities, participants had 5 minutes of seated recovery, or until heart rate and breathing returned to resting levels. Resting $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and heart rate were measured at the beginning of each session with the participant lying supine using 5 minutes of steady-state (\%CV $\leq 10 \%$ ). The duration to reach vigorous intensity across all five activities is shown in Supplemental Text 1 Display Item A below. As the mean time required to reach vigorous intensity in two of the above three physiological intensity indices was 73.5(SD=26.2) seconds across all activities, we decided to test VILPA bouts lasting up to one and up to two minutes in the present analyses.

Display Item A: Mean durations to reach vigorous intensity across five activities (unpublished data)

|  | \%HR max | \%VO2max | Rating of <br> perceived <br> exertion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Walking at a fast pace on a <br> flat surface | $76.2(22.9)$ sec. | $71.5(18.9)$ sec | $53.6(19.7) \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Walking at a fast pace <br> carrying 5\% of body weight | $64.4(32.4)$ sec | $60.8(25.2)$ sec | $44.1(23.7)$ sec |
| Walking at a fast pace <br> carrying 10\% of body weight | $73.1(34.6)$ sec | $68.8(21.3)$ sec | $40.1(20.3) \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Walking at a fast pace on a <br> $2.5 \%$ incline | $85.4(20.3)$ sec | $83.0(20.2)$ sec | $66.8(21.3) \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Walking at a fast pace on a <br> $7.0 \%$ incline | $80.3(28.0) \mathrm{sec}$ | $75.7(15.8) \mathrm{sec}$ | $63.6(21.9) \mathrm{sec}$ |

## Wearable device-based physical activity classification

eMethods 1 Display Item B below summarises how activity intensity was classified using a previously validated Random Forest (RF) activity classifier ${ }^{4}$. RF is an ensemble of multiple decision trees. Each tree is learned on a bootstrap sample of training data and each node in the tree is split using the best among a randomly selected set of acceleration features. The decisions from each tree are aggregated and a final model prediction is based on majority vote. The RF model requires very little pre-processing of the data, as the features do not need to be normalized. Additionally, the model is resistant to over fitting the training data because each tree within the forest is independently grown to maximum depth using a randomly selected subset of features.

Display Item B: Physical activity type and intensity diagram


This 2-stage classifier which first categorized physical activity in 10 second windows into one of ,four activity classes: sedentary, standing utilitarian movements (e.g., ironing a shirt, washing dishes), walking activities (e.g. gardening, active commuting, mopping floors), running/high energetic activities (e.g. active playing with children). These activity classes were then assigned to one of four activity intensities: sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous. Walking activities were classified as light (an acceleration value of $<100 \mathrm{mg}$ ), moderate ( $\geq=100 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and vigorous ( $\geq=400 \mathrm{mg}$ ) intensity ${ }^{5}$. For example, a VILPA bout lasting up to 2 minutes, 12 consecutive 10 -second windows needed to be classified as vigorous. When there were more than 12 vigorous consecutive activity windows these bouts counted as long vigorous physical activity sessions in the corresponding analyses ( $2.3 \%$ of all vigorous physical activity bouts). Differentiation between sleep ${ }^{6}$ and nonwear ${ }^{7}$ was identified using the change in tilt angle and acceleration standard deviation. Monitors were calibrated ${ }^{8}$ and corrected for orientation ${ }^{9}$ using previously published methods, although residual signal and alignment uncertainties may persist.

Activities in an independent sample of 98 participants (Age $=56.4 \pm 15.7 ; 53.1 \%$ female) from the US ${ }^{10}$ (University of California Irvine Center for Machine Learning and Intelligent Systems Physical Activity Monitoring for Aging People study [published data], accessible at https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets) and Australia ${ }^{11}$ (University of Queensland Where and When at Work study [published data], and University of Sydney Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity Study [unpublished data]) providing 103,607 activity samples from structured and free-living activities (17,267 minutes) were used to assess robustness and generalizability of the classifier (Supplemental Text 1 Display Item C and D). For free-living activities participant-worn or researcher-held Go-Pro video-recordings were used to attain ground-truth physical activity. Video files were imported into the Noldus Observer XT software for continuous direct observation coding. A two-stage direct observation scheme was implemented in which the participant's movement behaviour was coded for activity type and then activity intensity based on Compendium of Physical Activities ${ }^{12}$. The direct observation system generated a vector of date-time stamps corresponding to the start and finish of each movement event, which were used to assign the activity codes to the corresponding time segments of the accelerometer data. Interobserver reliability was assessed by dual coding. The intraclass correlation coefficient for coding activities was 0.91 (0.87-0.94).

Display Item C: Intensity classification performance in 98 US and Australian adults

|  | Sensitivity | Specificity | Precision | F- <br> score | Overall <br> Accuracy | Weighted <br> Kappa | Overall <br> F-score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sedentary | 86.5 | 93.7 | 90.5 | 88.5 |  |  |  |
| Light | 71.2 | 89.4 | 55.8 | 62.6 |  |  |  |
| Moderate | 85.4 | 96.6 | 92.7 | 88.9 |  |  |  |
| Vigorous | 95.4 | 99.4 | 94.6 | 95.0 |  |  |  |

84.6
0.78
83.8

49
50
51 Display Item D: Confusion matrix of activity classification in 98 US and Australian 52 adults

|  | Sedentary | Light | Moderate | Vigorous |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sedentary | $\mathbf{3 6 , 9 0 4}$ | 5,232 | 508 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Light | 3,120 | $\mathbf{1 1 , 7 1 2}$ | 1,612 | 17 |
| Moderate | 502 | 4,016 | $\mathbf{2 9 , 5 2 8}$ | 526 |
| Vigorous | 226 | 17 | 214 | $\mathbf{9 , 4 7 0}$ |

Rows= ground truth; columns=predictions; bold=correct labels; numbers represent each 10-second window; Derived from the US and Australian datasets

53 Performance was further evaluated in a separate sample of 151 adults (age range 18-91 54 years, 65.6\% female; Supplemental Text 1 Display Item E recruited from the UK ${ }^{13}$ 55 (University of Oxford Capture 24 study [published data], accessible at © 2023 Stamatakis E et al. JAMA Oncol

56 https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:99d7c092-d865-4a19-b096-cc16440cd001).
57 Participants in this dataset wore body cameras that provided pictures every 20 seconds
58 to annotate ground-truth free-living activity labels. The picture-based activity coding 59 scheme has been previously described ${ }^{9}$. A total of 172,360 activity samples $(28,727$ 60 minutes) were provided by participants.
61
62 Display Item E: Participant-level specific recall and precision of activity classification 63 in 151 UK adults


## REFERENCES

1. Ramakrishnan R, Doherty A, Smith-Byrne K, et al. Accelerometer measured physical activity and the incidence of cardiovascular disease: Evidence from the UK Biobank cohort study. PLoS medicine. 2021;18(1):e1003487.
2. Doherty A, Jackson D, Hammerla N, et al. Large scale population assessment of physical activity using wrist worn accelerometers: The UK Biobank Study. PloS one. 2017;12(2):e0169649.
3. Tanaka H, Monahan KD, Seals DR. Age-predicted maximal heart rate revisited. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001;37(1):153-156.
4. Pavey TG, Gilson ND, Gomersall SR, Clark B, Trost SG. Field evaluation of a random forest activity classifier for wrist-worn accelerometer data. Journal of science and medicine in sport. 2017;20(1):75-80.
5. Hildebrand M, VT VANH, Hansen BH, Ekelund U. Age group comparability of raw accelerometer output from wrist- and hip-worn monitors. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2014;46(9):1816-1824.
6. van Hees VT, Sabia S, Jones SE, et al. Estimating sleep parameters using an accelerometer without sleep diary. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):12975.
7. Ahmadi MN, Nathan N, Sutherland R, Wolfenden L, Trost SG. Non-wear or sleep? Evaluation of five non-wear detection algorithms for raw accelerometer data. Journal of sports sciences. 2020;38(4):399-404.
8. Sipos M, Paces P, Rohac J, Novacek P. Analyses of triaxial accelerometer calibration algorithms. IEEE Sensors Journal. 2011;12(5):1157-1165.
9. Mizell D. Using gravity to estimate accelerometer orientation. Paper presented at: Seventh IEEE International Symposium on Wearable Computers, 2003. Proceedings. 2003.
10. Reiss A, Weber M, Stricker D. Exploring and extending the boundaries of physical activity recognition. Paper presented at: 2011 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics2011.
11. Clark BK, Winkler EA, Brakenridge CL, Trost SG, Healy GN. Using Bluetooth proximity sensing to determine where office workers spend time at work. PloS one. 2018;13(3):e0193971.
12. Ainsworth B, Haskell W, Herrmann S, et al. Second update of codes and MET values. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;39:1575-1581.
13. Willetts M, Hollowell S, Aslett L, Holmes C, Doherty A. Statistical machine learning of sleep and physical activity phenotypes from sensor data in 96,220 UK Biobank participants. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):7961.
